# WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

DISCIPLINE OF SCIENCE AUTOMATIC CONTROL, ELECTRONICS, ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND SPACE TECHNOLOGIES FIELD OF SCIENCE ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

# Ph.D. Thesis

Michał Kruszewski, M.Sc.

Functional Bus Description Language

Supervisor Wojciech Zabołotny, Ph.D., D.Sc

WARSAW 2024

### **Abstract**

Bus and register management is one of the crucial aspects of ASIC, SoC, or FPGA-based designs. The problems related to it are well known, and multiple tools or approaches are already trying to solve or mitigate them. However, all available solutions share the same register-centric paradigm. A user defines registers and then manually lays out the data into the registers. Such an approach has its limitations. A description does not contain information on data spanning multiple registers or data forming a broader context, procedure arguments, for example. It also does not contain information on the purpose of the data. As a result, the generated access code is low-level and usually needs an extra wrapper, which leaves room for potential human mistakes. For instance, it is the user's responsibility to guarantee proper access order to registers or to provide an atomic change of data wider than a single register width.

The thesis proposes a new approach, the functionality-centric approach. In the functionality-centric approach, the user defines the data with the type of its functionality. The registers and access code are later implicitly inferred. By defining the functionality of the data placed in the registers, it is possible to generate more access code, increase code robustness, improve system design readability, and shorten the implementation process.

The thesis includes the specification of the new domain-specific language (Functional Bus Description Language), presents an example of the advantages of the functionalitycentric approach compared to the register-centric, and provides reasoning for some design decisions and some compiler implementation details.

**Keywords**: bus interface, code maintenance, computer languages, control interface, design automation, design verification, documentation generation, electronic design automation, EDA, electronic systems, Functional Bus Description Language, FBDL, hardware design, hardware description language, HDL, hierarchical register description, memory, programming, register addressing, register synthesis, software generation, system management

### **Streszczenie**

Zarządzanie magistralą oraz rejestrami jest jednym z kluczowych aspektów podczas projektowania układów ASIC, SoC lub systemów wykorzystujących układy FPGA. Problemy z tym związane są dobrze znane. Istnieje wiele narzędzi oraz sposobów postępowania, które starają się je rozwiązywać lub niwelować ich wpływ. Wszystkie dostępne rozwiązania cechuje jednak te same podejście do zagadnienia, są one zorientowane na rejestry. Użytkownik pierw definiuje rejestr, a dopiero w kolejnym kroku ręcznie rozmieszcza w nim dane. Takie podejście zawiera pewne ograniczenia. Opis rejestrów nie zawiera informacji na temat danych znajdujących się w więcej niż jednym rejestrze, czy na temat danych będących częścią jakiegoś szerszego kontekstu, jak np. argumenty procedur. Opis nie zawiera również informacji na temat funkcjonalności jakie poszczególne dane dostarczają. W rezultacie automatycznie wygenerowany kod jest niskopoziomowy i wymaga ręcznej implementacji kodu opakowującego. To z kolei przekłada się na pozostawienie miejsca na potencjalne ludzkie pomyłki. Przykładowo, to użytkownik odpowiedzialny jest za zapewnienie poprawnej kolejności dostępów do rejestrów, czy za zapewnienie atomowości zmian wartości danych, których szerokość przekracza szerokość pojedynczego rejestru.

W rozprawie zaprezentowano nowe podejście zorientowane na funkcjonalność danych. W podejściu tym użytkownik definiuje dane wraz z ich typem funkcjonalności. Na ich podstawie są następnie automatycznie generowane rejestry wraz z kodem dostępowym. Definiowanie funkcjonalności danych pozwala na zwiększenie ilości kodu generowanego automatycznie, i zmniejszenie ilości kodu pisanego ręcznie. To z kolei zwiększa odporność kodu na błędy, poprawia czytelność projektu i skraca czas spędzony na implementacji.

Praca obejmuje specyfikację jezyka specyficznego dla danej domeny (Język Opisu Funkcjonalnych Magistral), opis korzyści wynikających z podejścia zorientowanego na funkcjonalność, uzasadnienie niektórych decyzji projektowych oraz omówienie niektórych ze szczegółów implementacji kompilatora.

**Słowa kluczowe**: adresowanie rejestrów, automatyzacja projektowania, magistrala, generacja oprogramowania, generacja dokumentacji, hierarchiczny opis rejestrów, interfejs sterowania, język opisu sprzętu, języki programowania, magistrala, programowanie, projektowanie sprzętu, synteza rejestrów, systemy elektroniczne, utrzymanie kodu, weryfikacja projektu, zarządzanie systemem

# **Contents**







### **List of abbreviations**

- **AGWB** Address Generator for Wishbone
- **AMBA** ARM Advanced Microcontroller Bus Architecture
- **AMD** Advanced Micro Devices
- **API** Application Programming Interface
- **ASIC** Application-Specific Integrated Circuit
- **AXI** Advanced eXtensible Interface
- **BFM** Bus Functional Model
- **CBM** Compressed Baryonic Matter
- **CDC** Clock Domain Crossing
- **CPU** Central Processing Unit
- **CMS** Compact Muon Solenoid
- **CSV** Comma-Separated Values
- **DAQ** Data Acquisition
- **DESY** Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron
- **EDA** Electronic Design Automation
- **EISA** Extended Industry Standard Architecture
- **FBDL** Functional Bus Description Language
- **FIFO** First In, First Out
- **FPGA** Field Programmable Gate Array
- **GPIO** General-Purpose Input/Output
- **GUI** Graphical User Interface
- **HDL** Hardware Description Language
- **HEP** High Energy Physics
- **HLS** High Level Synthesis

**HTML** HyperText Markup Language

**IBM** International Business Machines Corporation

**IO** Input/Output

**IP** Intellectual Property / Internet Protocol

**ISA** Industry Standard Architecture

**JSON** JavaScript Object Notation

**LAN** Local Area Network

**LSB** Least Significant Bit

**LUT** Lookup Table

**MCA** Micro Channel Architecture

**MCU** Microcontroller Unit

**MMIO** Memory Mapped Input Output

**NoC** Network on Chip

**OSD** Open Software Description Data

**PCI** Peripheral Component Interconnect

**PCIe** Peripheral Component Interconnect Express

**POSIX** Portable Operating System Interface for UNIX

**SCSI** Small Computer Systems Interface

**SLR** Super Logic Region

**SoC** System on Chip

**SPI** Serial Peripheral Interface

**STS** Silicon Tracking System

**SystemRDL** System Register Description Language

**TCP** Transmission Control Protocol

**TOML** Tom's Obvious, Minimal Language

**UART** Universal Asynchronous Receiver-Transmitter

**URL** Uniform Resource Locator

**UVVM** Universal VHDL Verification Methodology

- **USB** Universal Serial Bus
- **UVM** Universal Verification Methodology
- **VESA** Video Electronics Standards Association
- **VHDL** Very High Speed Integrated Circuit Hardware Description Language
- **WAN** Wide Area Network
- **XLS** Excel Spreadsheet
- **XML** Extensible Markup Language

#### **YAML** YAML Ain't Markup Language

## <span id="page-10-0"></span>**Preface**

#### **Context and motivation of the dissertation**

Designing, implementing, and integrating FPGA-based designs with a software stack running on a traditional CPU or a firmware stack running on an MCU poses a relatively complex technological, organizational, and methodical task. DAQ systems for HEP experiments, among military, medical, and digital entertainment systems, are examples of areas where such tasks are omnipresent and inevitable.

The author of the dissertation, for four years, has been taking part in the design and implementation process of the gateware, firmware, and software for the DAQ system for the CBM [1] experiment that has been prepared at the GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung in Darmstadt [2].

Design environ[m](#page-123-0)ents for DAQ systems in HEP experiments are very peculiar. The whole design and implementation take rela[tiv](#page-123-1)ely long, from a few to even a dozen or so years. The engineering teams are international. The educational background is varied. There are physicists, electronics engineers, computer science engineers, system administrators, etc. The spectrum of the members' ages is vast, ranging from first-year Ph.D. students to halftime retired workers. Most members participate in multiple projects or have academic duties, so the time they devote to a particular task is limited. During the development phase, there is also a rotation of the employees. As a whole system is extensive and complex and must work reliably, it is natural that the preliminary prototypes vary significantly from the final solutions. All of this leads to implementing the same or similar functionalities multiple times. For example, a programming language change after the prototyping stage forces such reimplementation.

During the first two years of the studies, the author explored how to make such complex and multidimensional projects more manageable and verifiable. Trying to incorporate some industrial methodologies, such as UVM framework or formal verification, simply failed. There were at least several reasons for this. To name a few:

- Lack of free, open source tools or limited functionality of such tools. Paid commercial tools have expensive licenses.
- Too steep learning curve and lack of learning resources. The EDA tools appear to be inadequate for engineers who do not use them every day for eight hours. Instead

of focusing on the design and fundamental problems, one spends time learning how to use the EDA tools, each with a distinct user interface.

Throughout the work, it turned out that another policy is suited much better in such a diverse environment. Instead of incorporating cumbersome industrial standards that need expensive licenses, one can automatically generate as much gateware, firmware, and software as possible. As long as the description format is easily readable by a human, the work is moving forward surprisingly fast.

Based on this observation, the author has been looking for a way to enhance and extend existing generic methods and tools commonly used for gateware, firmware, and software code generation. During the work on the AGWB [3], and after using it for a few months, the author noticed that a relatively large amount of code was still repeatedly implemented manually. That manually implemented code had some common characteristics and could be easily automatically generated. The only thin[g](#page-123-2) that needed to be added to generate it was the information on the functionality that a given data must serve. That required shifting the accent from the register (register-centric approach) to the data or, more precisely, to the functionality of the data (functionality-centric approach). After analyzing state-of-the-art tools and approaches, the author concluded that no solution is based on the data functionality paradigm. The author has decided that the idea is worth trying, and the FBDL realizes this idea.

#### **Structure of the thesis**

The thesis consists of 10 chapters and 8 additional appendices. Appendix G is the specification of the newly defined Functional Bus Description Language. It is advised to at least skim it before reading the dissertation and later return to it while reading chapter 5. The specification also includes definitions of some terms used in the thesis.

Chapter 1 introduces the bus and register management problem. It provides a simplified [ex](#page-58-0)ample that is used to present some of the subproblems and analyze how they are solved in the register-centric (typical) approach and functionality-centric (newly proposed) approac[h.](#page-13-0)

Chapter 2 briefly discusses on-chip interconnect architectures. It uses AMBA AXI and Wishbone buses to present two distinct bus control logics. It also discusses the NoC technolo[gy](#page-24-0), a natural progression of traditional on-chip buses.

Chapter 3 is the prior art analysis. It includes only solutions following the register-centric paradigm. The author proposes a paradigm shift to the functionality, and no solution followin[g t](#page-32-0)his approach has been found.

Chapter 4 contains the definition of the thesis. Then, the aim and scope of the dissertation is described.

Chapter [5](#page-57-1) serves as an extension to the FBDL specification. It discusses all supported functionalities, and unlike the specification, it focuses on answering the "why" questions instead of the "how" questions. It is recommended to read subsections of this chapter concurre[nt](#page-58-0)ly with the corresponding subsections of the FBDL specification (first specification, then dissertation) or to read the whole specification first.

Chapter 6 discusses the most common features present in the register-centric tools but absent in the FBDL. The focus is on reasoning why they are absent at the current stage of the la[ng](#page-71-0)uage.

Chapter 7 describes the implementation of the compiler for the FBDL. As the comprehensive description would be relatively long and include aspects irrelevant from the thesis point of view, the chapter describes only the overall structure and focuses on some general details t[ha](#page-76-0)t any FBDL-compliant compiler will likely have to face.

Chapter 8 compares two descriptions of the same example system. One of the descriptions follows the register-centric approach, while the other follows the functionality-centric approach. Both descriptions have been tested using co-simulation testbenches. They have also bee[n](#page-91-0) synthesized to compare resource utilization. The chapter contains multiple listings and waveforms presenting how the functionality-centric approach can decrease the probability of human mistakes and shorten the time required to implement the system.

Chapter 9 provides information on the project in which FBDL has been used. However, due to the proprietary nature of the project, no internal details are revealed.

Chapter [1](#page-121-0)0 summarizes the advantages of describing system bus registers using the functionality-centric approach instead of the register-centric.

The thesi[s h](#page-122-0)as numerous code snippets and listings used as examples to illustrate problems better or explain solutions. The VHDL language has been chosen for the gateware, and the Python language has been chosen for the software. However, all presented concepts are programming language agnostic, so any language could be selected, and the reasoning would remain valid.

# <span id="page-13-0"></span>**1 Introduction**

Most ASIC, FPGA, or SoC designs, for sure the more complex ones, have some kind of internal bus. Such a bus is often referred to as a "system bus," "local bus," "on-chip bus," "interconnect bus," or "on-chip interconnect bus" (the last one is the most formal and probably the most appropriate). The primary role of the bus is to provide an organized and structured manner for connecting independent modules within the chip. It also serves as a gateway to access the gateware or hardware design internals from the firmware or software stack. Such access includes writing control signals, reading status signals, bi-directional data streaming, procedure triggering, interrupt signaling, etc. Figure 1.1 presents an example of a simplified structure of some SoC. Master modules are red, slave modules are yellow, and bus fabric components are blue.



Figure 1.1: Example internal structure of some SoC design with bus.

A bus usually consists of an address bus, a data bus, and a control bus. The most popular on-chip buses used in FPGA designs are probably AXI [4] (which is part of the AMBA) and Wishbone [5].

If there is a bus in a design, then the bus needs to be managed. The bus management consists of the following logical elements:

- 1. Address space management. This includes:
	- a) Assigning address ranges to the modules.
	- b) Aligning address ranges according to the user's policy.
- 2. Bus fabric management. This includes:
	- a) Description of the modules hierarchy.
	- b) Generation of the bus fabric components (such as crossbars) according to the user-provided description.
- 3. Registers management. This includes:
	- a) Ordering registers within the modules.
	- b) Splitting long signals between multiple registers.
	- c) Grouping short signals into a single register.
	- d) Attributing additional functions to the registers, such as associated strobe or acknowledgment signals.

All bus and register management tasks can be done manually, semi-automated, or fully automated. The greater the automation, the less room for potential engineers' mistakes and the greater the pace of the project development.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Managing the bus in a complex system is a well-known and non-trivial problem, especially in hardware-software co-design projects [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Even though various approaches and implementations have already been proposed, there is still no solution that would make the bus management process fully automated. All available tools and standards either only support some of the logical e[le](#page-123-3)[me](#page-123-4)[nt](#page-123-5)[s o](#page-123-6)[f b](#page-123-7)[us m](#page-123-8)anagement or require users to do the register management manually. The register management is the bus management's most time-consuming and error-prone part. What is more, when the register logic is not fully automatically generated, there is a need to verify the behavior of the registers. This is usually done in simulation by directed or randomized testbenches. However, [12] presents the benefits of doing register verification using formal methods, and [13] shows an example implementation of this idea.

### **1.1 Example problem**

The following section introduces an example to ease the reasoning. The example also presents the typical register-centric approach for managing registers and the new functionality-centric approach proposed in the thesis. It presents some, but not all, problems encountered in a register-centric approach that are eliminated in the newly proposed approach.

Let us assume there is a module implemented in the FPGA logic called the *Supervisor*. The Supervisor is capable of scheduling work to be done by some *Worker* modules. The Supervisor has a 48-bit internal counter that can be reset. The Supervisor can pass data to Worker modules at programmed counter value. There are 24 workers, and the data passed to them is two 12-bit long vectors. The data might be passed to any set of workers. For simplicity, let us assume that the data passed to all the workers is the same. The Supervisor also has two additional status bits, informing whether it is currently programmed (the data is scheduled to be processed) and whether it has been programmed in the past. Programming in the past means that the Supervisor will not fire data passing to the Workers before counter overflow. The Supervisor can also be unprogrammed. Listing 1 shows the VHDL interface of the example Supervisor. Signals connected to the particular ports have analogous names without the \_i and \_o suffixes.

Inside [an](#page-16-1) FPGA, is a 32-bit wide bus (this is the width of the data; the width of the address is irrelevant in this consideration). What bus it is and how it can be accessed from the software is irrelevant to the analysis. A proper interface for accessing the bus is provided via the registers handle parameter.

The example Supervisor must be controlled by the software running on a CPU. Listing 2 shows an example Python interface of the Supervisor.

```
entity Supervisor is
  generic (WORKER_COUNT : positive := 24);
  port (
     clk_i : in std_logic;
     -- Supervisor control interface
     counter_o : out std_logic_vector(47 downto 0);
     reset_counter_i : in std_logic;
     -- Program procedure
     program_i : in std_logic;
     programmed_counter_value_i : in std_logic_vector(47 downto 0);
     worker_data0_i : in std_logic_vector(11 downto 0);
     worker data1 i : in std logic vector(11 downto 0);
     -- Workers mask is set independently
     workers_mask_i : in std_logic_vector(WORKER_COUNT-1 downto 0);
     -- Unprogram procedure
     unprogram_i : in std_logic;
      -- Status bits
     programmed_o : out std_logic;
     programmed_in_past_o : out std_logic;
     workers_ready_o : out std_logic_vector(WORKER_COUNT-1 downto 0);
     -- Interface to Workers
     workers_ready_i : in std_logic_vector(WORKER_COUNT-1 downto 0);
     data_valid_o : out std_logic_vector(WORKER_COUNT-1 downto 0);
     worker_data0_o : out std_logic_vector(11 downto 0);
     worker_data1_o : out std_logic_vector(11 downto 0)
  );
end entity;
```
Listing 1: Example Supervisor VHDL entity interface.

```
class Supervisor():
    def __init__(self, registers_handle):
        pass
    def read_counter(self):
        pass
    def reset_counter(self):
        pass
    def read status bits(self):
        pass
    def program(self, counter_value, worker_data0, worker_data1):
        pass
    def unprogram(self):
        pass
    def read_workers_ready(self):
        pass
    def set_workers(self, workers):
        pass
```
<span id="page-16-0"></span>Listing 2: Example Supervisor Python software interface.

#### **1.2 Register-centric approach**

In the register-centric approach, one has to take the following mandatory steps:

- a) Identify control signals. In the case of the Supervisor, these are: reset\_counter, program, unprogram, programmed\_counter\_value, worker\_data0, worker data1, workers mask.
- b) Identify status signals. In the case of the Supervisor, these are: counter, programmed, programmed\_in\_past, workers\_ready.
- c) Identify which control signals form a broader context. For instance, worker\_data0 does not make sense when used alone. It is solely one of the procedure's parameters allowing for passing data to the workers. On the other hand, unprogram makes sense on its own.
- d) Identify which status signals form a broader context. There is no such case in the example Supervisor.
- e) Calculate the number of bits required for control and status signals. The example Supervisor needs 82 status bits (counter, programmed, programmed\_in\_past, workers ready) and 96 control bits (programmed counter value, worker data0 worker data1, workers mask). Whether reset counter, program, unprogram should be included is yet another question. As these are single-bit signals solely triggering some action, they can be implemented as registers or fields requiring explicit set and clear or as register-associated signals triggered during register write. The second option is usually better as it provides lower latency. However, if the first option is chosen, then there are 99 control bits.
- f) Identify control and status signals requiring special handling. For example, in the case of the Supervisor, there is 48-bit long counter value. As the bus width is 32 bits, one needs to provide some mechanism for an atomic read of the counter value to keep the value integrity while reading the counter.
- g) Manually decide the register layout. This step involves answering a lot of irrelevant questions. For example, how many registers are needed? Should lower bits of the counter value be placed in the first or the second status register? Should reading the first or the second register of the counter value trigger the atomic read? Should programmed and programmed in past be placed in separate registers or in one of the counter value registers to save some address space size? What should be the order of control signals within the control registers? The number of possible implementations is infinite.

It is quite a lot of work, even for such a simple module. Moreover, the whole register structure must also be reflected in the software. Figure 1.2 shows a conceptual model of layers in the register-centric approach.



Figure 1.2: Conceptual stack of layers in the register-centric approach.

The communication interface and interconnect layers are irrelevant regarding the address space and register management. Register-centric solutions focus on the module registers and bus fabric layers. They allow describing one or more of these layers and can autogenerate appropriate gateware, firmware, and software. However, these solutions ignore the fact that some signals might need special handling or be a part of some broader context. For instance, a user has to implement atomic reads or writes himself. The same applies to the software responsible for triggering procedures implemented in the gateware, consisting of multiple control registers. Such an approach is error-prone and leads to duplication of information. For example, the information that some signal needs atomic read is manually implemented in two places: in the firmware source code and the software source code.

Working manually on the register layout is also susceptible to changes. In the example Supervisor module, there are 96 bits needed for the control signals if reset counter, program, and unprogram are implemented as strobe signals associated with given con-

trol registers. This is exactly three registers on a 32-bit wide bus. However, should reset counter, program, unprogram be associated with registers storing some data, or maybe with virtual registers (registers with addresses but not storing any data)? What happens if more workers have to be added? The user has to manually add more control registers and adjust the firmware and software accordingly. Yet another question arises. Should the whole, longer workers\_mask be moved to the new third control register, or maybe just the new extra bits? Listing 3 shows an example implementation of the software handling Supervisor module in the case of a register-centric approach.

```
class Supervisor:
    def __init__(self, registers_handle):
        self.registers_handle = registers_handle
    def read_counter(self):
        """ To keep counter integrity and perform atomic read, the
            counter register 0 must be read as the first one. """
        counter = self.registers_handle.Counter0.read()
        counter |= self.registers_handle.Counter1.read() << 32
        return counter
    def reset_counter(self):
        self.registers_handle.Reset_Counter.write(0)
    def read_status_bits(self):
        """ Returns tuple (programmed, programmed_in_past). """
        status = self.registers_handle.Status.read()
        return status & 1, status & 2
    def program(self, counter_value, worker_data0, worker_data1):
        """ Program0 register has to be written as the last one, as it has
            strobe signal associated with it, which serves as the arm signal. """
        self.registers_handle.Program2.write((worker_data1 << 12) | worker_data0)
        self.registers_handle.Program1.write(counter_value >> 32)
        self.registers_handle.Program0.write(counter_value & OxFFFFFFFF)
    def unprogram(self):
        self.registers handle.Unprogram.write(0)
    def read_workers_ready(self):
        return self.registers_handle.Workers_Ready.read()
    def set_workers(self, workers):
        """ Enable given workers. Workers argument can be a worker number
            or a list of workers numbers. """
        if type(workers) == int:
            workers = [workers]
        mask = 0for w in workers:
           mask |= 1 << wself.registers_handle.Workers_Mask.write(mask)
```
Listing 3: Example Supervisor software interface implementation for register-centric approach.

It all has to be coded manually. What is worse is that in case of any register changes, it also has to be adjusted manually. This is because available solutions are register-centric. They treat registers as a goal, not as a path to an actual goal, which is always the functionality of the data.

The register-centric approach gives much freedom and is highly flexible. On the other hand, it does not look at the registers from the broader context and is unaware of the semantics of the stored data. This implies micro-management of registers, generates a lot of irrelevant questions, and is relatively susceptible to changes.

Listing 5 presents an example SystemRDL description for the example Supervisor. SystemRDL is the only formally defined register-centric format. If there were a need to increase the number of workers above the data bus width, then the description would need a [re](#page-21-0)latively lot of adjustments. The register layout is described manually, so the WORKER COUNT macro can no longer be used. Listing 4 presents the file difference that would have to be applied in such a case.

```
5,6d4
< `define WORKER_COUNT 24
\overline{\phantom{a}}19,20c17 ,21
< field {fieldwidth = `WORKER_COUNT; sw = w; hw = r;} mask;
< } Workers_Mask;
---
> field \{sw = w; hw = r;\} mask;
> } Workers_Mask0;
> reg {
> field {fieldwidth = 1; sw = w; hw = r; } mask;
> } Workers_Mask1;
37,38c38 ,42
< field {fieldwidth = `WORKER_COUNT; sw = r; hw = w;} mask;
< } Workers_Ready;
---
> field \{sw = r; hw = w;\} mask;
> } Workers_Ready0;
> reg {
> field {fieldwidth = 1; sw = r; hw = w;} mask;
> } Workers_Ready1;
```
Listing 4: Example Supervisor SystemRDL description change for worker count increase above the data bus width.

```
addrmap Supervisor {
  name = "Supervisor";
  default regwidth = 32;
  `define WORKER_COUNT 24
  reg empty_strobe_reg_t {
   field \{sw = w; hw = na; swacc;\} dummy;
  };
  // Counter0 has to be read as the first one to
  // keep counter value integrity.
  reg \{ field \{ sw = r; hw = w; \} data; \} Counter0;reg {
    regwidth = 16;
   field \{sw = r; hw = w;\} data[16];
  } Counter1;
  empty_strobe_reg_t Reset_Counter;
  reg {
   field {fieldwidth = `WORKER_COUNT; sw = w; hw = r;} mask;
  } Workers_Mask;
  // Program0 must be written as the last one,
  // as the write triggers Program procedure.
  reg {
    field {sw = w; hw = r; swacc;} counter_value0;
  } Program0;
  reg {
    \texttt{regular} = 16;
    field \{sw = w; hw = r;\} counter_value1[16];
  } Program1;
  reg {
    field \{sw = w; hw = r;\} worker_data0[12];
    field \{sw = w; hw = r;\} worker_data1[12];
  } Program2;
  empty_strobe_reg_t Unprogram;
  reg {
    field {fieldwidth = `WORKER_COUNT; sw = r; hw = w;} mask;
  } Workers_Ready;
  reg {
    field {fieldwidth = 1; sw = r; hw = w;} programmed;
    field {fieldwidth = 1; sw = r; hw = w;} programmed_in_past;
  } Status;
};
```

```
Listing 5: Example Supervisor SystemRDL description.
```
### **1.3 Functionality-centric approach**

<span id="page-22-0"></span>The thesis proposes a paradigm shift leading to a different approach. It looks at the design and modules from the *functionality* point of view. It is the functionality of the data that is in the center. An engineer always thinks about the functionality a given module should serve. The whole register layout is automatically generated based on the declarative description of the provided functionalities.

Figure 1.3 shows a conceptual model of layers in the functionality-centric approach. There is an extra data functionality layer compared to the register-centric approach. This is the core layer in this model. The module register layers are automatically generated based on the [dat](#page-22-1)a functionality layer.



<span id="page-22-1"></span>Figure 1.3: Conceptual stack of layers in the functionality-centric approach.

Looking at data from the functionality point of view allows for avoiding register micromanagement. Having functionality embedded into the register data notation also helps to prevent information duplication. For example, atomic accesses or procedure calls can be easily automatically generated for both the requester and the provider. This removes a whole surface of potential human mistakes.

Listing 6 presents FBDL description for the example Supervisor, and appendix A presents registerification results. If there were a need to change the number of workers, then it would be enough to change the WORKER COUNT constant value, even if the new number was greater [t](#page-23-0)han the bus width. Listing 7 presents the file difference that would [ha](#page-137-0)ve to be applied in such a case. As the compiler carries out the registerification process, the whole register layout is automatically adjusted. There is no need to manually adapt gateware, firmware, or software code. As FBD[L p](#page-23-1)romotes safety by default, there is also no need to explicitly declare Counter status to be atomic. Any data wider than the data bus width has atomic access unless explicitly waived by the user.

```
Main bus
    Supervisor block
       const WORKER_COUNT = 24
       Counter status; width = 48
       Reset_Counter proc
       Workers_Mask mask; width = WORKER_COUNT
       Program proc
           counter_value param; width = 48
           worker_data [2]param; width = 12
       Unprogram proc
       Workers_Ready status; width = WORKER_COUNT
       type status_t status; width = 1; groups = "status"
       programmed status_t
       programmed_in_past status_t
```
Listing 6: Example Supervisor FBDL description.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>3c3 < const WORKER\_COUNT = 24 --- > const WORKER\_COUNT = 33

<span id="page-23-1"></span>Listing 7: Example Supervisor FBDL description change for worker count increase above the bus width.

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>**2 On-chip interconnect architectures**

Probably every practical computing system ever created consists of independent components (there is at least some processing unit and a memory). In order to achieve synergy and serve desired functionality, these components must communicate with each other using a set of organized rules (communication protocols or standards). This network of connections is often referred to as system interconnect. The very first interconnect architectures were also called buses. The term "bus" originates from the computer, whose history can be traced back to 1946 [14]. This term is still in common use, although nowadays, bus protocols differ significantly from their ancestors. A bus, in general, is a common pathway through which information flows from one computer component to another. In the early days, computer [com](#page-124-0)ponents were relatively big, and all buses were physically made of copper wires, or later as traces on the printed circuit boards. Initially, those buses served four functions:

- 1. Data sharing the primary purpose of every bus. Data processing is the core concept of every computing system. It would not be achievable without data transfer between system components.
- 2. Addressing a bus had address lines. This allowed data to be sent to a particular system component to a specific memory location.
- 3. Clock distribution a bus provided a system clock signal to synchronize the peripherals attached to it or even to clock the peripheral itself.
- 4. Power supplying a bus supplied power to various peripherals connected to it.

The most popular computer expansion buses include ISA [15], EISA [16], MCA [17], VESA [18], SCSI [19], USB [20], and PCI/PCIe [21]. Most of them are not used anymore as they have been replaced with the USB and PCIe. With the advancement of technology, especially integrated circuits technology, it was possib[le t](#page-124-1)o shrink [co](#page-124-2)mponent[s o](#page-124-3)f comput[ing](#page-124-4) system[s t](#page-124-5)o the si[zes](#page-124-6), allowing the pla[cem](#page-124-7)ent of multiple of them (or even the whole system) on a single chip. There was still a need to connect system components to enable communication between them. However, traditional microcomputer buses were fundamentally handicapped for use as a SoC interconnection. This is because they were designed to drive long signal traces and connector systems, which are highly inductive and capacitive. In this regard, SoC is much simpler and faster. Furthermore, the SoC solutions have a rich set of interconnection resources. These do not exist in microcomputer buses because they are limited by chip packaging and mechanical connectors. As the existing buses were not optimal for implementation on chips, the interconnect architectures started to be grouped into two classes: the off-chip interconnect architectures and the on-chip interconnect architectures. The on-chip buses serve the same functions as the off-chip buses except the last one, the power supply [22]. In the case of SoCs, the power is usually supplied separately via the chip backbone. The clock is also not always distributed, as a bus can be asynchronous [23], but this might also be valid in the case of off-chip buses. Examples of prevailing on-chip buses includ[e A](#page-124-8)RM AMBA AXI [4], IBM CoreConnect [24], Intel Avalon [25], STMic[roe](#page-124-9)lectronics STBus [26], Opencores Wishbone [5], MARBLE (asynchronous) [27].

The following [sec](#page-125-0)tions briefly d[escr](#page-125-1)ibe two on-chip bus standard[s, t](#page-125-2)he AXI and t[he](#page-123-9) Wish[bo](#page-123-10)ne. They have been chosen [bec](#page-125-3)ause:

- 1. they are omnipresent and popular,
- 2. they have different control logic.

The descriptions are brief because the Wishbone revision B4 specification has 128 pages and, the AMBA AXI specification is 273 pages long. The subsections' purpose is solely to introduce example bus logic.

#### **2.1 AMBA AXI**

<span id="page-25-0"></span>The AMBA AXI protocol is copyrighted by the Arm company. Its first version was released in 2003, and its latest version, 5, was released in March 2023. In 2021, the specification changed primary terminology. The Master term was replaced with the Manager term, and the Slave term was replaced with the Subordinate term. It is worth mentioning because almost all available materials, except the specification and available IP cores, still use the old terminology. AXI gained much popularity probably because it became de facto the standard for connecting IP cores in FPGA designs utilizing AMD Xilinx or Intel chips. Both companies are the major programmable logic devices market vendors, and both offer AXI interconnect cores and functional IP cores with AXI interfaces.

The AXI protocol defines five independent channels:

- 1. write request (AW),
- 2. write data (W),
- 3. write response (B),
- 4. read reqeust (AR),
- 5. read data (R).

Request channels carry control information that describes the nature of the data to be transferred. Having independent channels for write and read means that the master can simultaneously write and read the same slave. Write throughput is not limited by read transactions, and read throughput is not limited by write transactions. This is not true, for example, for the Wishbone bus.

The specification does not impose possible system interconnect topologies and only mentions the most popular ones:

- 1. shared request and data channels,
- 2. shared request channel and multiple data channels,
- 3. multilayer, with multiple request and data channels.

Figure 2.1 presents the AXI channel architecture of writes. A single transaction might contain multiple transfers. Write transaction completion is signaled only for a complete transac[tion](#page-26-0), not for each data transfer in a transaction.



<span id="page-26-0"></span>Figure 2.1: AXI channel architecture of writes [4].

Figure 2.2 shows the timing diagram for AXI single read transa[cti](#page-123-9)on with single data transfer and a bare minimum number of interface signals. It is the simplest possible transaction with the minimum number of channels involved. The manager drives address and va[lid s](#page-27-1)ignals in the read request channel and the ready signal in the read channel. The subordinate drives the ready signal in the read request channel and data and valid signals in the read channel. The side driving the ready signal can assert or deassert it anytime, even before valid signal assertion. This means handshaking in AXI can take as



<span id="page-27-1"></span>Figure 2.2: AXI single read transaction with single data transfer.

little as one clock cycle. A transfer occurs only when both the valid and ready signals are high. The side driving the valid signal must wait for ready assertion after it asserts the valid signal. A deadlock happens if the side driving the valid signal waits for the ready signal assertion before the valid signal assertion and the side driving the ready signal waits for the valid signal assertion before the ready assertion. To prevent such scenarios, the specification states that the valid signal source is not permitted to wait until the ready signal is asserted before asserting the valid signal. The specification forbids combinatorial paths between input and output signals on the manager and subordinate sides.

The AMBA AXI specification also defines the AXI-Lite version of the protocol. The AXI-Lite is a subset of AXI where all transactions have one data transfer. It is intended for communication with register-based components and simple memories when bursts of data transfer are not advantageous.

<span id="page-27-0"></span>There is also an AMBA AXI-Stream protocol defined in a separate specification [28]. AXI-Stream is a point-to-point protocol connecting a single Transmitter and a single Receiver. The terms "Master/Manager" and "Slave/Subordinate" are not used in this case, as the data always flows from the Transmitter to the Receiver. The specifica[tion](#page-125-4) of AXI-Stream describes how data is transferred but does not describe the meaning of the data. AXI-Stream is often used in data streaming applications, for example, video processing. Although defined as a separate protocol, the AXI-Stream utilizes the same valid-ready handshaking approach as the standard AXI protocol.

#### **2.2 Wishbone**

Wishbone bus architecture was developed by Silicore Corporation. It was put into the public domain in August 2002 by OpenCores (an organization promoting open IP cores development). Wishbone versions till revision 4 were not copyrighted, and revision 4 is copyrighted to the OpenCores. Wishbone can be freely copied and distributed.

Wishbone supports various core interconnection means, including:

- 1. point-to-point,
- 2. shared bus,
- 3. crossbar switch,
- 4. data flow,
- 5. off chip.

The possible interconnections are presented in Figure 2.3.



Figure 2.3: Possible Wishbone interconnections.

Wishbone supports single read/write transactions, with possible pipelining (introduced in revision 4), block read/write transactions, and read-modify-write transactions. It also supports registered feedback transactions, which allow for better throughput.

Figure 2.4 shows the timing diagram for a classic standard single read transaction with the bare minimum number of interface signals. It is the simplest possible transaction. However, it is enough to present how fundamentally different Wishbone control logic is from t[he A](#page-29-1)XI control logic. The transaction starts when the cycle signal is asserted by



<span id="page-29-1"></span>Figure 2.4: Wishbone classic standard single read transaction.

the master on the second clock rising edge. The master also drives the address bus, write enable and asserts the strobe signal to inform the slave that signals are valid and can be read. The slave drives data on the third clock rising edge and asserts the acknowledgment signal to inform the master that the data is valid. The slave may wait before asserting the acknowledgment signal to throttle the transaction speed.

Compared to the AXI, the handshaking in Wishbone is related to the transaction as a whole. There is no separate handshaking for requests, data, and write response.

#### **2.3 Network on Chip**

<span id="page-29-0"></span>The network on chip is an on-chip interconnect architecture trying to overcome the limits of the traditional bus architectures. The problem was observed and reported in the late 1990s, and was initially addressed in the early years of the 21st century [29, 30, 31, 32]. The most popular drawbacks of the traditional bus architectures that NoC tries to solve include:

- 1. Limited bandwidth shared by all attached units.
- 2. Decrease of the maximum frequency with the increase of the number of modules connected to the bus. Every module adds parasitic capacitance, therefore the electrical performance degrades with the increase of modules number.
- 3. IPs interface incompatibility. The 32-bit AXI Lite master will simply not work with the 64-bit Wishbone slave in a traditional bus architecture without an extra bridge. In the NoC approach, each network node can have an individual interface for local register access.
- 4. Coupled transaction, transport, and physical activities. Changes to the bus physical implementation can have profound ripple effects upon the implementation of the higher-level bus behaviors. NoC distinguishes transaction, transport, and physical layers that can be adjusted or improved independently.

However, NoC is mainly used in high bandwidth performance critical heterogeneous SoC applications. Even homogeneous designs focused on accelerating the processing of gigabytes or terabytes of data (usually implemented using the HLS technique) do not use NoC but rather different types of AXI interfaces depending on the nature and amount of data being exchanged between modules [33]. This is because NoC is not free of drawbacks. The most popular ones are:

- 1. Latency increase due to the in[ter](#page-125-5)nal network connections and routing algorithms.
- 2. Increased resource utilization compared to the traditional bus architectures.
- 3. Increased overall system complexity.

There are numerous different NoC topologies [34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39]. The most popular ones include ring, octagon, star, mesh, torus, folded torus, butterfly, binary tree, fat tree, cube, crossed cube, hypercube, reduced h[ype](#page-125-6)[rcu](#page-126-0)[be,](#page-126-1) r[edu](#page-126-2)[ced](#page-126-3) [me](#page-126-4)sh and cluster-based hybrid, mesh connected ring, and cmesh.

Although the NoC architecture was inspired by well-known computer networks such as LAN or WAN, it differs significantly from them. This is because the implementing the protocols used in these networks, such as IP [40] or TCP [41], would consume a relatively large amount of resources and require significant buffering capabilities. NoC packet typically consists of a header and payload data. The header must include at least the address of the destination node, but it often also incl[ude](#page-126-5)s data le[ngth](#page-126-6), data tags, and the address of the source node. How the data is routed via the network depends on the routing algorithm. Although the macro-level architecture of the NoC differs significantly from the traditional bus architecture, the packet data still has to be somehow distributed inside the module attached to the network via the network interface. There are two standard ways to achieve this. The first one is dataflow communication, and the second one is address space communication. This is exactly what traditional buses were designed for. So, in the end, the traditional bus architectures are still used within the NoC architectures. However, their scope is limited to the single network nodes. Figure 2.5 presents an example 12 nodes network on chip with the mesh topology.



Figure 2.5: Example 12 nodes mesh network on chip.

# <span id="page-32-0"></span>**3 Prior art**

This chapter presents the current state of the art. The term "tool" is used for all solutions, although not all are strictly tools. Moreover, some are standalone entities, while others are a part of more extensive frameworks. Each tool has been designed and implemented by different teams. Although their main goal is the same, they sometimes accentuate diverse areas. As a result, relative comparison is not always straightforward. This is why they are rather matched against a generic template. **Nonetheless, none of the available solutions offers a functional view of data placed in the registers. They are registers-centric.** The order of analysis is alphabetical.

### **3.1 Existing tools**

<span id="page-32-1"></span>Register-centric approaches can be divided into two classes depending on the data they produce. The first class, as the output data, produces information on register addresses, masks, and bit shifts. The second class abstracts registers and bit fields as objects. The user does not explicitly use addresses, masks, and bit shifts but calls methods for reading and writing particular registers and bit fields. Instead of providing methods for reading and writing, some solutions prefer operators overloading, for example [42]. The second class is safer to use as it eliminates mistakes caused, for example, by applying bit shift of bit field *A* for bit field *B*.

It is important to mention that all described tools and solutions are i[n c](#page-126-7)ontinuous development, so some of their features might have changed, or new features might have been added since they were described. It is also worth mentioning that if tool *T* claims support for feature  $F$  or language  $L$ , then it might not be full support, as all such tools are implemented incrementally. It does not indicate the weakness of the tools but rather shows a pragmatic approach to the problem. There would be no technical progress in the described field if the tools were usable only when they were 100 % complete.

#### **3.1.1 airhdl**

<span id="page-33-0"></span>The airhdl [43] is a web-based AXI4 VHDL/SystemVerilog register generator tool. It also has a command line version, requiring Java runtime version 8 or higher, accepting register specification in JSON [44] format. It supports code generation for SystemVerilog, VHDL,  $C/C++$ , H[TM](#page-126-8)L [45] or Markdown documentaiton or transformation to IP-XACT XML [46] format. The tool is closed source, and any plan except the Free one is paid. The main website has a demo vi[deo](#page-126-9) upon which it is clear that the tool follows the register-centric approach. The us[er e](#page-126-10)xplicitly defines registers and bit fields. The generated C header file [con](#page-127-0)tains macros defining addresses, offsets, and masks.

#### **3.1.2 Address Generator for Wishbone**

<span id="page-33-1"></span>The AGWB [3, 47], the successor of addr\_gen [48], facilitates the automated generation of the control system's HDL and software components based on the XML system description. It supports code generation for VHDL, C, Pyt[hon](#page-127-2), Forth, XML register map, and HTML for documen[ta](#page-123-2)t[ion](#page-127-1).

Listing 8 presents an example AGWB register description in XML format. This snippet is taken directly from the DAQ readout chain for the STS being prepared for the CBM experi[me](#page-33-2)nt at GSI Darmstadt.

```
<block name="hctsp_software_command_slot">
   <creg name="control" stb="1" default="0x0">
       <field name="chip_address" width="4"/>
       <field name="downlink_mask" width="12"/>
       <field name="group_mask" width="8"/>
       <field name="sequence_number" width="4"/>
   </creg>
   <creg name="control_frame" reps="2" default="0x0">
       <field name="request_type" width="2"/>
       <field name="request_payload" width="15"/>
       <field name="crc" width="15"/>
   </creg>
</block>
```
Listing 8: Example AGWB description in XML format.

<span id="page-33-2"></span>The hctsp software command slot block has three control registers with an extra strobe signal associated with the control register. What is not seen and can not be deduced from the description is that all three control registers constitute a broader context. Namely, they are all used to pass arguments to the procedure sending commands to the set of front-end ASICs. Which front-end ASICs receive the command depends on the values of the chip address, downlink mask, and group mask. None of the three control registers makes sense without the remaining two registers. What is more, as the control control register has an associated strobe signal (stb="1") it must be written as the last of the three registers. However, as the approach is register-centric, the correct write access order must be coded manually. It leaves room for the programmer's mistakes.

Listing 9 shows the VHDL interface of the Software Command Slot entity. The set pending i port is connected to the strobe signal of the control register. The clear pending i port in the actual design is driven by the command consumer logic, but for co-si[m](#page-34-0)ulation purposes, it was connected to the testbench register with an associated strobe signal. The definitions of t\_command and t\_command\_request record types are not shown. However, all fields belonging to these types are presented in figures 3.1 and 3.2.

```
entity Software_Command_Slot is
```

```
port (
     clk_40_i : in std_logic;
     set_pending_i : in std_logic;
     clear_pending_i : in std_logic;
     downlink_mask_i : in std_logic_vector(11 downto 0);
     group_mask_i : in std_logic_vector(7 downto 0);
     command_i : in t_command;
     command_request_o : out t_command_request := C_EMPTY_COMMAND_REQUEST
  );
end entity;
```
Listing 9: Software Command Slot VHDL entity interface.

<span id="page-34-0"></span>Listing 10 shows the creation of write commands for Python co-simulation testbench. A single write command consists of two control frames. The first control frame contains the register address as the payload, and the second one contains data. The provided sequence number is the sequence number of the first control frame, the second control frame within the command must have a sequence number increased by one compared to the first control frame.

```
write_commands = [
    Command(
        downlink\_mask = 0x30,
        group\_mask = 0x8,
        chip_address = 3,
        sequence_number = 0,request_types = (WRADDR, WRDATA),
        register_address = 0x4A,
        data = 0x31,),
    Command(
        downlink\_mask = 0x1D0,
        group\_mask = 0xAB,chip_address = 0xF,
        sequence_number = 0xD,request_types = (WRADDR, WRDATA),
        register_address = 0xFF9,
        data = 0x75,
    ),
]
```
Listing 10: Snippet of Python code with write command objects creation for Software Command Slot.

<span id="page-35-0"></span>Listing 11 presents the Python method with an invalid order of register writes. As the access order has to be implemented manually, it is relatively easy to write the control register before the control frame registers by mistake. If the control register is written before [con](#page-35-1)trol\_frame registers, the system "almost works."

```
def send(self, handle):
    handle.control.writeb(
        (self.sequence_number << 24 ) |
        (self.group_mask << 16) |
        (self.downlink\_mask \leq 4)self.chip_address
    \mathcal{L}for i in range(0, 2):
        handle.control_frame[i].writeb(
            (self.crcs[i] \ll 17)(self.payloads[i] << 2) |
            self.request_types[i]
        )
```
<span id="page-35-1"></span>Listing 11: Python method sending command to the Software Command Slot - invalid write order.
Figure 3.1 presents waveforms for signals connected to the Software Command Slot entity ports in case of invalid write order. When the command request is marked as pending, the typee, payload and crc attributes of both control frames are not yet valid (waveforms [\). T](#page-36-0)he behavior depends on when the command request consumer samples the data. If sampling happens after the typee, payload, and crc are updated, the system works correctly. However, if sampling happens before the update, then the system works incorrectly. The first command results in a CRC error. However, later commands are sent correctly with an extra one command delay unless the set of destination front-end ASICs changes. In such cases, valid commands are sent to the invalid set of ASICs, and no error is reported. Such bugs can be complex and time-consuming to debug, as there is implicit state storage between commands in case of incorrect register write order. This kind of mistake happened to the author during the development and made him think there must be a better way to describe data stored in the registers.



<span id="page-36-0"></span>Figure 3.1: Software Command Slot entity port signal waveforms - invalid write order.

Listing 12 shows the Python method with a valid order of register writes, and figure 3.2 presents waveforms for signals connected to the Software Command Slot entity ports in case of valid write order. All attributes of both control frames are already valid when the comma[nd](#page-37-0) request pending signal is asserted. The result does not depend on the comm[and](#page-37-1) consumer sampling time.

```
def send(self, handle):
    for i in range(0, 2):
       handle.control_frame[i].writeb(
            (self.crcs[i] << 17) |
            (self.payloads[i] << 2) |
            self.request_types[i]
        )
   handle.control.writeb(
        (self.sequence_number << 24 ) |
        (self.group_mask << 16) |
        (self.downlink\_mask \leq 4) |
        self.chip_address
    )
```
Listing 12: Python method sending command to the Software Command Slot - valid write order.

<span id="page-37-0"></span>

Signals	Waves					
Time	l00 ns	200 ns 300 ns				
clk 40 $i = 1$						
set pending $i=0$						
clear pending $i=0$						
command i						
downlink_mask_i[11:0]=1D0	000	030			<b>XIDO</b>	
group mask $i[7:0] = AB$	00	08			<b>AB</b>	
command i.control frames(0)						
chip address $[3:0] = F$		ΙзΓ			ΪFΤ	
sequence number $[3:0] = D$					$\sqrt{D}$	
typee=wraddr	no op wraddr					
payload[14:0] =0FF9	0000 004A			<b>XOFF9</b>		
$crc[14:0]=62D8$	0000 1734			<b>X62D8</b>		
command i.control frames(1)						
chip address $[3:0] = F$		$\overline{3}$			F	
sequence_number[3:0]=E					E	
typee=wrdata	no_op	wrdata				
payload[14:0] =0075	0000	0031			0075	
$crc[14:0]=64F1$	0000 00D <sub>2</sub> 64F1					
command request o						
$pending = 1$						
downlink $mask[11:0] = 100$	000		030		1D <sub>0</sub>	
group $mask[7:0] = AB$	00		08		AB	
command request o.control frames(0)						
chip address $[3:0] = F$	0				F	
sequence number $[3:0] = D$					D	
typee=wraddr	no op	wraddr				
$payload[14:0] = 0FF9$	0000	004A		OFF <sub>9</sub>		
$crc[14:0]=62D8$	0000	1734		62D8		
command request o.control frames(1)						
chip address $[3:0] = F$	O		a			
sequence number $[3:0] = E$					Ē.	
typee=wrdata	no op	<u>Wrdata</u>				
payload[14:0]=0075	0000	0031			0075	
$crc[14:0]=64F1$	0000	00D <sub>2</sub>			64F1	

<span id="page-37-1"></span>Figure 3.2: Software Command Slot entity port signal waveforms - valid write order.

#### **3.1.3 AutoFPGA**

AutoFPGA [49] is an FPGA design automation routine. AutoFPGA aims to take a series of bus component configuration files and compose a design consisting of the various bus components linked together in logic, having an appropriate bus interconnect, and more. AutoFPGA [is m](#page-127-0)uch more than a register generation or bus management tool. It is more like a uniform framework for implementing FPGA designs. However, it is considered prior art in this dissertation because register and bus management aspects are significant.

AutoFPGA files used for design generation contain much more information than register definitions. Listing 13 presents a snippet of the AutoFPGA documentation regarding the register description. Listing 14 presents a snippet regarding register macros of atuomatically generated regdefs.h file. This is a standard low-level register-centric approach. The user is provided wi[th](#page-38-0) macr[os d](#page-39-0)efining register addresses and must manually implement access methods.

REGDEFS.H.INCLUDE Placed at the top of the regdefs.h file REGS.NOTE A comment to be placed at the beginning of the register list for this peripheral REGS.N The number of registers this peripheral has. AutoFPGA will then look for keys of the form REGS.0 through REGS.(REGS.N-1). REGS.0...? Describes a register by name. The first value is the offset within the address space of this device. The second token is a string defining a C #def'd constant. The third and subsequent tokens represent human readable names that may be associated with this register. REGDEFS.H.DEFNS Placed with other definitions within regdefs.h REGDEFS.H.INSERT Placed in regdefs.h following all of the definitions I may change this to the following notation, though: REGSDEFS.NOTE REGS.<name >.ADDR # Offset within the peripheral REGS.<name>.UNAME(s) # User-readable name REGS.<name>.DESC(ription for LaTeX)

<span id="page-38-0"></span>Listing 13: AutoFPGA documentation on register definition.

```
// Register address definitions, from @REGS.#d
#define R_BUSERR 0x00080000 // 00080000, wbregs names: BUSERR
#define R_FIXEDATA 0x00080004 // 00080004, wbregs names: FIXEDATA
#define R_PWRCOUNT 0x00080008 // 00080008, wbregs names: PWRCOUNT
#define R_RAWREG 0x0008000c // 0008000c, wbregs names: RAWREG
#define R_SIMHALT 0x00080010 // 00080010, wbregs names: SIMHALT
#define R_SPIO 0x00080014 // 00080014, wbregs names: SPIO
#define R_VERSION 0x00080018 // 00080018, wbregs names: VERSION
#define R_BKRAM 0x00100000 // 00100000, wbregs names: RAM
```
Listing 14: Snippet of regdefs.h file automatically generated by AutoFPGA.

### <span id="page-39-0"></span>**3.1.4 Cheby**

The Cheby [50, 51], the successor of the Cheburashka [52], aims to define a file format to describe the hardware-software interface (the memory map) and a set of tools to generate HDL, driver[s, a](#page-127-1)[nd](#page-127-2) documentation from the files. It us[es](#page-127-3) YAML as a register description file format.

Listing 15 presents an example Cheby register description in YAML format. The user explicitly defines registers, providing their names, type, width, and access type. For example, register inputs represent 32 inputs of a GPIO. As inputs can only be read, the access t[ype](#page-40-0) is defined as ro (read-only).

The Cheby generator is capable of generating a C++ library. The library provides a hierarchical interface over every memory node defined in a memory map. The library interface allows software developers to read or write to registers and their fields, having all low-level bit-shifting and masking operations done by the wrapper. This is a higher abstraction than addresses, masks, and shifts generation and implementing the access manually. However, there is no way to inform Cheby that a particular set of registers may form a broader context and that they must always be read or written as a whole in the correct order. The Cheby is representative of a typical register-centric approach with abstracted access to a single register or bit field.

```
memory-map:
  bus: wb-32-be
 name: gpios
  x-hdl:
    busgroup: True
  children:
  - reg:
      name: inputs
      description: A register
      type: unsigned
      width: 32
      access: ro
  - reg:
      name: outputs
      type: unsigned
      width: 32
      access: rw
  - submap:
      name: gpios_axi4
      size: 0x40
      description: An AXI4-Lite bus
      interface: axi4-lite-32
```
Listing 15: Example Cheby registers description in YAML format.

### <span id="page-40-0"></span>**3.1.5 Corsair**

The Corsair [53] is a tool for creating and maintaining control and status register maps for HDL projects. The Corsair accepts JSON, YAML, and plain text tables as input formats. It is capable of generating files for Verilog, VHDL, C, Python, and documentation written in Markdow[n.](#page-127-4)

Listing 16 presents an example Corsair register description in YAML format. Listing 17 presents the generated C header file. This is a traditional, register-centric approach. An engineer describes registers at the lowest level and gets information on addresses, masks, and shi[fts](#page-41-0) (LSB in this case). Later, this information is used in the manual implementati[on](#page-42-0) of the software accessing the data. Corsair also allows for code generation for Python. In this case, proper addressing, masking, and shifting are automatically generated. However, there is no way to define a broader context consisting of multiple registers.

```
regmap:
- name: DATA
    description: Data register
    address: 4
    bitfields:
      - name: FIFO
       description: Write to push value to TX FIFO, read to get data from RX FIFO
       reset: 0
       width: 8
       lsb: 0
       access: rw
       hardware: q
       enums: []
    - name: FERR
       description: Frame error flag. Read to clear.
       reset: 0
       width: 1
       lsb: 16
        access: rolh
       hardware: i
        enums: []
- name: STAT
    description: Status register
    address: 12
    bitfields:
    - name: BUSY
       description: Transciever is busy
       reset: 0
       width: 1
        lsb: 2
        access: ro
       hardware: ie
        enums: []
    - name: RXE
       description: RX FIFO is empty
        reset: 0
        width: 1
        lsb: 4
        access: ro
        hardware: i
        enums: []
```
<span id="page-41-0"></span>Listing 16: Example Corsair register description in YAML format.

```
#ifndef __REGS_H
#define __REGS_H
#define __I volatile const // 'read only' permissions
#define __O volatile // 'write only' permissions
#define __IO volatile // 'read / write' permissions
#include "stdint.h"
#define CSR_BASE_ADDR 0x0
#define CSR_DATA_ADDR 0x4
#define CSR_DATA_RESET 0x0
typedef struct { uint32_t FIFO : 8; uint32_t :16; uint32_t FERR : 1; } csr_data_t;
#define CSR_DATA_FIFO_WIDTH 8
#define CSR_DATA_FIFO_LSB 0
#define CSR_DATA_FIFO_MASK 0x4
#define CSR_DATA_FIFO_RESET 0x0
#define CSR_DATA_FERR_WIDTH 1
#define CSR_DATA_FERR_LSB 16
#define CSR_DATA_FERR_MASK 0x4
#define CSR_DATA_FERR_RESET 0x0
#define CSR_STAT_ADDR 0xc
#define CSR_STAT_RESET 0x0
typedef struct
    { uint32_t :2; uint32_t BUSY : 1; uint32_t :4; uint32_t RXE : 1; } csr_stat_t;
#define CSR_STAT_BUSY_WIDTH 1
#define CSR_STAT_BUSY_LSB 2
#define CSR_STAT_BUSY_MASK 0xc
#define CSR_STAT_BUSY_RESET 0x0
#define CSR_STAT_RXE_WIDTH 1
#define CSR_STAT_RXE_LSB 4
#define CSR_STAT_RXE_MASK 0xc
#define CSR_STAT_RXE_RESET 0x0
typedef struct {
    __IO uint32_t RESERVED0[1];
    union { __IO uint32_t DATA; __IO csr_data_t DATA_bf; };
    __IO uint32_t RESERVED1[1];
    union { __I uint32_t STAT; __I csr_stat_t STAT_bf; };
} csr_t;
#define CSR ((csr_t*)(CSR_BASE_ADDR))
```

```
#endif /* __REGS_H */
```
<span id="page-42-0"></span>Listing 17: Example C header file generated using Corsair (comments removed for brevity).

#### **3.1.6 Tools provided by FPGA vendors**

Development environments provided by FPGA vendors offer some capabilities for bus and register management (for example, Block Designer - AMD Xilinx, Platform Designer - Intel). They allow for connecting master, slave, and bus fabric components using GUI tools. Figure 3.3 shows a simple system designed in Vivado Block Designer, containing blocks interconnected via the local AXI bus.



<span id="page-43-0"></span>Figure 3.3: A simple design created using Block Designer in Xilinx Vivado environment [54].

[Fig](#page-127-5)ure 3.4 shows the address table generated automatically by that tool. It is possible to adjust component address spaces manually. In the case of ready-to-use IP cores included in the development environments, the register description is included in the core configuration [file](#page-44-0) (vendor-specific format). The tools can generate device tree descriptions and access codes, for example, for Linux drivers. However, in the case of custom components, only the address space is reserved. The user still needs a custom mechanism for register management within the component.

<b>Address Editor</b>									
$Q$ $\equiv$ $\Rightarrow$ $\equiv$ $\mid$									
Cell	Slave Interface	<b>Base Name</b>	Offset Address	Range	<b>High Address</b>				
≢ jtag axi 0 $\checkmark$									
图 Data (32 address bits: 4G) $\checkmark$									
= axi gpio 0	S AXI	Reg	0x4000 0000	64K $\mathbf{v}$	0x4000 FFFF				
= axi iic 0	S AXI	Reg	0x4080 0000	64K v	0x4080 FFFF				
$=$ axi uart16550 0	S AXI	Reg	0x44A0 0000	64K $\mathbf{v}$	0x44A0 FFFF				
$=$ myslave 0	SOO AXI	S00 AXI reg	0x44A1 0000	64K $\mathbf{v}$	OX44A1 FFFF				

<span id="page-44-0"></span>Figure 3.4: The address space allocation for the simple design from figure 3.3.

Unfortunately, managing designs using vendor EDA tools is challenging when [the](#page-43-0) complexity of the system grows, especially when the number of blocks or nested subblocks is parameterized. Moreover, heavy reliance on GUI makes it incompatible with purely hdlbased or script-driven development flow. Opening the GUI application to apply changes is also relatively time-consuming compared to applying a change in a text file. A single change in a GUI widget often leads to multiple changes in project files. This makes tracking changes in the design using a revision control system more complicated compared to the traditional approach in which configuration is done using textual files. An alternative approach is to use Tcl scripts for block design management [55]. While this approach eliminates the GUI approach disadvantages, it requires more user expertise.

#### **3.1.7 hdl\_registers**

The hdl registers [56] project is an open-source HDL register generator. It is capable of generating files for C, C++, HTML (documentation), VHDL, and Python. Hdl\_registers accepts register description in the TOML file format. It is also possible to work directly with the Python A[PI](#page-127-6) without providing a TOML file.

Listing 18 presents an example register description in the TOML format used by the hdl registers. The user explicitly defines registers and their mode, which is the access type. For example, the configuration register is defined with mode equal  $r \nu$ . This implies [tha](#page-45-0)t the register can be read and written. However, to store any data in the register, the user must also define bit fields belonging to the register. In the example description two bit fields are defined, configuration.bit.enable and configuration. bit vector.data tag.

Listing 19 presents the generated C header file. This is a typical, register-centric approach. Information on addresses, shifts, and masks is generated, and the user has to utilize it to write t[he a](#page-46-0)ccess code.

```
[register.configuration]
mode = "r w"# This will allocate a bit field named "enable" in the "configuration" register.
[register.configuration.bit.enable]
default value = "1"# This will allocate a bit vector field named "data_tag" in the
# "configuration" register.
[register.configuration.bit_vector.data_tag]
width = 4default value = "0101"[register.status]
mode = "r"[register.status.bit.idle]
default value = "1"[register.status.bit.stalling]
description = "'1' if the module is currently being stalled."
[register.status.bit_vector.counter]
width = 8
```
Listing 18: Example hdl\_registers description in TOML format.

<span id="page-45-0"></span>Hdl registers is also able to generate code with higher abstraction for  $C++$  and Python. Listing 20 presents the generated  $C_{++}$  header file. The higher abstraction is achieved by generating getters and setters for registers and bit fields. There is no need to use address, shift, and mask values directly. However, this approach is still register-centric, as getters and set[ter](#page-47-0)s are generated only for registers and all data fitting within a single register. If a counter width were equal to two registers, the user would have to manually glue read access by calling two getters. There is also no way to provide information on whether the read must provide atomicity in such a case. In the case of atomicity, it must be manually coded in HDL.

What is more, the generated interface is distinct for different targets (C vs  $C_{++}$ ). However, the nature of the data stored within the registers does not inherit from the language used to implement the access but from the functionality it serves. If the generated  $C++$ code allows directly reading bit fields suiting a single register, why does the generated C code enforce the user to apply shifting and masking manually?

```
#ifndef EXMPL_REGS_H
#define EXMPL_REGS_H
#define EXMPL_NUM_REGS (2u)
typedef struct example_base_addresses_t {
 uint32_t read_address;
 uint32_t write_address;
} example_base_addresses_t;
typedef struct example_regs_t {
  uint32_t configuration;
 uint32_t status;
  example_base_addresses_t base_addresses[2];
} example_regs_t;
#define EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_INDEX (0u)
#define EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_ADDR (4u * EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_INDEX)
#define EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_ENABLE_SHIFT (0u)
#define EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_ENABLE_MASK (0b1u << 0u)
#define EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_ENABLE_MASK_INVERSE (~EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_ENABLE_MASK)
#define EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_DATA_TAG_SHIFT (1u)
#define EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_DATA_TAG_MASK (0b1111u << 1u)
#define EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_DATA_TAG_MASK_INVERSE (~EXMPL_CONFIGURATION_DATA_TAG_MASK)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_INDEX (1u)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_ADDR (4u * EXMPL_STATUS_INDEX)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_IDLE_SHIFT (0u)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_IDLE_MASK (0b1u << 0u)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_IDLE_MASK_INVERSE (~EXMPL_STATUS_IDLE_MASK)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_STALLING_SHIFT (1u)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_STALLING_MASK (0b1u << 1u)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_STALLING_MASK_INVERSE (~EXMPL_STATUS_STALLING_MASK)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_COUNTER_SHIFT (2u)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_COUNTER_MASK (0b11111111u << 2u)
#define EXMPL_STATUS_COUNTER_MASK_INVERSE (~EXMPL_STATUS_COUNTER_MASK)
#endif // EXMPL_REGS_H
```
<span id="page-46-0"></span>Listing 19: Example C header file generated using hdl registers (comments removed for brevity).

```
#pragma once
#include <cassert>
#include <cstdint>
#include <cstdlib>
namespace fpga_regs {
class IExample {
public:
  static const size_t num_registers = 2uL;
  // Length of the "base_addresses" register array
  static const size_t base_addresses_array_length = 3uL;
  virtual ~IExample() { }
  virtual uint32_t get_configuration() const = 0;
  virtual void set_configuration(uint32_t register_value) const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_configuration_enable() const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_configuration_enable_from_value(
    uint32_t register_value) const = 0;
  virtual void set_configuration_enable(uint32_t field_value) const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t set_configuration_enable_from_value(
    uint32_t register_value, uint32_t field_value) const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_configuration_data_tag() const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_configuration_data_tag_from_value(
    uint32_t register_value) const = 0;
  virtual void set_configuration_data_tag(uint32_t field_value) const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t set_configuration_data_tag_from_value(
    uint32_t register_value, uint32_t field_value) const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_status() const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_status_idle() const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_status_idle_from_value(uint32_t register_value) const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_status_stalling() const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_status_stalling_from_value(uint32_t register_value) const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_status_counter() const = 0;
  virtual uint32_t get_status_counter_from_value(uint32_t register_value) const = 0;
};
```

```
} /* namespace fpga_regs */
```
<span id="page-47-0"></span>Listing 20: Example  $C_{++}$  header file generated using hdl registers (comments removed for brevity).

#### **3.1.8 II & CII**

The II (Internal Interface) [57] and CII (Component Internal Interface) are solutions developed for electronic systems created for CMS and DESY [58]. Although it is closedsource, its approach has been described in papers [59, 60]. The description in the papers does not allow for the recons[tru](#page-128-0)ction of the tool's internal logic. However, based on the attached figures and description, it looks like the CII appro[ach](#page-128-1) is register-centric with abstracted away register width. A user is provided [wi](#page-128-2)t[h t](#page-128-3)he concept of records. A record has a type and width that can be greater than the width of the single register. Whether the access to the record is atomic is unclear based on the available information. The user does not define the functionality of the data placed in the record but the access rights.

#### **3.1.9 IP-XACT**

The IP-XACT [61] is neither a bus and register management tool nor a design framework. It is more like an interchangeable IP documentation format. The focus of the standard is to act as an electronic databook - its primary function is to "document what is there" [62]. However, [it is](#page-128-4) mentioned as prior art as there were at least two [63, 64] attempts to implement the bus and register code generators from the IP-XACT register description. IP-XACT uses XML file format for data representation. These XML files are usually [hig](#page-128-5)hly unreadable as they are intended for machines. To make any [use](#page-128-6) [of t](#page-128-7)hem, special tools, such as Kactus2 [65], are needed. These are usually GUI programs with a friendly user interface using IP-XACT XML file format as an input/output file format. A. Kamppi et al. proposed to extend IP-XACT with software features so that more firmware or software can be generat[ed](#page-128-8) from the description [66].

#### **3.1.10 Opentitan Register Tool**

Opentitan [67, 68] is an open-source silicon Root of Trust project. As such, it has a subpart named the Register Tool [69] that can be used as a standalone tool. It uses Hjson (a syntax extension to JSON) as an input file format for the register description. It is capabl[e o](#page-128-9)f [gen](#page-128-10)erating files for [HT](#page-129-0)ML documentation, standard JSON, Verilog, and C.

Listing 21 presents an example Opentitan register description. The register is defined explicitly. The user must provide a register name, software access type (swaccess), and bit fields belonging to the register. The example description defines single register REGA with tw[o b](#page-49-0)it fields RXS and ENRXS. As the software access type is  $rw$ , both bit fields can be read and written.

The Opentitan Register Tool can be used to generate C header files. The generated C header file contains information on register addresses, bit field shifts, and masks and may have information on enumerated names and values. This is a typical register-centric approach. A developer must use the address, mask, and shift information to implement firmware or software access code manually.

```
{
  name: "REGA",
  desc: "Description of register",
  swaccess: "rw",
  resval: "42",
  fields: [
    { bits: "15:0", name: "RXS", desc: "Description of bit field" },
    { bits: "16", name: "ENRXS" }
  ]
}
```
Listing 21: Example Opentitan register description in Hjson format.

#### <span id="page-49-0"></span>**3.1.11 Register Wizard**

The Register Wizard is a free tool from the Inventas (formerly Bitvis) company. It has been abandoned, but the company sends it on request [70]. The presentation links are also valid [71, 72]. It uses Model Description File format, which is actually a JSON format. It is capable of generating files for VHDL, C header, and documentation written in Office Open XML format. Listing 22 shows a regist[er](#page-129-1) definition template from the Register [Wiza](#page-129-2)r[d d](#page-129-3)ocumentation on defining registers and bit fields. This is a typical register-centric approach. The user describes particular registers, their addresses, access properties, internal bit fields, etc. The [gen](#page-50-0)erated C header file includes addresses, masks, and bit-shift information.

```
"registers"\left| \cdot \right| [{
    "name": "",
    "configuration": {},
    "address": "",
    "summary": [],
    "description": [],
    "width": ,
    "access": "",
    "signal": ""
    "reset": "",
    "location": "",
    "coreSignalProperties": {},
    "fields": [{
         "name": "",
         "position": "",
         "description": [],
         "access": "",
         "signal": "",
         "reset": "",
         "location": "",
         "coreSignalProperties": {}
    }]
}]
```
Listing 22: Snippet from the Register Wizard documentation on defining registers and bit fields.

### <span id="page-50-0"></span>**3.1.12 RgGen**

RgGen [73] automatically generates source code related to configuration and status registers. RgGen is capable of generating files for SystemVerilog, VHDL, UVM, C, and register map documents written in Markdown.

What [mak](#page-129-4)es RgGen unique is the fact that register map specifications can be written in multiple formats, such as Ruby language API, YAML, JSON, TOML, Spreadsheet (XLSX, XLS, OSD, CSV), SiFive DUH (Design u Hardware) [74].

Listing 23 presents an example RgGen register description in YAML format. Listing 24 presents the generated C header file. This is a traditional, [re](#page-129-5)gister-centric approach. An engineer describes registers at the lowest level and, as a result, gets information on address[es/](#page-51-0)offsets, masks, and widths. Later on, this information is used in the manual [im](#page-51-1)plementation of software accessing the data.

```
register_blocks:
  - name: block_0
    byte_size: 256
    registers:
    - name: register_0
      bit_fields:
      - {name: bit_field_0, bit_assignment: {width: 4}, type: rw , initial_value: 0}
      - {name: bit_field_1, bit_assignment: {width: 2}, type: wrs , initial_value: 0}
      - {name: bit_field_2, bit_assignment: {width: 2}, type: rowo, initial_value: 0}
    - name: register_1
      bit_fields:
      - <<:
        - { bit_assignment: { lsb: 0, width: 1 }, type: rw, initial_value: 0 }
        - labels:
          - { name: foo, value: 0, comment: 'FOO value' }
          - { name: bar, value: 1, comment: 'BAR value' }
```
Listing 23: Example RgGen registers description in YAML format.

```
#ifndef BLOCK_0_H
#define BLOCK_0_H
#include "stdint.h"
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BIT_FIELD_0_BIT_WIDTH 4
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BIT_FIELD_0_BIT_MASK 0xf
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BIT_FIELD_0_BIT_OFFSET 0
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BIT_FIELD_1_BIT_WIDTH 2
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BIT_FIELD_1_BIT_MASK 0x3
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BIT_FIELD_1_BIT_OFFSET 4
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BIT_FIELD_2_BIT_WIDTH 2
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BIT_FIELD_2_BIT_MASK 0x3
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BIT_FIELD_2_BIT_OFFSET 6
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BYTE_WIDTH 4
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BYTE_SIZE 4
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_0_BYTE_OFFSET 0x0
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_1_BIT_WIDTH 1
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_1_BIT_MASK 0x1
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_1_BIT_OFFSET 0
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_1_BYTE_WIDTH 4
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_1_BYTE_SIZE 4
#define BLOCK_0_REGISTER_1_BYTE_OFFSET 0x4
#endif
```
<span id="page-51-1"></span>Listing 24: Example C header file generated using RgGen.

#### **3.1.13 SystemRDL**

The SystemRDL [75] differs from all other available solutions as it is the only one with an official specification. The SystemRDL is a language aimed at the detailed description of the registers. Version 2.0 supports the parameterization of components and the structure of the system. Sy[stem](#page-129-6)RDL is by far the most advanced solution with the greatest number of features but also the most complex. Whether all of these features should be a part of the bus and register management tool is a separate topic. However, the fact that most SystemRDL compilers do not implement all features makes the question at least partially justified. There are some closed-source paid [76, 77, 78] and open-source free SystemRDL compilers [79, 80, 81]. Listing 5 presents an example SystemRDL description.

The SystemRDL standard allows users to ext[end](#page-129-7) [co](#page-129-8)[mpo](#page-129-9)nents with custom properties. The user-define[d p](#page-129-10)[rop](#page-129-11)[erti](#page-129-12)es allow t[o](#page-21-0) add additional meaning to the data. This mechanism is quite flexible but also has some drawbacks. The first one is that user-defined properties are compiler specific. The second one is description verbosity, as SystemRDL is quite verbose even without extra custom properties. One reason for such a state might be that each register in SystemRDL must have at least one field, and registers without fields are not allowed.

#### **3.1.14 vhdMMIO**

VhdMMIO [82] is a tool to generate AXI4-Lite MMIO infrastructure based on YAML specification files. A single register file describes registers for a single AXI4-Lite slave and maps to a single VHDL entity. VhdMMIO is also capable of generating HTML for documentati[on.](#page-129-13)

Listing 25 presents an example vhdMMIO register description in YAML format. The description defines a single control register with five bit fields (vhdMMIO uses the term *field* for the register and *subfield* for the field). In vhdMMIO, the user is required to provide [ad](#page-53-0)dresses explicitly.

VhdMMIO is distinct from all other register-centric tools. This is because vhdMMIO has the concept of logical registers. Logical registers can be wider than data bus width and vhdMMIO is capable of generating atomic access hardware description. Unfortunately, atomic access is implemented in such a way that bus master must lock slave to access logical register sequentially and completely.

VhdMMIO also has the concept of registers/fields behavior. This allows generating more gateware description automatically. However, as the behavior is bound to the particular field or register and not to the data, it is impossible to describe broader data contexts, such as procedures or streams. This makes vhdMMIO still a register-centric approach as the user thinks and acts in the following order: define register, then define data, then define the behavior of the data. Meanwhile, in FBDL, the user thinks and acts in the following order: define data, then define the functionality of the data. All work related to the registers is then done automatically. The concept of a register is not even present in the FBDL thought flow.

```
metadata:
  mnemonic: SSP
  name: lpc1313_ssp
  doc: |
    This is mostly copypasted from the user manual of the SSP controller of
    the LPC1313 microcontroller (NXP UM10375) to serve as a real-world example
    of a register file description.
features:
  bus-width: 32
  optimize: yes
interface:
  flatten: yes
fields:
  - address: 0x0000
    register-name: CR0
    register-brief: SSP Control Register 0.
    register-doc: This register controls the basic operation of the SSP controller.
    behavior: control
    subfields:
      - bitrange: 3..0
        mnemonic: DSS
        name: data_size_select
      - bitrange: 5..4
        mnemonic: FRF
        name: frame_format
      - bitrange: 6
        mnemonic: CPOL
        name: clock_polarity
      - bitrange: 7
        mnemonic: CPHA
        name: clock_phase
      - bitrange: 15..8
        mnemonic: SCR
        name: prescaler_b
```
<span id="page-53-0"></span>Listing 25: Example vhdMMIO register description in YAML format.

### **3.1.15 wbgen2**

Wbgen2 [83] is one of the first open-source bus and register management tools. The slave description is prepared in the custom format and may contain registers, fields, interrupts, memory blocks, and FIFO. The wbgen2 is capable of generating the slave HDL code in VHDL or [Ve](#page-129-14)rilog and C headers for integration. Additionally, it may generate the documentation for the created slave in Latex, Texinfo, or HTML. Wbgen2 does not support vectors of registers, blocks, or nested blocks. Listing 26 presents an example register description in the wbgen2-specific format. The generated C code contains information on register and field addresses and masks.

```
peripheral {
  name = "GPIO Port";
  description = "A sample 32-bit general-purpose bidirectional I/O port.";
  hdl_entity = "wb_slave_gpio_port";
  prefix = "gpio";
  reg {
   name = "Pin direction register";
    description = "A register defining the direction of the GPIO port pins.";
    prefix = "ddr";
    field {
     name = "Pin directions";
      description = "1 - 0UTPUT, 0 - 1NPUT";
      type = SLV;
     size = 32;access bus = READ WRITE;
     access dev = READ ONLY;
    };
  };
  reg {
    name = "Pin input state register";
    description = "A register containing the current state of input pins.";
    prefix = "psr";
    field {
      name = "Pin input state";
      description = "Each bit reflects the state of corresponding GPIO port pin.";
     type = SLV;
     size = 32;
     access bus = READ ONLY;
      access_dev = WRITE_ONLY;
    };
  };
  reg {
    name = "Port output register";
    description = "Register containing the output pin state.";
    prefix = "pdr";field {
     name = "Port output value";
     description = "Writing '1' sets the corresponding GPIO pin to '1'";
      size = 32;};
  };
};
```
Listing 26: Example wbgen2 register description in wbgen2 specific format.

#### **3.1.16 Others**

Others also noticed the bus register management problem. For example, authors of [84] propose a custom text format for register description. The format defines register fields in one-to-one correspondence with those defined in the UVM register layer. Unfortunately, the tool is not publicly available, and the description of the tool is very short (2 pag[es\)](#page-130-0). Authors of [85] propose to use Verilog attributes. The description of the approach is also very modest (2 pages). Both mentioned approaches are register-centric.

## **3.2 S[um](#page-130-1)mary**

Table 3.1 summarizes capabilities of analyzed tools. The comparison table also includes FBDL just in case to satisfy the reader's curiosity.

Comp[arin](#page-56-0)g bus and register management tool features is a challenging task. First, none of the register-centric tools, except SystemRDL, has formal specification. The implementation is the specification. What is more, most tools target only a limited set of hardware descriptions or programming languages, and they are usually tailored to these languages. Comparing features of FBDL with register-centric tools is also not straightforward, as FBDL is functionality-centric and has a different paradigm. For example, some of the tools allow data value range constraining. However, it works only for data fitting a single register, whereas, in FBDL, it works for data of any width. Partial support means that a given feature is available only to some extent. For example, tools utilizing YAML [86] format support parametrization achieved using YAML syntax. However, they do not provide any extra parametrization mechanism, and full design parametrization is not [pos](#page-130-2)sible solely with YAML inheritance.

<span id="page-56-0"></span>

# **4 Dissertation**

## **4.1 Thesis**

It is possible to generate a hardware description of the bus register structure and software data access methods based on the description of the functionality of the data that shall be stored in the registers. Moreover, such an approach offers some advantages in certain practical use cases compared to the classic approach in which register structure is described explicitly.

## **4.2 Aim and scope**

The main aim of the dissertation is to design a language that allows the description of system bus registers by defining the functionality of the data. The work also includes the implementation of the proof of the concept compiler with a discussion of some general implementation details that any FBDL-compliant compiler will likely have to face and an example presenting the advantages of the functionality-centric approach in certain practical use cases.

# **5 Functionality types**

**It is recommended to read the subsections of this chapter concurrently with the corresponding subsections of the FBDL specification (first specification, then dissertation) or to read the whole specification first. The specification is more focused on answering the "how" questions. In contrast, the dissertation focuses on answering the "why" questions and describing the benefits of the newly proposed functionality-centric approach.**

## **5.1 Blackbox**

The blackbox functionality is used to incorporate blocks implemented manually or generated by external tools (for example, the register-centric ones). The blackbox functionality has the following two rationales:

- 1. Entirely relying on the functionality-centric compiler's grouping algorithm may have disadvantages. Firstly, the compiler results might not always be optimal, for example, due to algorithm shortcomings. Secondly, automatic data grouping is challenging because of multiple constraints (described in subsection 7.1.3), so the algorithm might have bugs for some corner cases. There should be a way to bypass the automatic data placement in the registers to mitigate potential downsides.
- 2. A potential transition of a project already utilizing the regi[ster-c](#page-78-0)entric approach to the FBDL would require extra work related to rewriting the register description to the data description, which might be time-consuming. The blackbox functionality allows for incorporating the hardware description generated by the register-centric tool and using the functionality-centric approach only for the new data.

## **5.2 Block**

The block functionality is mainly used to logically group or encapsulate functionalities. The block concept is not unique to the FBDL approach as some of the register-centric approaches already had the same concept (for example, AGWB or SystemRDL). However, thanks to the type parametrization and type extending mechanism, it is easy to instantiate blocks with slightly different functionality. This is a common scenario in the case of the FPGAs with two SLRs [87]. The SLRs might have different numbers of available resources and might be connected to different hardware IP blocks. Let us suppose there are two

SLRs, SLR0 and SLR1. SLR0 is connected to the PCIe, and there is a high throughput PCIe-AXI bridge in the SLR0. In case of any problems with the bridge, it might need to be debugged. A side access channel is required for SLR0, hence it must have two master ports. Moreover, it must have some extra configuration and status data compared to the SLR1. Listing 27 presents how such requirements can be easily satisfied in FBDL using type parametrization and type extending mechanisms.

```
type SLR(masters_count=1) block
    masters = masters_count
    const PERIPHERAL_COUNT 1024
   C [PERIPHERAL_COUNT]config
   S [PERIPHERAL_COUNT]status; width = 14
   P proc
        p1 param; width = 16
        p2 param; width = 8
        r return; width = 25
Main bus
    # SLR0 has 2 masters and is extended with some extra
    # config and status for high throughput PCIe-AXI bridge
    # configuration and debugging via low throughput
    # UART-AXI bridge.
   SLR0 SLR(2)
        PCIe_AXI_config config; width = 16
        PCIe_AXI_status status; width = 48; atomic = false
   SLR1 SLR
```
Listing 27: Example of type parametrization and type extending based on the block functionality.

### <span id="page-59-0"></span>**5.3 Bus**

The bus functionality represents the bus structure. The bus named Main is the default entry point for the description used for the code generation. A compiler is free to accept an argument that allows the change of the root of the description from Main to any valid identifier. However, care is advised when choosing a naming convention for functionalities. Usually, a language has its preferred naming conventions. Some languages have multiple conventions  $(C/C++/VHDL)$ . Some languages have only a single convention (Go/Python), but they are not formal, so there might be multiple in practice. As FBDL description might be (actually almost always is) compiled into multiple target languages, it is impossible to suit all naming conventions for given targets. Instead, it should be guaranteed that the given functionality name from the given .fbd file has the same name in all target source files. It implies that the two most popular naming conventions (camelCase, snake\_case) should be avoided for functionality instance names and constants accessible in target languages. Both camelCase and snake case start with a lowercase letter. It imposes restrictions on how the target code might be implemented. For example, in Go, data types, fields, or functions starting with lowercase letters are not exported. A potential implementation would have to do one of the following:

- 1. Change the instance names so that the first letters are uppercase. The drawback is that the same instance would have at least two different names across all targets.
- 2. Generate extra functions allowing access to functionalities. For example, a function translating string into a proper field value. This would imply extra performance overhead and more complex code.

The remaining naming conventions starting with uppercase letters are PascalCase and Pascal\_Snake\_Case. However, as some languages (VHDL, for example) are case insensitive and there is no way to enforce PascalCase, the Pascal\_Snake\_Case, and SCREAMING\_SNAKE\_CASE are strongly recommended. Broken pascalcase is hard to read, especially after several hours of sitting in front of the computer screen.

The FBDL bus description is bus-type agnostic. This implies that the actual bus type depends on the compiler support or, more precisely, on the generators provided by the compiler's back-end. However, a single compiler might support multiple bus types. Another implication is the fact that a bus description might contain only generic, common features such as:

- 1. number of masters (masters property),
- 2. bus reset type (reset property),
- 3. bus data width (width property),
- 4. the relative position of bus modules (blackbox and block functionalities).

Any bus-type specific parameter must be handled at the compiler level, for example, as a command line argument. Such an approach avoids unnecessary complexity in the language and compiler implementations. The language has fewer elements, and bus-typespecific compilers do not have to deal with extra logic that does not make sense for this particular bus type.

A bus description also does not contain information on the bus address width. The minimal bus address width (*AWmin*) implies from the address space size obtained as a registerification result. However, in the actual design, the bus address width always has some maximum value (*AWmax*). The following three scenarios are possible:

- 1.  $(AW_{max} > AW_{min})$  In such a case, the designer has two options. The first one is to leave the upper bits of the address bus unconnected. The second one is to narrow the address bus so that  $AW_{max} = AW_{min}$ .
- 2.  $(AW_{max} = AW_{min})$  In such a case, no action is required.

3. (*AWmax < AWmin*) In such a case, the designer has to increase the number of address bus bits. However, increasing the address bus width is not always possible or might require an unacceptable amount of time. An alternative approach is to limit the amount of data so that  $AW_{max} = AW_{min}$ .

The bus functionality has no property allowing to set the base address. However, FBDLcompliant compilers are allowed to accept the bus base address as a command line argument.

## <span id="page-61-3"></span>**5.4 Config**

The config functionality is almost like a control register from the typical register-centric approach. Almost, because the config functionality abstracts away the limited width of the register.

Listing 28 shows an example description with a single config with a width equal to the register width in the RgGen. As RgGen does not support registers without bit fields, there is a need to type the C name twice. Most register-centric tools support registers without [bi](#page-61-0)t fields. Listing 29 shows an example description with a single config with a width equal to the register width in the FBDL. Listings 30 and 31 present example code writing the config. In the case of config width not greater than the register width, the code is the same for the re[gist](#page-61-1)er-centric approach and F[BD](#page-61-2)L.

```
- register_block:
 - name: Main
 - registers:
    - name: C
     bit_fields:
      - { name: C, bit_assignment: { width: 32 }, type: rw }
```
Listing 28: Example config instantiation with width equal to the register width in the RgGen.

#### <span id="page-61-0"></span>Main **bus** C **config**

Listing 29: Example config instantiation with width equal to the register width in the FBDL.

```
def do_something():
    value = prepare_value()
    Main.C.write(value)
```
<span id="page-61-2"></span>Listing 30: Example config write utilizing the code generated by the register-centric approach compiler.

```
def do_something():
    value = prepare value()
    Main.C.write(value)
```
Listing 31: Example config write utilizing the code generated by the FBDL compiler.

<span id="page-62-2"></span>Listing 32 shows an example description with a single config with a width greater than the register width in the RgGen. Listing 33 shows an example description with a single config with a width greater than the register width in the FBDL. In this case, there is no need to adjust [the](#page-62-0) code writing the config for FBDL. As any FBDL compiler is obliged to generate functionality write and read access c[od](#page-62-1)e, the code from listing 31 is still valid. However, the register-centric approach code needs adjustments as an extra register has been added. Listing 34 presents adjusted code. It takes extra time to write the code, and there is room for possible mistakes. Firstly, the masks and shifts must [be m](#page-62-2)anually applied to the value. Even if the masks and shifts are generated as constants/variables, there is still a possibil[ity](#page-63-0) of typing an incorrect name. Secondly, if the config needs atomic access, then the registers must be read/written in the correct order. Thirdly, the atomicity must be manually coded on the HDL side. None of these is an issue in the FBDL, as everything is handled automatically by the compiler. This results from looking at the config as a functionality, not a control register (the user cares about it as a whole, not as fragmented pieces).

```
- register_block:
 - name: Main
 - registers:
   - name: C1
     bit_fields:
     - { name: C1, bit_assignment: { width: 32 }, type: rw }
   - name: C2
     bit_fields:
     - { name: C2, bit_assignment: { width: 1 }, type: rw }
```
Listing 32: Example config instantiation with width greater than the register width in the RgGen.

#### <span id="page-62-0"></span>Main **bus** C **config**; **width** = 33

<span id="page-62-1"></span>Listing 33: Example config instantiation with width greater than the register width in the FBDL.

```
def write_C(value):
    Main.C1.write(value & OxFFFFFFFF)
    Main.C2.write((value >> 32) & 0x1)
def do_something():
    value = prepare_value()
    write C(value)
```
<span id="page-63-0"></span>Listing 34: Example config write utilizing the code generated by the register-centric approach compiler - config wider than register (33 bits).

## **5.5 Irq**

The irq functionality represents an interrupt handling. Whether interrupts should be considered as a part of a bus is a debatable topic. It has been decided that FBDL shall provide support for interrupts because of the following reasons:

- 1. Interrupts, in most cases, have associated registers informing about the interrupt source.
- 2. Interrupts, in most cases, have associated enable/mask registers allowing switching on or off particular interrupts.
- 3. Interrupt lines are frequently routed together with bus lines.

Although FBDL supports interrupts, the support is limited solely to interrupt handling. For example, there is no support for interrupts hierarchy (this feature is present, for instance, in SystemRDL). This is because the interrupts hierarchy is not related to the bus in any way, and it can be easily created on the provider side by properly connecting interrupt components. There is also no way to configure whether the high or low level or a rising or falling edge triggers an interrupt. As FBDL assumes positive logic, the high level is assumed for level-triggered interrupts, and the rising edge is assumed for edge-triggered interrupts. Low-level interrupts or falling edge interrupts can be easily handled by negating the signal at the provider side. Adding the distinction into the FBDL would unnecessarily complicate the language and create a second way to solve the same problem.

## **5.6 Mask**

The mask functionality is very similar to the config functionality. From the provider's perspective, there is no difference between the mask and the config. However, there is a difference in the interface generated for the requester. The mask is bit-oriented, whereas the config is value-oriented.

The mask has all the same advantages over the register-centric approach as the config has. There is also no need to add the mask prefix or suffix to the name to indicate to the user that particular data is a mask, as the type already indicates it. Additionally, it also has automatically generated means for bitwise operations. The interface must include ways for:

- 1. Setting (writing 1) particular bits while simultaneously clearing remaining bits.
- 2. Clearing (writing 0) particular bits while simultaneously setting remaining bits.
- 3. Setting (writing 1) particular bits without changing the state of remaining bits.
- 4. Clearing (writing 0) particular bits without changing the state of remaining bits.
- 5. Toggling particular bits without changing the state of remaining bits.

Appendix D (class MaskSingleOneReg) presents a code that can be automatically bound to the data solely based on the distinct type for mask.

## **5.7 [Me](#page-145-0)mory**

The memory functionality is used to directly connect and map an external memory to the generated bus address space. The memory does not have any valid inner functionalities. In SystemRDL, for example, within memory, it is possible to have virtual child instances representing a software view of the memory data. The FBDL takes a different approach in this case. As memory can be seen as a continuous area of storage elements, one can describe the layout of the data within the memory using a separate FBDL description file or even using one of the register-centric tools if it makes more sense in a particular case. An access interface used to access the data in the memory can then use the memory access methods generated for the primary FBDL description (the one having the memory functionality). The idea is presented in figure 5.1. Such an approach keeps the language smaller, more concise, and orthogonal.



Figure 5.1: A possible access path to the external memory with separate FBDL description.

Memory can also be connected to the bus using the proc or stream functionality (technically, it is also possible using solely configs, but this method is verbose, vague, and impractical, so it has been omitted). Each of the five approaches (memory, two proc approaches, two stream approaches) has advantages and disadvantages. Global advantage  $(+)$ , global disadvantage  $(-)$ , proc relative characteristic  $(•)$ , stream relative characteristic  $(*).$ 

#### Memory:

- + The best potential throughput equal to the bus throughput.
- + No need for wrapper logic.
- To achieve the maximum throughput for block transactions, both the bus and the access interface must support true block transactions.
- Generated address space size increased by the memory address space size.

#### One proc:

- + Minimal generated address space size increase.
- The worst throughput limited by the requester-provider round-trip latency for each item access.
- The write access is additionality limited by the mandatory read of return data.

#### Two procs:

- + Minimal generated address space size increase.
- Needs more bits than one proc approach, as the memory address is repeated in the second proc.
- The worst throughput limited by the requester-provider round-trip latency for each item access.
- The write access is not additionality limited by the mandatory read of return data, as it is in the case of one proc approach.

Stream - common memory address in separate config:

- + Minimal generated address space size increase.
- + The throughput for block read and write can potentially equal the bus throughput.
- Suboptimal single read and write accesses because of additional memory address write to separate config.
- Needs more complex implementation as both the bus and the access interface must support true cyclic transactions to achieve maximum throughput.
- Needs wrapper logic if memory throughput is lower than the bus throughput for cyclic transactions.

Stream - downstream with its own memory address param.

- + Minimal generated address space size increase.
- \* Needs more bits than one stream with a common memory address, as the memory address must be placed for upstream in the config anyway.
- + The throughput for block read and write can potentially equal the bus throughput.
- + The throughput for random writes can potentially equal the bus throughput, as the memory address is the downstream param.
- Suboptimal single read access because of additional memory address write to separate config.
- Needs potentially the most complex implementation as both the bus and the access interface must support true cyclic block transactions to achieve maximum throughput.
- Needs wrapper logic if memory throughput is lower than the bus throughput for cyclic transactions.

Particular advantages or disadvantages of given approaches may not be valid if access to the memory is of read-only or write-only type. To make a satisfactory choice for a particular design, a user must take into account at least the following factors: required throughput, maximum overall address space size, type of memory access (read-write, read-only, write-only), type of memory transactions (will there be more single or block transactions), design simplicity. Listings 35, 36, 37, 38, and 39 present example descriptions of five discussed external memory connections. The memory has a read-write access type, its size equals 65536 words, and the word with equals 16 bits. Depending on the requirements, it is also possible to mix so[me](#page-67-0) [of t](#page-67-1)h[e a](#page-67-2)[ppro](#page-67-3)ache[s. F](#page-67-4)or example, if memory is written in blocks and writes require high throughput, but it is read in single transactions, then it is possible to use the stream for writes and proc for reads.

```
Main bus
    Mem memory
        size = 2 ** 16
        width = 16
```
<span id="page-67-0"></span>Listing 35: FBDL external memory connection using memory functionality.

```
Main bus
   Access_Mem proc
        addr param; width = 16
        data_in param; width = 16
       read_write param; width = 1 # 0 - read, 1 - write
        # The delay depends on the clock frequency
        # and read latency.
       delay = 1 us
       data_out return; width = 16
```
<span id="page-67-1"></span>Listing 36: FBDL external memory connection using one proc functionality.

```
Main bus
    Read_Mem proc
        addr param; width = 16
        delay = 1 us
        data return; width = 16
    Write_Mem proc
        addr param; width = 16
        data param; width = 16
```
<span id="page-67-2"></span>Listing 37: FBDL external memory connection using two proc functionalities.

```
Main bus
    addr config; width = 16
    Read_Mem stream
        data return; width = 16
    Write_Mem stream
        data param; width = 16
```
<span id="page-67-3"></span>Listing 38: FBDL external memory connection using two stream functionalities with common address config.

```
Main bus
    addr config; width = 16
    Read_Mem stream
        data return; width = 16
    Write_Mem stream
        addr param; width = 16
        data param; width = 16
```
<span id="page-67-4"></span>Listing 39: FBDL external memory connection using two stream functionalities with separate address in downstream.

## **5.8 Param**

The param functionality is an inner functionality of the proc and stream functionalities. The param functionality does not have the default property. This implies that proc or stream parameters cannot have default values, which implies that functions or methods generated for the requester also do not have default values for parameters. It has been designed this way because not all programming languages support default values for function parameters (for example, C, Go, Rust). This could be worked around as the code for the requester is automatically generated anyway. However, in the end, it has been decided that adding support for the default value for the param functionality is not worth because of the following reasons:

- 1. It would add extra complexity to the FBDL compilers.
- 2. Programming languages without the support for default values for function parameters are doing well. There are even negative opinions on default values for function parameters. The argument behind these opinions is that they make code less readable and harder to analyze.
- 3. The user can always implement wrapper functions in the target language.

## **5.9 Proc**

The proc functionality is a concept not present in the register-centric approaches. It represents a procedure called by the requester and carried out by the provider. The proc functionality is a good representative for presenting how the functional view of the data can significantly reduce the amount of manual work and increase the code robustness [88]. It is called proc (from procedure), and not, for example, func (from function), to highlight that this action has side effects and might take a non-negligible amount of time. In o[the](#page-130-3)r words, it is not pure.

Listing 8 presents an example taken directly from the data acquisition design for the CBM experiment. Listing 12 presents Python code that had to be coded manually. Section 3.1.2 describes what is not optimal in the register-centric approach in this case. Listing 40 pres[en](#page-33-0)ts a description of the same block in FBDL format. Based on the description, [it is a](#page-33-1)lready clear th[at t](#page-37-0)he inferred registers will be used for the procedure call.

```
type HCTSP_Software_Command_Slot block
   Send proc
       chip_addr param; width = 4
       downlink_mask param; width = 12
       group_mask param; width = 8
       sequence_number param; width = 4
       request_type [2]param; width = 2
       request_payload [2]param; width = 15
       crc [2]param; width = 15
```
Listing 40: HCTSP software command slot block description in FBDL format.

## **5.10 Return**

The return functionality is an inner functionality of the proc and stream functionalities. It represents data returned by a procedure or streamed by an upstream. Technically, it was possible to add direction property to the param functionality, similar to the procedures in the Ada language. However, param and return do not have the same properties. Making them distinct also makes the language design less susceptible to potential future enhancements as it helps to avoid inter-property dependencies.

### **5.11 Static**

The static functionality represents data placed at the provider side that never changes. The register-centric approach usually achieves this using a status register driven by a fixed value. However, if it is impossible to mark the register as read-only for both sides, then it is not clear that the data inside the register never changes without any extra comment or code analysis. In FBDL, such constant data has its type.

The static functionality may be used, for example, for versioning, bus id, bus generation timestamp, or storing secrets that shall be read only once. The typical difference between an id and a version is worth analyzing. An id is usually data automatically added by a compiler, calculated using some hash function with input description being the hash function input. An id's primary function is to be a description signature, upon which it is clear whether two or more descriptions are identical. A version is usually data manually added by an engineer to indicate what functionalities are supported by a given bus or block.

The FBDL specification does not require FBDL compilers to add any bus or block id automatically. However, at least bus id is extremely useful in practice. It can be used to ensure that both requester and provider utilize the compilation results of the same bus description. Register with such id must be placed at a fixed, known address, usually at the beginning or the end of the generated address space.

## **5.12 Status**

The status functionality is almost like a status register from the typical register-centric approach. Almost, because the status functionality abstracts away the limited width of the register. All advantages of the config functionality (section 5.4) are also valid for the status functionality. The only difference between the config and the status functionalities is that in the case of the config, the requester is the only writ[er, w](#page-61-3)hereas in the case of the status, the provider is the only writer.

## **5.13 Stream**

The stream functionality represents a stream of data to a provider (downstream) or a stream of data from a provider (upstream).

Unlike proc, the stream functionality has only one associated signal at the provider side, the strobe signal. The proc has distinct call and exit signals. However, as the stream shall have only parameters (downstream) or only returns (upstream), having one associated signal is enough.

# **6 Language absent features**

The FBDL does not provide some of the popular capabilities present in some of the register-centric approach tools. This chapter lists the most common ones and explains why they are absent. However, their absence does not mean that they will never be added. At the current stage, their disadvantages are clear, but the potential advantages they might bring are vague.

## **6.1 Two-writer data**

Two-writer data (the term derived from the "two-writer register" term [89]) can be written by both the requester and the provider (FBDL specification nomenclature). In practice, both can write data, the firmware/software side and the gateware/hardware side. This is possible in some of the register-centric tools. For example, Syste[mRD](#page-130-4)L refers to this aspect as the software and hardware access properties. In the FBDL, there is no functionality that would end up as data that can be written by both the requester and the provider sides. There is always one side writing the data and zero, one or two sides reading the data (zero is possible, although it means that the functionality is unused). This can lead to increased address space size and resource utilization. However, it cuts off all problems related to designing and debugging systems with multiple data writers. As the resulting increase in resource utilization is relatively small (the number of required flip-flops is the same, only extra logic related to increased address space size is needed), and devices provide more and more resources every year, it has been decided that this tradeoff is worth to take. Allowing flip-flops to be written by two sources also increases resource utilization. However, it does not increase the address space size.

The one-writer restriction does not mean multiple requesters can not write, for example, the same config. This means that if the requester side can write some data, the provider side must not. The number of requesters allowed to write is unlimited.

The one-writer restriction also does not mean that different data, writable by different sides, can not be placed in the same physical register (the same register address). Listings 41 and 42 show examples.
Config C and status S occupy precisely half of the register width. As the requester side is the writer of config C and the reader of status S, and the provider side is the reader of config C and the writer of status S, both functionalities can be put into the same register without any overhead. The required address space size equals 1.

Procedure P has no data, so it needs only address for call triggering. Status ST occupies the whole register. As the requester side is the writer of procedure P and the reader of status ST, and the provider side is the reader of procedure P (it reads the call signal) and the writer of status ST, both functionalities can be put into the same register without overhead. The required address space size equals 1.

```
Main bus
   C config; width = 16
   S status; width = 16
```
Listing 41: Example of config and status that can share register address.

```
Main bus
    P proc
    ST status
```
Listing 42: Example of proc and status that can share register address.

## **6.2 Enumeration type**

The first issue with the enumeration type is that the FBDL description is not directly compiled into the machine code or synthesized into the digital logic. The FBDL description is transpiled. In other words, it is compiled into other programming or hardware description languages. However, those other languages do not share a common definition of the enumeration type. Let us analyze three currently prevalent system programming languages:

- 1. C enum type is a list of constant values.
- 2. Go no support for any kind of enum type at all.
- 3. Rust enum type is actually a union type or a sum type.

One of the goals of the FBDL is to add compiler back-ends for target languages easily. Extending FBDL with features peculiar to any target language or a subset of target languages is against this rule. Usually, when speaking about enumeration type in the context of register management, a set of constant possible values is meant This is already achievable in FBDL using constant definitions, listing 43. As constants are bound to a scope, the values in generated files can also have limited scope. Limiting the set of valid values for some functionalities using the range property is also already possible.

```
# Global constants.
const E = 2.72
const PI = 3.14
const LN2 = 0.69
Main bus
    # Shorter form using multi constant definition.
    # Below constants are scoped only to the Main bus.
    const
        ZERO = 0ONE = 1TWO = 2# Range of possible values is limited for below config
    # from ZERO to TWO.
    c config; range = [ZERO, TWO]
```
Listing 43: Constraining value range using constants or range property.

The second issue with the enumeration type is the synchronization of enumeration type values. This is a more general issue, not related only to the register management tools. Let us suppose the enumeration type is a list of constant values (the simplest enum definition). Figure 6.1 presents an example system design with three actors: firmware, gateware, and software. The enumeration type definitions between actors must be consistent (the same values [for](#page-73-0) corresponding options).

<span id="page-73-0"></span>

Figure 6.1: Example system with enumeration types synchronization issue.

There are at least three ways to approach the problem.

1. The FBDL is the source of the enumeration type definitions. The drawback of this approach is introducing an internal dependency on the FBDL output inside the firmware, gateware, and software modules. The modules start to not only use the FBDL output to access or provide the functionalities, but also internally to implement its own logic or data structures. For example, the gateware module unit testbench requires type generation and can no longer be run in isolation.

- 2. There is a single source of enumeration type definitions, but it is not FBDL. This approach has three possible implementations, but all of them require an extra tool for updating derived definitions.
	- (a) Enumeration type definitions are derived from the software/firmware source code. The drawback is that different languages are often used for prototyping and final implementation
	- (b) Enumeration type definitions are derived from the gateware/hardware description. The hardware description language, once chosen, rarely changes during the project.
	- (c) Enumeration type definitions are derived from the dedicated tool with its syntax for definitions.
- 3. Enumeration type definitions are implemented manually for all languages. However, a tool (some sanitizer) is capable of checking that all enumeration type definitions are coherent. As not all sources are always available in the repository, the tool would have to support fetching sources via version control systems or accessing them via URL.

While working on numerous projects, the author has encountered most of the mentioned approaches. As it is not clear that the approach with the register management tool being the source of the enumeration type definitions has advantages over other approaches, it has been decided that adding support for enumeration type within the language at the current stage is not sufficiently justified.

## **6.3 Custom expression functions**

The FBDL does not allow defining custom functions for expression evaluation. This is possible with all tools providing programming language API for description definition, as in this case, all programming language features are "inherited" and can be used without any limitations. This is a very flexible mechanism, but it sometimes leads to abuses. The bus/register management tool starts to be used as a general-purpose design configuration tool storing information unrelated to the bus or registers. The FBDL's goal is to be a bus and register management tool, nothing less, nothing more. However, the FBDL contains built-in functions (listed in the specification) frequently used for bus or register-related calculations.

## **6.4 Manual addressing**

Some of the register-centric tools allow manual register addressing. Manual addressing is setting the register address explicitly. Placing some data at a fixed address might be useful in case of bus identification or block versioning.

The FBDL does not allow manual addressing because of two reasons. The first one is that in FBDL, the user does not define registers but data with its functionality type. This, of course, does not imply any implementation blockers for manual addressing support, as the address in such a case could be the start address of the data. However, manual addressing does not fit into the FBDL paradigm. The second reason is that any decent compiler should automatically insert a bus identification number at some fixed address. Placing single data with a unique value at a fixed address is enough to identify an address map unambiguously. Based on this information, the firmware or software can load the appropriate address map code and access any data, for example, block version, even if its address differs between versions. In such a case, supporting manual addressing does not solve any problems but increases the complexity of registerification algorithms.

## **6.5 Custom attributes**

SystemRDL allows for defining custom properties. Such a mechanism can be useful for tuning the compiler behavior. On the other hand, it opens a space for inconsistency between compilers as they are free to ignore unknown custom properties. The FBDL does not support custom properties at the current stage, but it reserves syntax and terminology. The term "attributes" will be used for custom properties if supported. Custom attributes will be assigned the same way the properties are assigned, but the attribute names will be prepended with the '@' (at sign) character, listing 44 presents an example.

```
Main bus
    @addressing -mode = "Compact"
```
Listing 44: Syntax reserved for custom attributes.

It is worth mentioning that compiler behavior can be tunable even without custom attributes using additional compiler command line parameters. The FBDL specification is also open to adding more properties if their existence is justified.

# **7 Compiler implementation**

This chapter describes the implementation of the proof of the concept compiler for the FBDL. As the comprehensive description would be relatively long and would include aspects irrelevant from the thesis point of view, the chapter describes only the overall structure and focuses on some general details that probably any FBDL-compliant compiler will have to face.

The compiler has been divided into two parts, the front-end [90] and the back-end [91], both of which are publicly available. The front-end is responsible for reading FBDL description files, parsing them, instantiating functionalities, and carrying out the registerification process, all according to the FBDL specification. [The](#page-130-0) back-end is respon[sibl](#page-130-1)e for taking the registerification result and generating the desired target code. The decision to divide the compiler into the front-end and back-end has been driven by two factors.

- 1. Regardless of the target, any compiler must carry out the parsing, instantiating, and registerification phases. However, what is later done with the registerification results for a particular target highly depends on the target itself. A Python interface with dynamic loading of address maps and asynchronous access has an entirely different code structure than, for example, a C module with a statically compiled address map and synchronous access. The border between what is common and what depends on the target is quite straightforward, and splitting the compiler into the front-end and back-end feels quite natural.
- 2. If the compiler were monolithic and released with any restrictive license, such as GPL-3.0, it would not be possible to incorporate it directly into proprietary, closedsource programs. If the compiler were monolithic and released with any permissive license, for example, MIT, then anyone could take it as is and fix bugs or implement improvements without reporting it. The modular structure of the compiler is a compromise. Any changes applied by a third party to the front-end must be reported. However, it is still possible to write a closed-source back-end. In such a case, the closed-source back-end must call the front-end as an external program and dump the registerification result into a JSON file. The back-end can then read the JSON file.

The compiler front-end supports all functionality types described in chapter 5. The compiler back-end supports all functionality types used in chapter 8.

Figure 7.1 presents the implemented compiler's current (at the time of dissertation writing) structure. Input .fbd files are parsed in parallel by the parser module. Both instantiation and registerification modules run internally in a sequential manner. Generators for diff[eren](#page-77-0)t targets are run in parallel if a user asks for multiple outputs in a single call. Moreover, if code for a given target is placed in multiple files, then the files are also generated in parallel.



<span id="page-77-0"></span>Figure 7.1: Current structure of the implemented compiler.

## **7.1 Front-end**

The front-end of the compiler is responsible for processing everything defined in the FBDL specification except the access means, as they highly depend on the target. It is internally built of three stages: parsing, instantiation, and registerification.

### **7.1.1 Description file parsing**

The parsing stage is responsible for building abstract syntax trees for description within files. As there are no inter-file dependencies during the parsing stage, running this stage in parallel (true parallelism) is easy. The parser has been generated using the tree-sitter tool [92]. The defined grammar is available online [93]. Tree-sitter is a parser generator tool based on the GLR [94] parsing algorithm working most efficiently with a class of contextfree grammars. It allows for rapid prototyping, but it is not free of drawbacks. The main [one](#page-130-2) is error handling. Suppose the syntax prov[ide](#page-130-3)d by the user is not valid. In that case, giving informative [fee](#page-130-4)dback to a user on what exactly is wrong requires relatively more work than a hand-written custom parser, or sometimes is even impossible.

### **7.1.2 Functionality instantiation**

The instantiation stage is responsible for instantiating functionalities starting from the Main bus description. As the type parametrization is resolved at this stage, it is not truly parallel. There are two possible approaches. The first one is to run this stage sequentially. The sequential approach is simpler to implement. The second one is to run the instantiation stage in parallel. The parallel approach is more complex to implement. Moreover, it requires more data copying internally, as each instantiation worker might have different values of type arguments in different scopes. The proof of the concept compiler sequentially implements the instantiation stage. The whole compilation process is relatively short. A bus with up to 40 functionalities takes less than 10 ms to compile (front-end and back-end) on a platform with Intel i7-8750H CPU. The gain from the parallel instantiation would not be worth the extra complexity added to the code.

### **7.1.3 Functionality registerification**

The registerification stage is responsible for putting functionalities into the actual registers. This stage includes assigning data bit masks, register addresses, block addresses, and masks, as well as access types. The registerification stage is relatively complex to implement in parallel, as it requires determinism. The registerification algorithms must be deterministic because, in the case of non-determinism, registerification of the same bus may lead to different register layout and performance. Although the specification does not forbid such behavior, it is highly impractical. What is more, the registerification stage has a sequential nature. To optimize generated address space size, functionalities (if possible) must be put into the gaps created during the registerification of other functionalities This implies data dependency in the registerification algorithm.

#### **Access types**

During the registerification stage, it must be determined how the data of the functionality must be accessed. The access types are not defined in the specification, so each compiler is free to adopt its policy. For example, a compiler highly optimized for AXI byte addressing will probably implement different access types than some generic multi-target compiler supporting both byte and word addressing.

The implemented compiler has six access types:

- 1. Single One Reg
- 2. Single N Regs
- 3. Array One In Reg
- 4. Array N Regs
- 5. Array N In Reg
- 6. Array N In Reg M In End Reg

The Single One Reg access type is the simplest access type used for single data fitting a single register. Listing 45 presents a description with three data of Single One Reg access type, and figure 7.2 presents an example register layout. The Single One Reg access type requires address and mask (start bit and end bit) attributes to describe unambiguously how to access t[he d](#page-79-1)ata[.](#page-79-0)

```
Main bus
    C config; width = 12
    S0 status; width = 20
```

```
S1 status
```
<span id="page-79-0"></span>Listing 45: Example bus with three data of Single One Reg access type.

<span id="page-79-1"></span>

Figure 7.2: Example register layout of data of Single One Reg access type.

The Single N Regs access type is used to describe the access to data spanning multiple adjacent registers. Listing 46 presents a description with two data of Single N Regs access type, and figure 7.3 presents an example register layout. The Single N Regs access type requires start address, sta[rt b](#page-80-0)it, and width attributes to describe unambiguously how to access the data.

```
Main bus
    S0 status; width = 87
   S1 status; width = 41
```
Listing 46: Example bus with two data of Single N Regs access type.

<span id="page-80-0"></span>

	$Nth + 1$	$Nth + 2$	$Nth + 3$
Nth Register Register		Register	Register
		$\Big {\rm SO}(0) \hskip 2pt [0.31]\Big \Big {\rm SO}(1) \hskip 2pt [0.31]\Big \Big {\rm SO}(2) \hskip 2pt [0.22] \hskip 2pt {\rm SO}(0) \hskip 2pt [23.31]\Big {\rm SU}(1) \hskip 2pt [0.31]\Big $	

Figure 7.3: Example register layout of data of Single N Regs access type.

The Array One In Reg access type is used to describe access to array data with a single element placed within a single register. Listing 47 presents a description with one array data of Array One In Reg access type, and figure 7.4 presents an example register layout. The Array One In Reg access type requires start address, mask (start bit and end bit), and elements count to describe unambiguously [how](#page-80-1) to access the data.

#### Main **bus** S [3]**status**; **width** = 24

Listing 47: Example bus with one data of Array One In Reg access type.

<span id="page-80-1"></span>

<span id="page-80-2"></span>Figure 7.4: Example register layout of data of Array One In Reg access type.

The Array N Regs access type is used to describe access to array data with elements placed adjacent to each other even if the gap in the register is narrower than the single element width. Listing 48 presents a description with two array data of Array N Regs access type, and figure 7.5 presents an example register layout. S0 is two-element array data with single element width greater than the register width. S1 is four-element array data with single element [wi](#page-81-0)dth smaller than the register width. The Array N Regs access type requires start addr[ess,](#page-81-1) start bit, and elements count to describe unambiguously how to access the data.

```
Main bus
    S0 [2]status; width = 40
    S1 [4]status; width = 12
```
Listing 48: Example bus with two data of Array N Regs access type.

<span id="page-81-0"></span>

<span id="page-81-1"></span>Figure 7.5: Example register layout of data of Array N Regs access type.

The Array N In Reg access type is used to describe access to array data with multiple elements placed in one register and with all registers having the same number of items. Listing 49 presents a description with two array data of Array N In Reg access type, and figure 7.6 presents an example register layout. S0 is six-element array data with single element width being the divisor of the reigster width. S1 is four-element array data with single e[lem](#page-81-2)ent width not being the divisor of the register width. The Array N In Reg access [typ](#page-81-3)e requires start address, start bit, element width, and elements count to describe unambiguously how to access the data.

#### Main **bus**

S0 [6]**status**; **width** = 16 S1 [4]**status**; **width** = 14

Listing 49: Example bus with two data of Array N In Reg access type.

<span id="page-81-2"></span>

Nth Register	$Nth + 1$ Register	$Nth + 2$ Register	
$\big  \text{SO[0]} \, \text{[0:15]} \big  \text{SO[1]} \, \text{[16:31]} \big  \big  \text{SO[2]} \, \text{[0:15]} \, \text{SO[3]} \, \text{[16:31]} \big  \text{SO[4]} \, \text{[0:15]} \, \text{SO[5]} \, \text{[16:31]} \big $			
$Nth + 3$ Register		$Nth + 4$ Register	
$\big  \text{S1[0]} \, [0:13] \, \text{S1[1]} \, [14:27] \, \text{Gap} \, [28:31] \, \big  \text{S1[2]} \, [0:13] \, \text{S1[3]} \, [14:27] \, \text{Gap} \, [28:31] \big $			

<span id="page-81-3"></span>Figure 7.6: Example register layout of data of Array N In Reg access type.

The Array N In Reg M In End Reg access type is used to describe access to array data with multiple elements placed in one register and with all registers having the same number of items except the last one. Listing 50 presents a description with two array data of Array N In Reg M In End Reg access type, and figure 7.7 presents an example register layout. S0 is five-element array data with single element width being the divisor of the reigster width. S1 is five-element array d[ata](#page-82-0) with single element width not being the divisor of the register width. The Array N In Reg M In En[d R](#page-82-1)eg access type requires start address, start bit, element width, and elements count to describe unambiguously how to access the data.

Main **bus** S0 [5]**status**; **width** = 16 S1 [5]**status**; **width** = 10

Listing 50: Example bus with two data of Array N In Reg M In End Reg access type.

<span id="page-82-0"></span>

<span id="page-82-1"></span>Figure 7.7: Example register layout of data of Array N In Reg M In End Reg access type.

#### **Registerification algorithm**

The only registerification algorithm requirement imposed by the specification is determinism. A compiler must produce the same registerification result when run multiple times with exactly the same input and arguments. Everything else related to the registerification algorithm is up to a compiler. A single compiler may provide multiple registerification algorithms that are configurable, for example, via a command line parameter.

Although a compiler has freedom in terms of the registerification algorithm, there are some general recommendations that, when followed, ease the implementation. The below recommendations work when functionalities are registerified one by one. That is, once picked, the functionality is ultimately registerified with its final hardware address. They might not be valid in the case of more sophisticated algorithms, for example, when procs, streams, and groups are first registerified internally and later organized in a sequence optimizing generated address space sizes. Some recommendations with greater indexes assume that some recommendations with lower indexes are met.

- 1. If the minimum number of registers for storing single functionality equals  $N(N =$ *ceil*(*functionality width*/*data bus width*)), then this functionality should be placed into N registers. Theoretically, putting it into  $M (M > N)$  registers can save some address space if enough gaps exist. However, as the compiler knows nothing about the access interface during the compilation, an artificial increase of the number of registers needed for functionality can greatly increase the access time if the access interface does not support block transactions or if gaps are not placed in consecutive registers. A small address space size decrease is usually not worth an access time increase in such cases, as the round trip latency in some cases might be significant.
- 2. Proc and stream are encapsulated functionalities. Params and returns can always be aligned to each other if params are not readable. The gaps are possible only at the edges. The call register (or downstream strobe register) must not have any external writable functionality such as config or mask as the write generates the call strobe. If proc params are readable, the exit register must not have any proc params. Moreover, the exit register must not have any functionality not belonging to the proc. This is because the read generates an exit pulse, and all functionalities in such a case are readable. As the specification does not impose whether proc params are readable, it depends on the compiler implementation. If the compiler does not allow param read, then it is safe to put proc params in the exit register. In such a case, the params might belong to the same proc or to another one, but all of them must belong to the same proc. To sum up, a gap after proc or stream registerification is created only when:
	- (a) Proc has only params, or stream is downstream, and the sum of param widths is not multiples of the register width. Such a gap can be filled with functionality that is read-only, for example, static or status. If params cannot be read, then it is also safe to fill the gap with irq (if it is cleared on read) or proc with only returns or upstream. If params can be read, then it is also safe to fill the gap with returns if it will not create an exit or strobe register.
	- (b) Proc exit register is pure, or stream is upstream, and params are not readable. In such case, it is safe to place proc or stream params in the exit register of another proc or strobe register of another stream.
- 3. Array functionalities should be registerified before single functionalities. It is easier to place single functionalities in the gaps created during array functionalities registerification than the reverse way.
- 4. Groups (functionalities belonging to groups) should be registerified before functionalities without groups. This is because groups impose relative placement of functionalities.
- 5. The order of groups registerification and the order of functionalities registerification within the groups are separate, orthogonal issues. The implementation should not introduce unnecessary dependency.
- 6. Single and array functionalities should be sorted before registerification. Wider functionalities should be registerified as the first ones. For example, let us consider bus description from listing 51.

```
Main bus
    P proc
        p param; width = 20
    S0 status; width = 4
    S1 status; width = 12
    S2 status; width = 28
```
Listing 51: Bus description presenting sorting effect on registerification result.

<span id="page-84-0"></span>The proc P being encapsulated functionality is registerified as the first one. It leaves a 12-bits gap. If statuses are registerified in the appearance order, then 3 registers are required. This is shown in figure 7.8.

1st Register	2nd Register	3rd Register
$\vert$ p [0:19] $\vert$ S0 [20:23] $\vert$ Gap [24:31] $\vert$ S1 [0:11] $\vert$ Gap [12:31] $\vert$ S2 [0:27] $\vert$ Gap [28:31]		

Figure 7.8: Register layout without functionality sorting.

However, if functionalities are first sorted in width decreasing order, then only 2 registers are needed. This is shown in figure 7.9.

1st Register	2nd Register	
	p [0:19] S1 [20:31] S2 [0:27] S0 [28:31]	

Figure 7.9: Register layout with functionality sorting.

This recommendation does not apply to single functionalities wider than the bus width. Such a case is more complicated as the optimal registerification depends also on the access atomicity. One possible implementation is to take the widest functionality and check if it can fulfill the last gap. If not, then simply registerify it starting from the next address. This approach is very simple to implement. However, it is not optimal in terms of the generated address space size.

7. Writable functionalities, such as config or mask, should be registerified before readonly functionalities, such as status and static. This is because read-only functionalities are very flexible. They can be placed in almost any gap. For example, let us consider bus description from listing 52.

Main **bus** S0 **status**; **width** = 16 S1 **status**; **width** = 10 C0 **config**; **width** = 16 C1 **config**; **width** = 10

Listing 52: Bus description presenting registerification order change.

If statuses are registerified before configs, then 3 registers are required. This is shown in figure 7.10.



Figure 7.10: Register layout for status  $\sim$  config order.

If configs are registerified before statuses, then 2 registers are required. This is shown in figure 7.11.



Figure 7.11: Register layout for config  $\ge$  status order.

#### **Address allocation**

The FBDL specification does not enforce any particular addressing mode or address allocation algorithm. It is left to the compiler implementation. In contrast, System-RDL formally defines three addressing modes: compact, regalign, and fullalign. A SystemRDL-compliant compiler should provide support for all of them. An FBDLcompliant compiler can be implemented with a fixed addressing mode, which eases the compiler implementation.

It is commonly known that assigning subsequent addresses to the registers and blocks without proper alignment results in suboptimal address decoders. This increases resource utilization and the critical path length, lowering the maximum bus clock frequency. Therefore, the implemented proof of the concept compiler uses an address allocation algorithm oriented on the optimization of address decoders.

If a block requires *B* bits for internal addressing, then its overall address space is aligned to the 2 *<sup>B</sup>* boundary. That ensures that the access to the block may be easily decoded by a simple binary comparison of address bits *B* to (*address bus width −* 1). This task can be easily performed by bus crossbars to which the blocks are connected.

The address allocation algorithm also minimizes the occupied address space by avoiding unnecessary fragmentation. This is achieved by applying the following rules:

- 1. For each block, the size of the required minimal block address space *M* is calculated as the sum of the required addresses for local registers and the address space size required for subblocks. The block address space size is rounded up to the nearest power of two:  $S = 2^N$ , where *N* is the smallest integer for which  $2^N \geq M$ . The *S* value is the size of the block address space. This step is performed recurrently, as the sizes of subblock address spaces are required to calculate the size of the parent block address space.
- 2. The local block registers are located at the beginning of the address space in each block. The subblocks are sorted according to their decreasing size and are placed starting from the end of the block's address space.
- 3. The final address map is built starting from the top block (Main bus) located at address 0 and traversing its subblocks.

The described address allocation procedure corresponds to the regalign addressing mode from the SystemRDL specification. It also explains why there is unused address space in the register map in Appendix C.

## **7.2 Back-end**

The compiler's back-end is responsible for generating code for a particular target. It must generate the means required to access the functionalities. There is no inter-dependency between code generated for different targets, so it is easy to run target code generation in parallel for multiple targets.

The architecture and design of the code generated for the target highly depend on the overall system requirements. Access to the data can be implemented synchronously or asynchronously. Asynchronous code is conceptually harder to generate and use but potentially (if done right) improves system performance. The generated target code can load the address map statically or dynamically. Dynamic loading of address map is harder to

implement. However, it can be beneficial when working with multiple versions of the same description or devices with entirely different buses. In the case of dynamic address map loading, there is no need to regenerate the target code and potentially recompile the code, as dynamic loading requires only the registerification results. The target language also is an important factor. Generating code for dynamic, weakly typed languages (e.g. Python, Perl, Lua) is generally a simpler task than generating code for compiled, strongly-typed languages (e.g. Ada, C, Rust).

Figure 7.12 presents a simplified connection scheme of a system utilizing FBDL. It shows two modules within the requester and the provider, but an even more elementary design with a single module is possible. However, what is more important is that automatically genera[ted c](#page-87-0)ode must be connected to the access interface. The compiler can also generate the access interface code, but it is not recommended for at least two reasons. The first one is that FBDL does not specify anything about the access interface, so keeping it out of the compiler keeps the whole design architecture cleaner. The second one is that in case of extending the interface or replacing it with another one, for example, to improve performance, there is no need to regenerate the code or recompile the compiler.



<span id="page-87-0"></span>Figure 7.12: Simplified connection scheme of a system utilizing FBDL.

In theory, a functionally complete access interface requires only two functions:

- 1. read,
- 2. write.

However, single read and single write functions may not be sufficient in a system having rigid performance requirements. Frequently carried transactions are block read and block write, as well as accessing register with the same address multiple times (often called cyclic/fixed read/write or constant address read/write), for example, to read a FIFO. A slightly enhanced access interface should also provide distinct functions for:

- 1. block read,
- 2. block write,
- 3. cyclic read,
- 4. cyclic write.

In more complex systems, there also may be a need for vectored (scatter/gather) IO. In such a case, the access interface should also provide distinct functions for:

- 1. vectored read,
- 2. vectored write.

In the case of the most performance-demanding systems, there also might be a need for cyclic block (also called wrapped transactions) and cyclic vectored transactions.

In practice, a functionally complete access interface requires the following twelve functions:

- 1. read single register read,
- 2. write single register write,
- 3. cread cyclic (fixed) read,
- 4. cwrite cyclic (fixed) write,
- 5. readb block read,
- 6. writeb block write,
- 7. creadb cyclic block read (wrapped read),
- 8. cwriteb cyclic block write (wrapped write),
- 9. readv vectored (scatter/gather) read,
- 10. writev vectored (scatter/gather) write,
- 11. creadv cyclic vectored (scatter/gather) read,
- 12. cwritev cyclic vectored (scatter gather) write.

The list proposes names for particular transactions. As the names for vectored operations (readv, writev) are already defined in the POSIX standard, extending this naming convention further makes sense. This implies that the type of the operation is indicated by the single character suffix, b for block and v for vectored. Single read (read) and single write (write) do not have any suffixes, as this is a common practice. Whether the transaction is cyclic is indicated by the single letter prefix (c).

The access interface does not have to provide all of the transactions, and even if it does, the last ten can be implemented on top of the read and write. In such a case, there will not be any performance gain, but the programming interface will be easier to use, as there will be no need to implement these functions manually. It is worth mentioning that the performance of the access interface can be improved step by step only when necessary. For example, in the project's initial phase, the readb/writeb can be internally implemented as a loop of read/write calls. If, in a later phase, the performance of the block transactions becomes a bottleneck of the system, a true block access can be added to the interface internal implementation. The access interface can also be wholly reworked or replaced at any phase of the project, and this will not result in any changes in the bus description. In other words, the bus description and the access interface are entirely independent.

There is also one more transaction type frequently found in access interfaces, the rmw (read-modify-write) transaction. The rmw is an atomic operation typically used to implement synchronization mechanisms or to reduce the round-trip latency. For example, if the provider supports rmw internally, the round-trip of remote access is cut by half, or even more if the requester does not care about the acknowledgment. Figure 7.13 presents sequence diagrams for rmw transaction without and with provider support for rmw. The last acknowledgment message may be ignored if the requester does not car[e whe](#page-89-0)ther the operation succeded or failed.



<span id="page-89-0"></span>Figure 7.13: Sequence diagrams for rmw transaction without and with provider support for rmw.

The rmw transaction at the provider side can be implemented in two ways. In the first way, the rmw transaction is part of the bus protocol and is supported by the primary bus master. This way provides the lowest possible latency for the rmw. In the second way, there is an extra, dedicated master offering rmw implemented as an FBDL procedure. Listing 53 presents an example RMW procedure described in FBDL. In actual use cases, the widths of parameters depend on actual bus architecture. All remaining functionalities have be[en](#page-90-0) removed for brevity.

```
Main bus
    RMW proc
        addr param
        operation_type param
        data param
        data_mask param
```
Listing 53: Example read-modify-write FBDL procedure.

<span id="page-90-0"></span>Figure 7.14 shows an example bus structure with an extra master providing rmw transaction support. Such a design has higher rmw transaction latency than a design with rmw transaction supported directly by the primary master, as the primary master has to first write r[mw](#page-90-1) parameters in the extra master. However, the overall rmw latency is still much lower than in the case when the provider does not support rmw transaction at all.

<span id="page-90-1"></span>

Figure 7.14: Example bus structure with extra master providing rmw transaction support.

# **8 Example design**

This chapter presents two example descriptions of the same system. The first description uses the register-centric approach and utilizes the AGWB tool. The second description uses the functionality-centric approach and utilizes FBDL. The AGWB tool was chosen for the register-centric approach because of two reasons. The first one is that AGWB belongs to the class of register-centric tools abstracting registers and bit fields as objects, which makes it safer than the register-centric class providing users with addresses, masks, and bit shifts. The second reason is that both AGWB and FBDL use the same VHDL library for the Wishbone bus, which makes the analysis and comparison easier as bus-related signals share the same types.

Listing 54 presents the example bus description in the register-centric AGWB format, and listing 55 presents the same bus in the functionality-centric FBDL format. The first noticeable difference is the verbosity of the register-centric description (64 lines vs 30 lines). [Thi](#page-92-0)s is because the AGWB is based on the XML format, and FBDL is a domainspecific lan[gua](#page-93-0)ge. However, a functionality-centric approach can also be based on any popular format, such as YAML, JSON, or XML.

Lines of code at first seem like a good metric for code quality. However, the author of [95] provides arguments for desisting from using lines of code as a predictor of software quality.

```
<sysdef top="Main">
    <block name="Subblock_t">
        <!-- Add0, Add1 and Sum registers are part of the addition procedure. -->
        <creg name="Add0">
            <field name="A" width="20"/>
            <field name="B" width="10"/>
        </creg>
        <creg name="Add1" stb="1">
            <field name="C" width="8"/>
        </creg>
        <sreg name="Sum" width = "21"/>
        <!-- Add_Stream0 and Add_Stream1 are part of the addition stream. -->
        <creg name="Add_Stream0">
            <field name="A" width="20"/>
            <field name="B" width="10"/>
        </creg>
        <creg name="Add_Stream1" stb="1">
            <field name="C" width="8"/>
        </creg>
        <!-- Sum_Stream is part of the sum stream. -->
        <sreg name="Sum_Stream" ack="1" width="21"/>
    </block>
    <block name="Main">
        <creg name="C1" width="7"/>
        <creg name="C2" width="9"/>
        <creg name="C3" width="12"/>
        <sreg name="S1" width="7"/>
        <sreg name="S2" width="9"/>
        <sreg name="S3" width="12"/>
        <creg name="CA4" reps="2">
            <field name="Item0" width="8"/>
            <field name="Item1" width="8"/>
            <field name="Item2" width="8"/>
            <field name="Item3" width="8"/>
        </creg>
        <creg name="CA2">
            <field name="Item0" width="8"/>
            <field name="Item1" width="8"/>
        </creg>
        <sreg name="SA4" reps="2">
            <field name="Item0" width="8"/>
            <field name="Item1" width="8"/>
            <field name="Item2" width="8"/>
            <field name="Item3" width="8"/>
        </sreg>
        <sreg name="SA2">
            <field name="Item0" width="8"/>
            <field name="Item1" width="8"/>
        </sreg>
        <sreg name="Counter0" width="32"/>
        <sreg name="Counter1" width="1"/>
        <subblock name="Subblock" type="Subblock_t"/>
        <creg name="Mask" width="16"/>
        <sreg name="Version" width="3*8"/>
    </block>
</sysdef>
```
<span id="page-92-0"></span>Listing 54: Example bus description in the register-centric AGWB format.

```
Main bus
    C1 config; width = 7
   C2 config; width = 9
   C3 config; width = 12
   S1 status; width = 7
   S2 status; width = 9
   S3 status; width = 12
   CA [10]config; width = 8
    SA [10]status; width = 8
    Counter status; width = 33
    Subblock block
        Add proc
            A param; width = 20
            B param; width = 10
            C param; width = 8Sum return; width = 21
        Add_Stream stream
            A param; width = 20
            B param; width = 10
            C param; width = 8
        Sum_Stream stream
            Sum return; width = 21
   Mask mask; width = 16
    Version static; width = 3*8; init -value = 0x010102
```
Listing 55: Example bus description in the functionality-centric FBDL format.

In listings 54 and 55, C1, C2, and C3 represent control information, and S1, S2, and S3 represent status information. Within the testbench design, C1 is directly connected to S1, C2 to S2, and C3 to S3. In listing 55, CA denotes an array of control data, and SA denotes an [ar](#page-92-0)ray [of s](#page-93-0)tatus data. Listing 54 represents the same data as CA4, CA2, SA4, and SA2 registers. Within the testbench design, the CA array is connected directly to the SA array. In listing 55, the Counter repr[ese](#page-93-0)nts status data wider than the bus width. The same Counter is represented in listing 54 [as](#page-92-0) registers Counter0 and Counter1. The Mask data represents bit mask control data, and it is not connected in the testbench design as it only serves to p[rese](#page-93-0)nt the difference between the software interface generated for bit mask handling. The Version data rep[res](#page-92-0)ents static information and is directly driven in the testbench design with a fixed value. Figure 8.1 presents the conceptual connection of the data in the testbench design.



Figure 8.1: Conceptual connection of the data in the testbench design.

Both descriptions have been functionally verified in co-simulations. The repository [96] contains hardware descriptions and software codes used for co-simulations. It also contains all automatically generated files in the autogen directory so that readers do not have to install any application to view all relevant files. From the reader's point of view, the [most](#page-130-5) important files are tb\_agwb.vhd, tb\_fbdl.vhd, test\_agwb.py, test\_fbdl.py, and all files placed in the autogen directory. All remaining files are dependency or script files related to the build and run automation and are irrelevant to the analysis. All listings in the chapter come from the repository.

Figure 8.2 presents the logical structure of the FBDL example design co-simulation. The logical structure of the AGWB example co-simulation looks almost the same. In the case of AGWB, the content of yellow blocks is replaced with files generated by the AGWB compil[er, a](#page-95-0)nd files test\_fbdl.py and tb\_fbdl.vhd are replaced with test\_agwb.py and tb\_agwb.vhd.



<span id="page-95-0"></span>Figure 8.2: Logical structure of the FBDL exapmle design co-simulation.

Appendix B contains registerification results for the bus description from listing 55. This data is produced by the compiler front-end and utilized by the compiler back-end as input data for hardware/gateware description and firmware/software code generation. Appendix [C](#page-140-0), created manually based on the registerification results, presents t[he](#page-93-0) example design register map. Appendix D presents Python code (vfbdb.py) automatically generated by the FBDL compiler for the example design description. Python code snippets withi[n s](#page-144-0)ubsections 8.1.1, 8.1.2, 8.1.3, 8.1.4, and 8.1.5 directly interact with the code from the appendix to access register[s i](#page-145-0)n the automatically generated hardware description. Appendix E contains the VHDL description generated by the FBDL compiler for the Main bus entity (Ma[in.vh](#page-99-0)d[\), an](#page-103-0)[d Ap](#page-107-0)p[endix](#page-111-0) F c[ontain](#page-116-0)s VHDL description generated for the Subbloc[k](#page-159-0) entity (Subblock.vhd).

Listing 56 presents the VHDL interface of the Main entity generated by the registercentric AGWB, and listing 57 presents the VHDL interface of the Main entity generated by the functionality-centric FBDL compiler. The AGWB defines custom subtypes for the por[ts.](#page-96-0) However, these subtypes are simple std\_logic\_vector types, which is irrelevant to the analysis. The [pr](#page-97-0)imary difference is that in the case of the register-centric approach, the user is provided with ports representing registers. However, in the case of the functionality-centric approach, the user is provided with ports representing data. In the example case, it is visible for CA and SA versus CA4, CA4, SA4, and SA2, as well as for the Counter, which is 33 bits wide and in the case of the register-centric approach, it must be divided into two registers manually (Counter0 and Counter1).

```
entity Main is
 port (
   rst_n_i : in std_logic;
   clk_sys_i : in std_logic;
   slave_i : in t_wishbone_slave_in;
   slave_o : out t_wishbone_slave_out;
   Subblock_wb_m_o : out t_wishbone_master_out;
   Subblock_wb_m_i : in t_wishbone_master_in;
   C1_o : out t_C1;
   C2_o : out t_C2;
   C3_o : out t_C3;
   S1_i : in t_S1;
   S2_i : in t_S2;
   S3_i : in t_S3;
   CA4_o : out ut_CA4_array(g_CA4_size - 1 downto 0);
   CA2_o : out t_CA2;
   SA4_i : in ut_SA4_array(g_SA4_size - 1 downto 0);
   SA2_i : in t_SA2;
   Counter0_i : in t_Counter0;
   Counter1_i : in t_Counter1;
   Mask_o : out t_Mask;
   Version_i : in t_Version
 );
```

```
end Main;
```
<span id="page-96-0"></span>Listing 56: Interface of the VHDL Main entity generated by the register-centric AGWB.

```
entity Main is
 port (
   clk_i : in std_logic;
   rst_i : in std_logic;
   slave_i : in t_wishbone_slave_in_array (1 - 1 downto 0);
   slave_o : out t_wishbone_slave_out_array(1 - 1 downto 0);
   Subblock_master_o : out t_wishbone_master_out_array(0 downto 0);
   Subblock_master_i : in t_wishbone_master_in_array(0 downto 0);
   ID_o : out std_logic_vector(31 downto 0) := x"d2600e2f";
   C1_o : buffer std_logic_vector(6 downto 0);
   C2_o : buffer std_logic_vector(8 downto 0);
   C3_o : buffer std_logic_vector(11 downto 0);
   S1_i : in std_logic_vector(6 downto 0);
   S2_i : in std_logic_vector(8 downto 0);
   S3_i : in std_logic_vector(11 downto 0);
   CA_o : buffer slv_vector(9 downto 0)(7 downto 0);
   SA_i : in slv_vector(9 downto 0)(7 downto 0);
   Counter_i : in std_logic_vector(32 downto 0);
   Mask_o : buffer std_logic_vector(15 downto 0);
   Version_o : out std_logic_vector(23 downto 0) := x"010102"
 );
end entity;
```
Listing 57: Interface of the VHDL Main entity generated by the FBDL compiler.

## <span id="page-97-0"></span>**8.1 Functionality-centric approach advantages**

The author described the advantages of the functionality-centric approach in [97]. However, the description in the thesis provides more details.

Before any comparisons, the author would like to introduce the "advantage clas[ses](#page-131-0)" term. The term is not formal but helps to classify the advantages of the functionality-centric approach over a register-centric approach. The advantage class is a characteristic of the quality of the work. There are four advantage classes listed below in alphabetical order:

- 1. Maintainability (M) indicates how easy it is to modify the system behavior,
- 2. Readability (R) denotes the ease of understanding the system,
- 3. Safety (S) represents the probability of human mistake,
- 4. Time (T) represents the time required to implement, adjust, or correct the system.

Although the advantage classes are defined, the metrics for Maintainability, Readability, and Time classes are not introduced. This is because the classes are a bit fuzzy, and it is impossible to introduce objective metrics that cannot be questioned. Maintainability, readability, and time are also very subjective concepts. A proof of this fact might be the literature review prepared by authors of [98], who found that until the year 2013, there were about 13000 publications that used lines of code as one of the features for the code quality and maintainability prediction. The total number of publications trying to approach the software quality assessment pro[blem](#page-131-1) was even greater, as there were also publications not including lines of code. FBDL users are assumed to evaluate solutions in advantage classes based on their expert knowledge, experience, and common sense.

The primary problem with applying objective numerical metrics for code quality assessment is the lack of common definitions. There is not even a single standard definition of readability in the computing domain. Authors of [99] define readability as "*a human judgment of how easy a text is to understand*", authors of [100] define readability as "*a property that influences how easily a given piece of code can be read and understood*", but, for example, authors of [101] define readability as "*th[e c](#page-131-2)ap[abilit](#page-131-3)y of the code that makes it readable and understandable for professionals*."

There is also no consens[us o](#page-131-4)n what constitutes code readability. Most of the proposed models consider structural and visual aspects of code. However, for example, the authors of [102] propose to take into account also textual features. The way the code readability assessment problem is approached is also still evolving. Older methods utilized statistics and graph theory, but, for example, [103] and [104] propose using neural networks.

So[me o](#page-131-5)f the proposed advantage classes are also interdependent. For example, the author of [105] claims that source code read[abili](#page-131-6)ty is [critic](#page-131-7)al to the maintainability of a project, although it is not the only aspect that constitutes it. The readability also impacts the time required to adjust or correct system implementation in case of bugs or requirement ch[ange](#page-131-8)s.

The secondary problem with applying objective numerical metrics is that authors of publications rarely make implementations available. They describe details of their models, but the user has to implement them by himself. There are no ready-to-use programs that can be easily installed and used for free.

For the Security class, there is a binary metric because in the register-centric approach certain error scenarios are possible, while the functionality-centric approach inherently prevents them.

Titles of subsections 8.1.1, 8.1.2, 8.1.3, 8.1.4, and 8.1.5 are suffixed with letters indicating what advantages classes are brought by the functionality-centric approach compared to the register-centric approach. Within subsections, the author justifies why the functionalitycentric approach is a[dvant](#page-99-0)[ageous](#page-103-0) [comp](#page-107-0)[ared t](#page-111-0)o the [regis](#page-116-0)ter-centric approach. Although the author thinks most advantage classes should be assigned to all the presented advantages, only the most significant ones have been chosen.

### **8.1.1 Automatic data placement (MT)**

<span id="page-99-0"></span>In the example design, C1, C2, and C3 represent control information, and S1, S2, and S3 represent status information. The first difference between the register-centric and functionality-centric approaches is that although they represent the same information, they are different entities. In the case of the register-centric approach, the information is represented as registers with proper types. In the case of the functionality-centric approach, the information is represented as data with proper types. The difference has substantial implications. In the case of the register-centric approach, the user must decide ahead on the data placement within registers. For example, in listing 54, S1, S2, and S3 are placed in 3 separate registers. However, as S1, S2, and S3 are read-only, and their total width is less than 32 bits, they could also be placed in one or two registers. Moreover, they can be placed in the registers with C1, C2, and C3 or in a separate regis[ter.](#page-92-0) Even with just 6 data, there are numerous possible placements. In the case of the functionality-centric approach, the compiler is responsible for the data placement within the registers, which reduces development time.

Table 8.1 presents registerification results for single control and status data generated by the functionality-centric FBDL compiler. As can be seen, S2 has been placed in the same register as C2, and S3 has been placed in the same register as C3. The compiler has done this a[utom](#page-100-0)atically to minimize the required address space. S1 has been placed in the same register as the Version, which is static data (data that is never modified). C1 has been placed in a separate register with address 6, and this is the only data placed in this register.

<span id="page-100-0"></span>

Data	Address	Bit range
C1	6	6:0
C <sub>2</sub>	$\overline{5}$	8:0
C <sub>3</sub>	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	11:0
S <sub>1</sub>	8	30:24
S <sub>2</sub>	5	17:9
S <sub>3</sub>	4	23:12
Mask	7	15:0
Version	8	23:0

Table 8.1: Registerification results for single control and status data.

Now, let us consider what happens if the system requirements change and the user needs to change the width of some data. For example, both C3 and S3 shall now be 2 bits wide. In the case of the register-centric approach, the user must manually adjust the register layout. Depending on the scale of the change, it may be required to reshuffle the bit fields between registers. In the case of a width increase, the data might no longer fit the register width, and the user must manually define additional registers. In the case of a width decrease, the data will still fit the registers. However, the generated address space size might no longer be optimal. In the case of the functionality-centric approach, the data is automatically placed within the registers by the compiler, so the only change the user must introduce is the change of the value of the width property. Such an approach improves systems maintainability.

Table 8.2 shows registerification results generated by the FBDL compiler after changing the C3 and S3 width to 2. C2, S2, and S3 are now placed in the same register. Some addresses are changed because the compiler has found a better register layout. The whole recom[pila](#page-101-0)tion process takes milliseconds as it is done automatically by the computer application. Doing the same manually by the user would take seconds or even minutes for more complex adjustments.

<span id="page-101-0"></span>

Data	Address	Bit range
C1	5	6:0
C <sub>2</sub>	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	8:0
C <sub>3</sub>	6	1:0
S <sub>1</sub>	8	30:24
S <sub>2</sub>	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	17:9
S <sub>3</sub>	4	19:18
Mask	7	15:0
Version	8	23:0

Table 8.2: Registerification results for single control and status data after the C3 and S3 width change.

Listing 58 presents the VHDL description generated for C1 access by the register-centric AGWB, and listing 59 presents the VHDL description generated for C1 access by the functionality-centric FBDL. The difference is minor and irrelevant. The snippets are syntact[ica](#page-101-1)lly different mainly because of the custom types used by the AGWB. The access address also differs [beca](#page-102-0)use AGWB and FBDL assign addresses to registers in different order. The description has the same semantics because the C1 width is less than the data bus width. However, the access description generated for the gateware/hardware and the access code generated for the firmware/software has significant differences between register-centric and functionality-centric approaches when the data is an array, when the data is wider than the data bus or when the data forms broader context, what is presented in the corresponding subsections 8.1.2, 8.1.3 and 8.1.4.

```
if int_addr = std_logic_vector(to_unsigned(2, 5)) then
  int_{res}wb_m_i.dat <= (others => '0');
  int_regs_wb_m_i.dat(6 downto 0) <= std_logic_vector(int_C1_o);
  if int regs wb m o.we = '1' then
    int_C1_o <= std_logic_vector(int_regs_wb_m_o.dat(6 downto 0));
  end if;
  int_{res_wbb_i.i.ack \leftarrow '1';int_regs_wb_m_i.err <= '0';
end if;
```
<span id="page-101-1"></span>Listing 58: C1 VHDL access description generated by the register-centric AGWB.

```
if 6 \leq addr and addr \leq 6 then
   if master_out.we = '1' then
      C1_o <= master_out.dat(6 downto 0);
   end if;
   master_in.dat(6 downto 0) <= C1_o;
   master in.ack \leq '1';
  master_in.err \leq '0';end if;
```
Listing 59: C1 VHDL access description generated by the functionality-centric FBDL.

<span id="page-102-0"></span>Listing 60 presents Python code for accessing the single data in the register-centric approach, and listing 61 presents Python code for accessing the single data in the functionality-centric approach. Within testbenches, C1, C2, and C3 are connected directly to S1, [S2](#page-102-1), and S3 to form a feedback loop in the hardware description. There is almost no difference in the [soft](#page-103-1)ware access code, except classes generated by the FBDL have additional attributes with the data width. However, the access code generated for the firmware/software significantly differs between register-centric and functionality-centric approaches when the data is wider than the data bus, which is presented in subsection 8.1.3.

```
def single_data_test(Main):
    print("Performing Single Data Test")
    r = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** 7 - 1)Main.C1.write(r)
    assert Main.C1.read() == r
    assert Main.S1.read() == r
    r = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** 9 - 1)Main.C2.write(r)
    assert Main.C2.read() == r
    assert Main.S2.read() == r
    r = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** 12 - 1)Main.C3.write(r)
    assert Main.C3.read() == r
    assert Main.S3.read() == r
```

```
print("Single Data Test Passed")
```
<span id="page-102-1"></span>Listing 60: Python code for testing access to single data in the register-centric AGWB.

```
def single_data_test(Main):
    print("Performing Single Data Test")
    r = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** Main.C1.width - 1)Main.C1.write(r)
    assert Main.C1.read() == r
    assert Main.S1.read() == r
    r = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** \text{Main.C2}.\text{width} - 1)Main.C2.write(r)
    assert Main.C2.read() == r
    assert Main.S2.read() == r
    r = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** \text{Main.C3.width} - 1)Main.C3.write(r)
    assert Main.C3.read() == r
    assert Main.S3.read() == r
    print("Single Data Test Passed")
```
Listing 61: Python code for testing access to single data in the functionality-centric FBDL.

### <span id="page-103-1"></span>**8.1.2 Automatic array handling (MRT)**

<span id="page-103-0"></span>In listing 55, CA denotes an array of control data, and SA denotes an array of status data. Listing 54 represents the same data as CA4, CA2, SA4, and SA2 registers. Within testbench designs, the CA array is connected directly to the SA array to form a feedback loop in the hardware [de](#page-93-0)scription.

The fir[st d](#page-92-0)ifference is that in the register-centric approach, the user must manually lay out an array data in the registers. In the case of the functionality-centric approach, it is the compiler's responsibility. The same difference was presented in the section 8.1.1 for single data. However, the manual placement task is even more time-consuming in the case of array data. Depending on the data width and item count, the array might be represented as a replication of a single register or require an extra register contain[ing a](#page-99-0) different number of items. The latter is in the example description, where 10 items of width 8 are placed within 3 registers with 4, 4, and 2 distribution. Moreover, not all register-centric tools allow bit field replication within a register. The user must define each bit field separately within the register. For example, in the case of 32 elements array with 1-bit data width, the user must explicitly define 32 bit fields.

The second important distinction between the register-centric and functionality-centric approaches regarding array handling is the generated firmware/software access code. Listing 62 presents Python code for accessing the array data in the register-centric approach,

and listing 63 presents Python code for accessing the array data in the functionalitycentric approach. In the register-centric approach, the user must know the relationship between the data index and register and the bit field index. In other words, if the user wants to ac[ces](#page-105-0)s data with index D, he must explicitly code access to register with index R and bit field with index F. In the case of the functionality-centric approach, the user operates on the array data, and all the index mapping is handled automatically by the code generated by the compiler. Instead of implicitly handling three indexes D, R, and F, the user only has to handle the D index.

```
def array_test(Main):
    print("Performing Array Test")
    data = []for \sin range(10):
        data.append(randint(0, 2 ** 8 - 1))
    for i in range(len(Main.CA4)):
        Main.CA4[i].Item0.write(data[0 + i * 4])
        Main.CA4[i].Item1.write(data[1 + i * 4])
        Main.CA4[i].Item2.write(data[2 + i * 4])
        Main.CA4[i].Item3.write(data[3 + i * 4])
   Main.CA2.Item0.write(data[8])
   Main.CA2.Item1.write(data[9])
   rdata = []for i in range(len(Main.CA4)):
        rdata.append(Main.CA4[i].Item0.read())
        rdata.append(Main.CA4[i].Item1.read())
        rdata.append(Main.CA4[i].Item2.read())
        rdata.append(Main.CA4[i].Item3.read())
    rdata.append(Main.CA2.Item0.read())
    rdata.append(Main.CA2.Item1.read())
    assert rdata == data, f"got {rdata}, want {data}"
    rdata = []for i in range(len(Main.SA4)):
        rdata.append(Main.SA4[i].Item0.read())
        rdata.append(Main.SA4[i].Item1.read())
        rdata.append(Main.SA4[i].Item2.read())
        rdata.append(Main.SA4[i].Item3.read())
    rdata.append(Main.SA2.Item0.read())
    rdata.append(Main.SA2.Item1.read())
    assert rdata == data, f"got {rdata}, want {data}"
```
#### **print**("Array Test Passed")

Listing 62: Python code for testing access to array data in the register-centric AGWB.

```
def array_test(Main):
    print("Performing Array Test")
   data = []for _ in range(len(Main.CA)):
        data.append(randint(0, 2 ** Main.CA.width - 1))
   Main.CA.write(data)
   rdata = Main.CA.read()assert rdata == data, f"got {rdata}, want {data}"
   rdata = Main.SA.read()assert rdata == data, f"got {rdata}, want {data}"
   print("Array Test Passed")
```
Listing 63: Python code for testing access to array data in the functionality-centric FBDL.

<span id="page-105-0"></span>The FBDL compiler is able to handle arrays with a single element of any width. Listing 64 presents the VHDL access description generated for the CA array. As can be seen, 4 elements are placed in the register with address 1, another 4 elements are placed in the register with address 2, and the remaining 2 are placed in the register with address [3.](#page-105-1)

```
if 1 \leq addr and addr \leq 2 then
  for i in 0 to 3 loop
     if master_out.we = '1' then
        CA_0((addr-1)*4+i) \leq master_out.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8*i + 0);
     end if;
     master_in.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8*i + 0) <= CA_0((addr-1)*4+i);
  end loop;
 master in.ack \leq '1';
 master_in.err <= '0';
end if;
if 3 \leq addr and addr \leq 3 thenfor i in 0 to 1 loop
     if master_out.we = '1' then
        CA_0(8+i) \leq master_{}out.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8* i+0);end if;
     master_in.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8*i+0) <= CA_o(8+i);
  end loop;
 master_in.ack \leq '1';
 master_in.err \leq '0';end if;
```
<span id="page-105-1"></span>Listing 64: CA (size  $= 10$ , width  $= 8$ ) array VHDL access description generated by the FBDL compiler.

Let us suppose that system requirements have changed, and CA shall now be an array of 30 elements with a width equal to 1 bit. Listing 65 presents the adjustment that has to be applied to the bus description, and listing 66 presents the VHDL access description generated for the new CA declaration. As can b[e se](#page-106-0)en, all the elements are now placed within a single register with address 1.

```
diff --git a/fbd/bus.fbd b/fbd/bus.fbd
index 3cdd74e..0a13424 100644
--- a/fbd/bus.fbd
+++ b/fbd/bus.fbd
@@ -7,7 +7,7 @@ Main bus
       S2 status; width = 9
       S3 status; width = 12
       CA [10]config; width = 8
+ CA [30]config; width = 1
       SA [10]status; width = 8
```
Listing 65: Functional bus description diff for CA size change to 30 and width change to 1.

```
if 1 \leq addr and addr \leq 1 thenfor i in 0 to 29 loop
     if master_out.we = '1' then
        CA_0(i) \leq \text{master\_out.dat}(1*(i+1)+0-1 \text{ downto } 1*i+0);end if;
     master_in.dat(1*(i+1)+0-1 downto 1*i+0) <= CA_o(i);
  end loop;
 master_in.ack \leftarrow '1';master_in.err <= '0';
end if;
```
Listing 66: CA (size  $=$  30, width  $=$  1) array VHDL access description generated by the FBDL compiler.

Let us suppose that system requirements have changed once more, and  $CA$  shall now be an array of 6 elements with a width equal to 21 bits. Listing 67 presents the adjustment that has to be applied to the bus description. Listing 68 presents the VHDL access description generated for the new CA definition. As can be seen, each item is now placed in a separate register and spans bits from 0 to 20. The first [arra](#page-107-2)y reg[iste](#page-107-1)r has address 1, and the last one has address 6.

```
diff --git a/fbd/bus.fbd b/fbd/bus.fbd
index 3cdd74e..0a13424 100644
--- a/fbd/bus.fbd
+++ b/fbd/bus.fbd
@@ -7,7 +7,7 @@ Main bus
       S2 status; width = 9
       S3 status; width = 12
       CA [30] config; width = 1
+ CA [6]config; width = 21
       SA [10]status; width = 8
```
Listing 67: Functional bus description diff for CA size change to 6 and width change to 21.

```
if 1 \leq addr and addr \leq 6 then
  if master out.we = '1' then
      CA_0(\text{addr} - 1) \leq \text{master}-\text{out.dat}(20 \text{ downto } 0);end if;
  master_in.dat(20 downto 0) <= CA_o<sub>(addr</sub> - 1);
  master_in.ack \leq '1';
  master_in.err <= '0';
end if;
```
Listing 68: CA (size  $= 6$ , width  $= 21$ ) array VHDL access description generated by the functionality-centric FBDL.

<span id="page-107-2"></span>The FBDL compiler is also capable of handling access to arrays with elements wider than the data bus. Such an example is not presented as access atomicity is described in detail in subsection 8.1.3.

### **8.1.3 Ac[cess](#page-107-0) atomicity (MST)**

<span id="page-107-0"></span>In the example design, the Counter represents status data that is wider than the bus width. In the functionality-centric approach, each instantiated functionality has a bit width independent of the data bus width. In register-centric approaches (CII being the exception), the designer explicitly puts data into the registers. Hence, it cannot be defined as wider than the data bus width. For the data wider than the register width, the user must define multiple registers and partition the data into the registers. However, when the access to the data must be atomic, two additional issues arise:

- 1. Atomic data value change must be manually described in the HDL (vhdMMIO is the exception as it has the concept of logical registers and is capable of generating atomic access hardware description).
- 2. Correct access order to the registers must be manually implemented in the firmware/ software. The data is latched on reading the first register in the case of data reads
and updated on writing the last register in the case of data writes. Incorrect access order results in an invalid value if data changes during the transaction.

In the functionality-centric approach, the compiler automatically handles the additional issues related to access atomicity, as data is treated as an indivisible whole, not as a fragmented piece.

Figure 8.3 presents waveforms, and listing 69 presents the generated VHDL description for Counter access in the register-centric approach. Counter registers sampling times are marked with markers. During the first register read, the counter value equals  $0x1$ FFFFFFFFF , and t[he v](#page-108-0)alue on the data bus equals 0xF[FF](#page-109-0)FFFFF. This is the expected value. Before the second read, the counter overflows. During the second read, the counter value equals 0x000000004, and the value on the data bus equals 0x00000000. The read value of the bit with index 33 is incorrect because during the first read, it equaled '1', but it equaled '0' during the second read. The final Counter read value equals 0x0FFFFFFFF, instead of 0x1FFFFFFFF. This is two times less than expected. The problem occurs not only when values overflow but also when there is a change in the middle bits of a signal wider than the data bus. For example, if the Counter were 65 bits wide, the same problem with bit 33 would occur even though the Counter did not overflow.

Signals	Waves	
Time	400 ns 12500 ns 12600 ns	12800 ns 12700 ns
$clk=1$		
counter[32:0]=000000000		
Wishbone Master -> Slave		
adr[31:0]=0000000E	00000+ 0000000E 00000000	0000000F 00000000
$cyc=1$		
$dat[31:0] = 00000000$	00000000	
$self3:0]=0$		
$s$ tb=1		
$we = 0$		
Wishbone Slave -> Master		
$ack = 1$		
$dat[31:0] = FFFFFFFFF$	00000+ 0000AC6C FFFFFFFF 100000000	FFFFFFFF 00000000
$err=0$		
$rty = 0$		

<span id="page-108-0"></span>Figure 8.3: Counter non-atomic access issues in the register-centric approach.

```
if int_addr = std_logic_vector(to_unsigned(14 + i, 5)) then
  int_regs_wb_m_i.dat <= (others => '0');
  int_regs_wb_m_i.dat(31 downto 0) <= std_logic_vector(Counter0_i);
  int_{res_wbb_i.i.ack \leftarrow '1';int_regs_wb_m_i.err <= '0';
end if;
if int_addr = std_logic_vector(to_unsigned(15 + i, 5)) then
  int regs wb m i.dat \leq (others \Rightarrow '0');
 int_regs_wb_m_i.dat(0 downto 0) <= std_logic_vector(Counter1_i);
 int_{res_wbb_i}.ack \leq 1;
 int_regs_wb_m_i.err <= '0';
end if;
```
Listing 69: Counter VHDL access description generated by the register-centric AGWB.

<span id="page-109-0"></span>Figure 8.4 presents waveforms, and listing 70 presents the generated VHDL description for the Counter access in the functionality-centric approach. Counter registers sampling times are marked with markers. During the first register read, the counter value equals 0x1FFF[FFF](#page-109-1)FF, and the value on the data [bus](#page-110-0) equals 0xFFFFFFFF. This is the expected value. Before the second read, the counter overflows. During the second read, the counter value equals 0x000000005. However, the value on the data bus equals 0x00000001. The read value of the bit with index 33 equals '1' because this was the bit value when the first register read occurred. Compared to the register-centric approach, in the functionalitycentric approach, the user is provided with the data, not the registers, and it is the compiler's responsibility to guarantee atomic data access.

The generated VHDL access description has an additional Counter\_atomic signal latching the value of the remaining Counter bits when the read of the first register storing the Counter value happens. Such a register is often called a snapshot or shadow register, although, to the author's knowledge, there is no formal definition. When reading the upper bit, the data bus is driven from the snapshot register, not the Counter register.

Signals	Waves	
Time	6900 ns 7100 ns 7 us	7200 ns
$clk=1$		
counter[32:0]=00000000		
Wishbone Master -> Slave		
adr[31:0]=0000000C	0000000D 00000000 0000000C	00000000
$cyc = 1$		
dat[31:0]=00000000	00000000	
$self3:01=0$		
$s$ tb=1		
$we = 0$		
Wishbone Slave -> Master		
$ack = 1$		
$dat[31:0] = FFFFFFFFF$	$+ 000002CB$ FFFFFFFF 00000000 FFFFFFFF	00000001
$err = 0$		
$rty=0$		

<span id="page-109-1"></span>Figure 8.4: Counter atomic access in the functionality-centric approach.

```
-- Signal declaratin line taken from the architecture declarative part.
signal Counter_atomic : std_logic_vector(32 downto 32);
-- If statements taken from the process statement part.
if 12 \leq addr and addr \leq 12 then
 Counter_atomic(32 downto 32) <= Counter_i(32 downto 32);
 master in.dat(31 downto 0) <= Counter i(31 downto 0);
 master in.ack \leq '1';
 master in.err \leq '0';
end if;
if 13 \leq addr and addr \leq 13 then
 master_in.dat(0 downto 0) <= Counter_atomic(32 downto 32);
 master in.ack \leq '1';
 master_in.err <= '0';
end if;
```
Listing 70: Counter VHDL access description generated by the FBDL compiler.

<span id="page-110-0"></span>In the register-centric approach, the user can provide access atomicity similarly. However, it must be done manually outside the automatically generated bus fabric description. This requires extra time and introduces room for potential mistakes, for example, when the user accidentally connects the wrong bits to the snapshot register. It is also required that the first read register has an associated read acknowledgment signal that triggers the snapshot register data latch.

Providing access atomicity consumes extra resources, and not all data wider than the data bus requires atomic access. In such a case, the FBDL compiler can be informed to discard access atomicity for particular data. The user can simply set the atomic property to false (atomic = false).

Listing 71 presents Python code for accessing Counter in the register-centric approach, and listing 72 presents Python code for accessing Counter in the functionality-centric approach. In the case of the register-centric approach, the user must manual[ly i](#page-110-1)mplement a valid access order and recreate the value. For the example Counter , there are at le[ast](#page-111-0) three possible mistakes: invalid register access order, invalid bit shift, and invalid order of arguments for the bitwise or operator (|). In the functionality-centric approach, the user simply reads the data. Everything related to the data read is handled automatically by the compiler.

```
print("Performing Counter Test")
cnt0 = Main.Counter0.read()
cnt1 = Main.Counter1.read()
cnt = (cnt1 \ll 32) | cnt0assert cnt == 0x1FFFFFFFF
print("Counter Test Passed")
```
<span id="page-110-1"></span>Listing 71: Python code for testing Counter access in the register-centric AGWB.

```
print("Performing Counter Test")
cnt = Main.Counter.read()
assert cnt == 0x1FFFFFFFF
print("Counter Test Passed")
```
Listing 72: Python code for testing Counter access in the functionality-centric FBDL.

#### <span id="page-111-0"></span>**8.1.4 Procedure and stream contexts (MRS)**

In listing 54, registers Add0, Add1, and Sum represent a procedure. The procedure is a simple addition procedure with three summands: A, B, and C. The Sum register stores the operation result.

Describin[g p](#page-92-0)rocedures in the register-centric approach has the following drawbacks:

- 1. The user must manually place the parameter and return data in the registers. In the case of procedure data, atomic access is not required as all the argument data is latched using an additional strobe signal. Usually, the strobe pulse is generated when the last register storing procedures argument is written. No atomicity requirement means the argument data can be packed tightly in the registers to minimize the required address space. The bits of the single data can be split into two registers even if the data width is less than the data bus width. In the case of listing 54, 2 bits of C could be placed in the register Add0, and only the remaining 6 bits could be placed in the register Add1. This would not change the number of required registers in the example addition procedure. However, such an approach can red[uce](#page-92-0) the number of required registers in the case of procedures with more parameters. Moreover, if there is enough space in the parameters' last register, the return data can be placed there. However, not all register-centric tools allow placing control and status data in the same register. In the case of procedure data change, the registercentric approach might require manual and time-consuming data reshuffling between registers.
- 2. Without additional comment, a user can only guess based on the register names that particular registers form a procedure context. Even with the comment, it may not be up to date, as it must be manually synced.
- 3. The user must provide correct parameter registers write order and return registers read order in firmware/software.

Listing 73 presents the interface of the VHDL Subblock entity generated by the registercentric AGWB. The user is provided with the addition procedure registers directly. There is no e[ncap](#page-112-0)sulation of the procedure context.

```
entity Subblock_t is
 port (
   rst_n_i : in std_logic;
   clk_sys_i : in std_logic;
   slave_i : in t_wishbone_slave_in;
   slave_o : out t_wishbone_slave_out;
   Add0_o : out t_Add0;
   Add1_o : out t_Add1;
   Add1_o_stb : out std_logic;
   Sum_i : in t_Sum;
   Add_Stream0_o : out t_Add_Stream0;
   Add_Stream1_o : out t_Add_Stream1;
   Add_Stream1_o_stb : out std_logic;
   Sum_Stream_i : in t_Sum_Stream;
   Sum_Stream_i_ack : out std_logic
 );
end Subblock_t;
```
Listing 73: Interface of the VHDL Subblock entity generated by the AGWB.

<span id="page-112-0"></span>Listing 74 presents Python code for testing the addition procedure in the register-centric approach in the co-simulation testbench. The A and B bit fields are written separately, meaning the register Add0 is written twice. This can be avoided. However, it is the user's respons[ibi](#page-112-1)lity to create a valid value for the Add0 register write. The single Add0 write approach line is commented out.

```
def add_test(Main):
    print("Performing Add Test")
    a = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** 20 - 1)b = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** 10 - 1)c = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** 8 - 1)Main.Subblock.Add0.A.write(a)
    Main.Subblock.Add0.B.write(b)
    # Main.Subblock.Add0.write((b << 20) | a)
    Main.Subblock.Add1.C.write(c)
    assert Main.Subblock.Sum.read() == a + b + c
```
**print**("Add Test Passed")

Listing 74: Python code for testing addition procedure in the register-centric approach.

<span id="page-112-1"></span>The same addition procedure is presented in the listing 55. The functionality-centric procedure description is free of all the register-centric drawbacks. This is because the procedure context is defined explicitly using the proc functionality. Parameters and returns have their widths, but it is the compiler's responsib[ilit](#page-93-0)y to place them in registers.

The compiler is also responsible for generating the firmware/software method for calling the procedure, so there is no room for the incorrect access order mistake.

Listing 75 presents the interface of the VHDL Subblock entity generated by the functionality-centric FBDL. The procedure-related signals are encapsulated as record types. The call signal is driven high for one clock cycle every time the last parameter regis[ter](#page-113-0) is written. The exitt ('exit' is VHDL keyword) signal is driven high for one clock cycle every time the last return register is read. In the example system, the exitt signal is ignored, and the call signal is used to trigger the add procedure. The Sum is updated every time the call signal equals '1'.

```
-- Record type declarations taken from the Subblock_pkg.
type Add_out_t is record
   A : std_logic_vector(19 downto 0);
  B : std_logic_vector(9 downto 0);
   C : std_logic_vector(7 downto 0);
   call : std_logic;
   exitt : std_logic;
end record;
type Add_in_t is record Sum : std_logic_vector(20 downto 0); end record;
type Add_Stream_t is record
  A : std_logic_vector(19 downto 0);
  B : std_logic_vector(9 downto 0);
  C : std_logic_vector(7 downto 0);
end record;
type Sum_Stream_t is record Sum : std_logic_vector(20 downto 0); end record;
entity Subblock is
port (
  clk_i : in std_logic;
  rst_i : in std_logic;
   slave_i : in t_wishbone_slave_in_array (1 - 1 downto 0);
   slave_o : out t_wishbone_slave_out_array(1 - 1 downto 0);
   Add_o : out Add_out_t;
   Add_i : in Add_in_t;
   Add_Stream_o : out Add_Stream_t;
   Add_Stream_stb_o : out std_logic;
   Sum_Stream_i : in Sum_Stream_t;
   Sum_Stream_stb_o : out std_logic
);
end entity;
```
<span id="page-113-0"></span>Listing 75: Interface of the VHDL Subblock entity generated by the FBDL.

Listing 76 presents Python code for testing the addition procedure in the co-simulation testbench. In the functionality-centric approach, a user is provided with a function that can be explicitly called. There is no need to write parameter registers manually to call the pro[ced](#page-114-0)ure.

```
def add_test(Main):
    print("Performing Add Test")
    a = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** 20 - 1)b = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** 10 - 1)c = \text{randint}(0, 2 ** 8 - 1)assert Main.Subblock.Add(a, b, c)[0] == a + b + cprint("Add Test Passed")
```
Listing 76: Python code for testing add procedure in the functionality-centric approach.

<span id="page-114-0"></span>Listing 77 presents the add procedure access description generated by the functionalitycentric FBDL. The address signal (addr) is a relative subblock address, hence low address values such as 0 and 1. The compiler automatically put the lower 2 bits of the C parameter in the s[am](#page-114-1)e register as the A and B parameters. The compiler also put the return Sum in the same register as the upper 6 bits of the C parameter. Figure 8.5 presents waveforms for the add procedure test. There are 3 bus transactions, 2 writes, and 1 read. The second write access has the same address as the read access. Yellow waveforms have decimal formatting. The result of the procedure is correct  $(1045694 + 484 + 117 = 1046295)$  $(1045694 + 484 + 117 = 1046295)$  $(1045694 + 484 + 117 = 1046295)$ . It also may be noticed that the value of the C parameter changes twice on both writes.

```
if 0 \leq addr and addr \leq 0 then
 if master_out.we = '1' then
     Add_o.A <= master_out.dat(19 downto 0);
  end if;
 master_in.dat(19 downto 0) <= Add_o.A;
  if master out.we = '1' then
     Add_o.B <= master_out.dat(29 downto 20);
 end if;
 master in.dat(29 downto 20) \leq Add o.B;
  if master_out.we = '1' then
     Add_o.C(1 downto 0) <= master_out.dat(31 downto 30);
 end if;
 master_in.dat(31 downto 30) <= Add_o.C(1 downto 0);
 master_in.ack \leftarrow '1';master_in.err <= '0';
end if;
if 1 \leq addr and addr \leq 1 then
  if master out.we = '1' then
     Add_o.C(7 downto 2) <= master_out.dat(5 downto 0);
 end if;
 master in.dat(5 downto 0) \leq Add o.C(7 downto 2);
 master_in.dat(26 downto 6) <= Add_i.Sum;
 master_in.ack \leftarrow '1';master in.err \leq '0';
end if;
```
<span id="page-114-1"></span>Listing 77: Add procedure access description generated by the functionality-centric FBDL.



<span id="page-115-0"></span>Figure 8.5: Add procedure waveforms for testbench utilizing description generated by the FBDL compiler.

In a practical design, registers often form not only procedure contexts but also stream contexts. A stream is very similar to a procedure. The first difference is that a stream is always unidirectional. It always has only parameters (downstream) or only returns (upstream). The second difference is in the way firmware/software calls a stream. An access to a stream is multiple, and an access to a procedure is single. The example design contains two streams Add\_Stream downstream and Sum\_Stream upstream. While the gateware/hardware description generated for streams is almost identical to the description generated for procedures, the generated firmware/software code has different API. Listing 78 presents Python code for testing addition streams in the co-simulation testbench. The first noticeable difference is that streams accept or return an array of datasets, whereas procedures accept and return a single dataset. The second difference is purely nome[ncla](#page-116-0)tural. Streams instead of being called, are written (downstream) or read (upstream).

```
def streams_test(Main):
    print("Performing Streams Test")
    data = []for i in range(16):
        dataset = \lceildataset.append(randint(0, 2**Main.Subblock.Add_Stream.params[0]['Width']-1))
        dataset.append(randint(0, 2**Main.Subblock.Add_Stream.params[1]['Width']-1))
        dataset.append(randint(0, 2**Main.Subblock.Add_Stream.params[2]['Width']-1))
        data.append(dataset)
    Main.Subblock.Add_Stream.write(data)
    sums = Main.Subblock.Sum_Stream.read(16)
    for i, dataset in enumerate(data):
        got = sums[i][0]want = sum(dataset)assert got == want, f''\{i\}: got \{got\}, want \{want\}''print("Streams Test Passed")
```
<span id="page-116-0"></span>Listing 78: Python code for testing addition streams in the functionality-centric approach.

The procedure and streams examples are contained within the subblock, both in the register-centric AGWB and functionality-centric FBDL approach. The goal is to present that in both approaches, describing the hierarchy of the modules is possible and is very similar and straightforward. The block functionality also allows defining the number of masters connected to the subblock via the masters property, which allows for connecting multiple bus or physical interfaces. The additional interfaces can be connected directly or via a custom bridge if a protocol translation is required.

#### **8.1.5 Additional types (R)**

In the register-centric approach, a user declares the register type. Most available tools offer control registers and status registers. A control register can be read and written from the firmware/software and read (sometimes also written) from the gateware/hardware. A status register can be read from the firmware/software, and read and written from the gateware/hardware. vhdMMIO is slightly different in this term as it has the concept of register behavior. The register behavior is an extension of the register type. However, this is still the register type, not the data type.

In the functionality-centric approach offered by the FBDL, a user declares the type of the data, not the register. This, in turn, allows for introducing additional types, increasing the amount of code that can be automatically generated, and improving the system's readability.

In listing 54, there is a control register named Mask and a status register named Version. The Mask is a bit mask, meaning the user will want to set, clear, and toggle particular bits of the value. The Version represents static information that never changes. However, in the re[gist](#page-92-0)er-centric approach, the user can only guess based on the names that Mask is a bit mask and Version is static. The description could have extra documentation comments explaining the purpose of the registers, but it would have to be manually kept up to date.

In listing 55, the same Mask and Version have distinct types. Based on the type, it is not only clear what functionality is served by the data, but it is also possible to generate access functions for firmware/software with the desired programming interface. In the case of th[e s](#page-93-0)tatic Version, it is also possible to assign a value where the static data is defined, which improves readability. In most register-centric tools, the user has to check the gateware/hardware description to realize that the status register value never changes because it is driven by a constant signal. This is shown in listing 79, which presents an instantiation of the Main entity generated by the register-centric AGWB.

```
agwb_main : entity agwb.Main
port map (
  clk_sys_i \Rightarrow clk,rst n i => '1',
  slave_i => wb_m s,
  slave_0 => wb_sm,
  Subblock_wb_m_o => subblock_wb_ms,
  Subblock wb m_i => subblock wb sm,
  C1_0 => c1,
  C2_0 => c2,
  C3_0 \implies C3,
  S1_i \implies c1,S2_i \implies c2,S3_i \implies c3,
  CA4_ \circ \Rightarrow ca4,
  CA2_o \Rightarrow ca2,SA4 i => sa4,
  SA2_i \implies sa2,
  Counter0_i => std_logic_vector(counter(31 downto 0)),
  Counter1_i => std_logic_vector(counter(32 downto 32)),
  Mask_0 => mask,
  Version_i \Rightarrow x"010102");
```
Listing 79: Instantiation of the VHDL Main entity generated by the register-centric AGWB.

Listing 80 presents Python code for testing access to the Mask data in the register-centric AGWB co-simulation testbench, and listing 81 presents Python code for the same test in the functionality-centric FBDL approach. In the case of the FBDL, it is possible to gene[rat](#page-118-0)e access methods operating directly on bits, which saves time and reduces the probability of human mistakes. In the [cas](#page-118-1)e of the register-centric approach, it is impossible, as the compiler does not know the purpose of the data stored in the control register.

```
def mask_test(Main):
    print("Performing Mask Test")
    # Setting particular bits
   bits = [1, 3, 8, 15]mask = 0for b in bits:
       mask |= 1 \lt < bMain.Mask.write(mask)
    for idx in range(16):
       val = mask & (1 \leq x)if idx in bits:
            assert val == 1 << idx, f"bit {idx} not set"
        else:
            assert val == 0, f"bit {idx} set"
    # Toggling bits
   mask = Main.Mask.read()
   mask = (1 \ll 1)Main.Mask.write(mask)
    assert Main.Mask.read() & (1 << 1) == 0, "mask toggle didn't work"
    print("Mask Test Passed")
```
Listing 80: Python code for testing access to Mask data in the register-centric approach.

```
def mask_test(Main):
   print("Performing Mask Test")
   bits = [1, 3, 8, 15]Main.Mask.set(bits)
   mask = Main.Mask.read()
   for idx in range(Main.Mask.width):
       val = mask & (1 \leq x idx)
        if idx in bits:
            assert val == 1 << idx, f"bit {idx} not set"
        else:
            assert val == 0, f"bit {idx} set"
   Main.Mask.toggle(1)
    assert Main.Mask.read() & (1 << 1) == 0, "mask toggle didn't work"
    print("Mask Test Passed")
```
<span id="page-118-1"></span>Listing 81: Python code for testing access to Mask data in the functionality-centric FBDL.

#### **8.2 Synthesis results**

Hardware descriptions generated by the register-centric AGWB and the functionalitycentric FBDL were synthesized to compare resource utilization and show that the functionality-centric approach is not only a theoretical concept but also something that works in practice.

A few adjustments have to be introduced to the logical design from figure 8.2 to make the example design work with real hardware. Namely, the co-simulation-specific elements (the pink ones) must be replaced with counterparts corresponding to the physical connection. The BFM was replaced with a custom SPI - Wishbone bridge, and GHD[L si](#page-95-0)mulator was replaced with the Cmod S7 development board [106]. FIFOs were replaced with physical cables and USB-SPI converter [107]. Python co-simulation interface was replaced with a custom interface implementation utilizing busio module from the Adafruit Blinka package [108]. It is worth mentioning that regardless o[f the](#page-131-0) run environment (co-simulation or actual hardware), elements ge[nerat](#page-132-0)ed by the FBDL compiler (the yellow ones) are the [same](#page-132-1). There is no need to regenerate files.

Vivado 2021.2 was used as the synthesis tool. The Synthesis Strategy was set to the Default, and the -flatten hierarchy property was set to none. The target part was XC7S25-1CSGA225C (Spartan 7).

Figures 8.6 and 8.7 present post-synthesis resource utilization for the register-centric AGWB and functionality-centric FBDL. Table 8.3 presents the same information but in a mo[re st](#page-120-0)raigh[tfor](#page-120-1)ward comparison format.

The number of utilized registers is the same fo[r A](#page-120-2)GWB and FBDL. This is expected as the number of required registers depends on the total number of data bits, and both approaches describe the same data but use different approaches. The number of utilized registers is higher than the number of data bits because some registers are utilized for the bus logic. However, as the same bus and VHDL library are used in both examples, the number of registers utilized by the bus logic is the same.

The number of utilized LUTs is higher for the register-centric AGWB. In the case of the functionality-centric FBDL, the compiler automatically optimized the required address space size, resulting in simpler address decoding logic. The description generated by the register-centric AGWB effectively utilizes 22 addresses, and the description generated by the functionality-centric FBDL effectively utilizes 19 addresses.



#### <span id="page-120-0"></span>Figure 8.6: Post-synthesis resource utilization for the register-centric AGWB.

∧ Name	Slice LUTs (14600)	Slice Registers (29200)	F7 Muxes (7300)	F8 Muxes (3650)	Bonded IOB (100)	<b>BUFGCTRL</b> (32)
$\vee$ N Top FBDL	415	469	9			
SPI_Wb_Bridge (SPI_Wb_Bridge)	145	132	5	2	0	
$\vee$ <b>I</b> vfbdb main (Main)	150	162	4	0	0	0
T crossbar (xwb crossbar)	59	4	0	0	0	
$\vee$ <b>I</b> vfbdb subblock (Subblock)	71	113		0	0	
I crossbar (xwb crossbar parameterized0)	35		0	0	0	

Figure 8.7: Post-synthesis resource utilization for the functionality-centric FBDL.

<span id="page-120-2"></span><span id="page-120-1"></span>

Table 8.3: Post-synthesis resource utilization.

# **9 Real use case**

The implemented FBDL compiler was used during the development of the delay generator module for femtosecond laser implemented as a part of the "*Development of optical engine for rapid laser fabrication of transparent materials*" (Eurostars-2) project carried out by the Fluence SP. Z O. O. The objective of the project was the development of a beam delivery module containing an optical Pancharatnam-Berry phase element and a laser equipped with precise pulse-on-demand synchronization for high-speed laser processing of transparent materials.

Due to the proprietary nature of the project, no internal details can be revealed. However, appendix G contains the statement from the Fluence company confirming the use of the FBDL compiler.

## **10 Summary**

Describing system bus registers at the functional level using FBDL offers the following advantages in certain practical use cases compared to the typical register-centric approach:

- 1. Shorter development time, as more code can be automatically generated.
- 2. More readable and maintainable project structure. As FBDL is more strongly typed than the typical register-centric approach, the description contains more information about the system. There is no need to read gateware/hardware description or firmware/software code to know that particular registers form a broader context and are dependent (procedures and streams).
- 3. No space for invalid access order bugs. Code for writing parameters or reading returns of procedures and streams is automatically generated so the register with the associated strobe or acknowledgment signal is always accessed as the last.
- 4. Less probability of non-atomic data access bugs. In FBDL, access to any data is atomic by default. Any compiler compilant with the FBDL specification must guarantee that the generated gateware or hardware provides atomic data access by default. Non-atomicity is an opt-out feature achieved with explicit atomic = false property assignment.
- 5. Uniform data access interface across different target languages. The FBDL specification states what kind of accesses must be generated for particular functionalities. This eliminates scenarios where the generated C code provides information on addresses, masks, and shifts. However, for example, the generated Python or C++ code abstracts this information by providing direct operations on registers and bit fields. The abstraction level of the code generated by the FBDL compiler is the same regardless of the target language and is always at the data functionality level.

The FBDL may also be used for on-chip connections utilizing the NoC technology. As each network node has to distribute data within its borders, the traditional bus architectures are still used for this purpose. In such a design, the FBDL may be used to describe the functionality of particular buses of nodes. The routing algorithm and access interfaces are then implemented independently and are only hooked to the code generated by an FBDL compiler.

## **Bibliography**

- [1] T. Ablyazimov. Challenges in QCD matter physics The scientific programme of the Compressed Baryonic Matter experiment at FAIR. *The European Physical Journal A*, 53(3):60, March 2017. https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2017-12248-y.
- [2] Compressed Baryonic Matter experiment. https://www.gsi.de//work/ forschung/cbmnqm/cbm.htm[. Accessed: 1 October 2023.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2017-12248-y)
- [3] Wojciech M. Zabołotny, Marek Gumiński, Michał [Kruszewski, and Walter F.J.](https://www.gsi.de//work/forschung/cbmnqm/cbm.htm) [Müller. Control and Diagnost](https://www.gsi.de//work/forschung/cbmnqm/cbm.htm)ics System Generator for Complex FPGA-Based Measurement Systems. *Sensors*, 21(21), 2021. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21217378.
- [4] ARM. AMBA AXI and ACE Protocol Specification. https://developer.arm. com/documentation/ihi0022/latest/. [Accessed: 3 June 2021.](https://doi.org/10.3390/s21217378)
- [5] OpenCores. WISHBONE System-on-Chip (SoC) Interc[onnection Architecture for](https://developer.arm.com/documentation/ihi0022/latest/) Portable IP Cores. [https://cdn.openco](https://developer.arm.com/documentation/ihi0022/latest/)res.org/downloads/wbspec\_b4.pdf. Accessed: 3 June 2021.
- [6] G. De Michell and [R.K. Gupta. Hardware/software co-design.](https://cdn.opencores.org/downloads/wbspec_b4.pdf) *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 85(3):349–365, March 1997. Conference Name: Proceedings of the IEEE, https://doi.org/10.1109/5.558708.
- [7] A.A. Jerraya and W. Wolf. Hardware/software interface codesign for embedded systems. *Computer*[, 38\(2\):63–69, 2005.](https://doi.org/10.1109/5.558708) https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2005.61.
- [8] Juha Takalo, Jukka Kääriäinen, Päivi Parviainen, and Tuomas Ihme. Challenges of software-hardware co-design. *VTT [WORKING PAPERS](https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2005.61)*, page 49, 2008.
- [9] J. Kokila, N. Ramasubramanian, and S. Indrajeet. A Survey of Hardware and Software Co-design Issues for System on Chip Design. In Ramesh K. Choudhary, Jyotsna Kumar Mandal, Nitin Auluck, and H A Nagarajaram, editors, *Advanced Computing and Communication Technologies*, pages 41–49, Singapore, 2016. Springer Singapore.
- [10] Racha Ganesh. Design Issues in Hardware/Software Co-Design. *International Journal of Research in Electronics & Communication Technology*, June 2020.
- [11] Luca Zulberti, Stefano Di Matteo, Pietro Nannipieri, Sergio Saponara, and Luca Fanucci. A Script-Based Cycle-True Verification Framework to Speed-Up Hardware

and Software Co-Design: Performance Evaluation on ECC Accelerator Use-Case. *Electronics*, 11(22), 2022.

- [12] NamDo Kim, JunHyuk Park, Byeong Min, and Wesley Park. Register Verification: Do We Have Reliable Specification? In *Design and Verification Conference and Exhibition*, 2013.
- [13] Haytham Saafan, M. Watheq El-Kharashi, and Ashraf Salem. Formal Based Methodology for Inferring Memory Mapped Registers. In *2016 17th International Workshop on Microprocessor and SOC Test and Verification (MTV)*, pages 15–18, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1109/MTV.2016.11.
- [14] Feng Zhang. *High-speed Serial Buses in Embedded Systems*. Springer Singapore, 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-](https://doi.org/10.1109/MTV.2016.11)1868-3.
- [15] Intel. ISA Bus Specification and Application Notes. https://archive.org/ detai[ls/bitsavers\\_intelbusSpep89\\_3342148/mode/2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1868-3)up. Accessed: 14 March 2023.
- [16] [Extended Industry Standard Architecture \(EISA\) Specification 3.1.](https://archive.org/details/bitsavers_intelbusSpep89_3342148/mode/2up) https:// www.os2museum.com/files/docs/EISA\_Specification-v3.1.pdf. Accessed: 14 March 2023.
- [17] [Tube Time. Micro Channel Bus Tutorial.](https://www.os2museum.com/files/docs/EISA_Specification-v3.1.pdf) https://github.com/sch[lae/mca](https://www.os2museum.com/files/docs/EISA_Specification-v3.1.pdf)tutorial. Accessed: 14 March 2023.
- [18] Infotel. VESA LOCAL BUS 3486, 78[6 MINI-BOARD USER'S MAN-](https://github.com/schlae/mca-tutorial)[UAL.](https://github.com/schlae/mca-tutorial) https://theretroweb.com/motherboard/manual/vlbus3486- 61e8755ab7989037625802.pdf. Accessed: 14 March 2023.
- [19] American Nation[al Standards Institute. Small Computer System Interface-](https://theretroweb.com/motherboard/manual/vlbus3486-61e8755ab7989037625802.pdf)2. [https://global.ihs.com/d](https://theretroweb.com/motherboard/manual/vlbus3486-61e8755ab7989037625802.pdf)oc\_detail.cfm?document\_name=ANSI%20INCITS% 20131&item\_s\_key=00009673&item\_key\_date=911231. Accessed: 14 March 2023.
- [20] He[wlett-Packard, Intel, Microsoft, Renesas, ST-Ericsson, and Texas Instruments.](https://global.ihs.com/doc_detail.cfm?document_name=ANSI%20INCITS%20131&item_s_key=00009673&item_key_date=911231) [Universal Serial Bus 3.1 Specification.](https://global.ihs.com/doc_detail.cfm?document_name=ANSI%20INCITS%20131&item_s_key=00009673&item_key_date=911231) https://manuais.iessanclemente.net/ images/b/bc/USB\_3\_1\_r1.0.pdf. Accessed: 14 March 2023.
- [21] PCI SIG. PCI Specifications. https:/[/pcisig.com/specifications](https://manuais.iessanclemente.net/images/b/bc/USB_3_1_r1.0.pdf). Accessed: [14 March 2023.](https://manuais.iessanclemente.net/images/b/bc/USB_3_1_r1.0.pdf)
- [22] S. Pasricha and N. Dutt. *On-[Chip Communication Architectures](https://pcisig.com/specifications)*. Elsevier, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-373892-9.X0001-1.
- [23] John Bainbridge. *Asynchronous System-on-Chip Interconnect*. Springer London, 2002. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-0189-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-373892-9.X0001-1).
- [24] IBM Microelectronics. CoreConnect Bus Architecture. https://www.xilinx.com/ content/dam/xilinx/support/documents/user\_guides/crcon\_pb.pdf. Accessed: 14 March 2023.
- [25] [Intel. Introduction to the Avalon Interface Specifications.](https://www.xilinx.com/content/dam/xilinx/support/documents/user_guides/crcon_pb.pdf) https: //www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/docs/programmable/683091/20- 1/introduction-to-the-interface-specifications.html. Accesse[d: 14](https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/docs/programmable/683091/20-1/introduction-to-the-interface-specifications.html) March 2023.
- [26] [STMicroelectronics. STBus communication system concepts and definitions.](https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/docs/programmable/683091/20-1/introduction-to-the-interface-specifications.html) https: //www.st.com/resource/en/user\_manual/cd00176920-stbus-communicationsystem-concepts-and-definitions-stmicroelectronics.pdf. Access[ed: 14](https://www.st.com/resource/en/user_manual/cd00176920-stbus-communication-system-concepts-and-definitions-stmicroelectronics.pdf) March 2023.
- [27] [W.J Bainbridge and S.B Furber. Marble: an asynchronous on-chip macrocell bus](https://www.st.com/resource/en/user_manual/cd00176920-stbus-communication-system-concepts-and-definitions-stmicroelectronics.pdf). *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, 24(4):213–222, 2000. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0141-9331(00)00075-2.
- [28] ARM. AMBA AXI-Stream Protocol Specification. https:[//developer.arm.com/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-9331(00)00075-2) [documentation/ihi0051/lates](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-9331(00)00075-2)t/. Accessed: 10 April 2023.
- [29] P. Guerrier and A. Greiner. A generic architect[ure for on-chip packet-switched](https://developer.arm.com/documentation/ihi0051/latest/) [interconnections. In](https://developer.arm.com/documentation/ihi0051/latest/) *Proceedings Design, Automation and Test in Europe Conference and Exhibition 2000 (Cat. No. PR00537)*, pages 250–256, 2000. https://doi.org/ 10.1109/DATE.2000.840047.
- [30] W.J. Dally and B. Towles. Route packets, not wires: on-c[hip interconnection](https://doi.org/10.1109/DATE.2000.840047) networks. In *[Proceedings of](https://doi.org/10.1109/DATE.2000.840047) the 38th Design Automation Conference (IEEE Cat. No.01CH37232)*, pages 684–689, 2001.
- [31] L. Benini and G. De Micheli. Networks on chips: a new soc paradigm. *Computer*, 35(1):70–78, 2002. https://doi.org/10.1109/2.976921.
- [32] S. Kumar, A. Jantsch, J.-P. Soininen, M. Forsell, M. Millberg, J. Oberg, K. Tiensyrja, and A. He[mani. A network on chip architectu](https://doi.org/10.1109/2.976921)re and design methodology. In *Proceedings IEEE Computer Society Annual Symposium on VLSI. New Paradigms for VLSI Systems Design. ISVLSI 2002*, pages 117–124, 2002. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISVLSI.2002.1016885.
- [33] AMD Xilinx. AXI Adapter Interface Protocols. https://docs.xilinx.com/r/en-[US/ug1399-vitis-hls/AXI-Adapter-Interface-P](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISVLSI.2002.1016885)rotocols. Accessed: 12 March 2023.
- [34] [Jie Chen, Paul Gillard, and Cheng Li. Network-on-chip \(noc\) topologies and per](https://docs.xilinx.com/r/en-US/ug1399-vitis-hls/AXI-Adapter-Interface-Protocols)formance: A review. 2011.
- [35] Tetala Neel Kamal Reddy, Ayas Kanta Swain, Jayant Kumar Singh, and Kamala Kanta Mahapatra. Performance assessment of different Network-on-Chip topologies. In *2014 2nd International Conference on Devices, Circuits and Systems (ICDCS)*, pages 1–5, 2014. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDCSyst.2014.6926188.
- [36] Hridoy Jyoti Mahanta, Abhijit Biswas, and Md. Anwar Hussain. Networks on chip: The new trend of o[n-chip interconnection. In](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDCSyst.2014.6926188) *2014 Fourth International Conference on Communication Systems and Network Technologies*, pages 1050– 1053, 2014. https://doi.org/10.1109/CSNT.2014.214.
- [37] Alakesh Kalita, Kaushik Ray, Abhijit Biswas, and Md. Anwar Hussain. A topology for network-on-chip. In *[2016 International Conference o](https://doi.org/10.1109/CSNT.2014.214)n Information Communication and Embedded Systems (ICICES)*, pages 1–7, 2016. https://doi.org/10. 1109/ICICES.2016.7518838.
- [38] Ana Kumar, Shivam Tyagi, and C. K. Jha. Performance ana[lysis of network-on-chip](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICES.2016.7518838) topologies. *[Journal of Inform](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICES.2016.7518838)ation and Optimization Sciences*, 38(6):989–997, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2017.1372145.
- [39] Isiaka A. Alimi, Romil K. Patel, Oluyomi Aboderin, Abdelgader M. Abdalla, Ra[moni A. Gbadamosi, Nelson J. Muga, Armando N. Pin](https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2017.1372145)to, and António L. Teixeira. Network-on-chip topologies: Potentials, technical challenges, recent advances and research direction. In Isiaka A. Alimi, Oluyomi Aboderin, Nelson J. Muga, and António L. Teixeira, editors, *Network-on-Chip*, chapter 3. IntechOpen, Rijeka, 2021. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.97262.
- [40] Internet Engineering Task Force. Internet Protocol. Request for Comments RFC [791, Internet Engineering Task Force, Septemb](https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.97262)er 1981. Num Pages: 51, https: //doi.org/10.17487/RFC0791.
- [41] Internet Engineering Task Force. Transmission Control Protocol. Request f[or Com](https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC0791)[ments RFC 793, Internet Engi](https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC0791)neering Task Force, September 1981. Num Pages: 91, https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC0793.
- [42] Jonathan R. Engdahl and Dukki Chung. Device register classes for embedded systems. In *[2011 11th International Conferen](https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC0793)ce on Control, Automation and Systems*, pages 773–778, 2011.
- [43] noasic GmbH. airhdl. https://airhdl.com/#/. Accessed: 18 February 2023.
- [44] Introducing JSON. https://www.json.org/json-en.html. Accessed: 18 February 2023.
- [45] HTML Standard. [https://html.spec.whatwg.org/](https://www.json.org/json-en.html). Accessed: 18 February 2023.
- [46] World Wide Web Consortium. Extensible Markup Language. https://www.w3. org/TR/xml/. Accessed: 18 February 2023.
- [47] Wojciech M. Zabołotny. Address Generator for Wishbone. htt[ps://github.com/](https://www.w3.org/TR/xml/) [wzab/agwb](https://www.w3.org/TR/xml/). Accessed: 20 February 2023.
- [48] Wojciech M. Zabołotny. Adr\_gen Automatic Addres[s Generator.](https://github.com/wzab/agwb) https: [//github.](https://github.com/wzab/agwb)com/wzab/wzab-hdl-library/tree/master/addr\_gen. Accessed: 20 February 2023.
- [49] Dan Gisselquist. AutoFPGA. [https://github.com/ZipCPU/auto](https://github.com/wzab/wzab-hdl-library/tree/master/addr_gen)fpga. A[ccessed:](https://github.com/wzab/wzab-hdl-library/tree/master/addr_gen) 21 February 2023.
- [50] Przemyslaw Plutecki, Bartosz [Przemyslaw Bielawski, and Andrew Bu](https://github.com/ZipCPU/autofpga)tterworth. Code Generation Tools and Editor for Memory Maps. *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Accelerator and Large Experimental Physics Control Systems*, ICALEPCS2019:4 pages, 0.730 MB, 2020. Artwork Size: 4 pages, 0.730 MB ISBN: 9783954502097 Medium: PDF Publisher: JACoW Publishing, Geneva, Switzerland.
- [51] cheby. https://gitlab.cern.ch/be-cem-edl/common/cheby. Accessed: 22 February 2023.
- [52] Agustin [James Rey, J. C. Molendijk, Frederic Dubouchet, A.](https://gitlab.cern.ch/be-cem-edl/common/cheby) Pashnin, Andrew Butterworth, Michael Jaussi, and T. Levens. Cheburashka: A Tool for Consistent Memory Map Configuration Across Hardware and Software. pages 848–851, October 2013.
- [53] Evgeniy Bolnov. Corsair. https://github.com/esynr3z/corsair. Accessed: 24 February 2023.
- [54] Wojciech M. Zabołotny, M[arek Gumiński, and Michał Kruszewski. A](https://github.com/esynr3z/corsair)utomatic management of local bus address space in complex FPGA-implemented hierarchical systems. In Ryszard S. Romaniuk and Maciej Linczuk, editors, *Photonics Applications in Astronomy, Communications, Industry, and High-Energy Physics Experiments 2019*, volume 11176, page 1117642. International Society for Optics and Photonics, SPIE, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2536259.
- [55] AMD. Exporting a Block Design to a Tcl Script in the IDE. https: //docs.xil[inx.com/r/en-US/ug994-vivado-ip-sub](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2536259)systems/Exporting-a-Block-Design-to-a-Tcl-Script-in-the-IDE. Accessed: 11 January 2024.
- [56] [Lukas Vik. hdl\\_registers: An open-source HDL register generator fast enough to run](https://docs.xilinx.com/r/en-US/ug994-vivado-ip-subsystems/Exporting-a-Block-Design-to-a-Tcl-Script-in-the-IDE) in real time. [https://hdl-registers.com/in](https://docs.xilinx.com/r/en-US/ug994-vivado-ip-subsystems/Exporting-a-Block-Design-to-a-Tcl-Script-in-the-IDE)dex.html. Accessed: 17 February 2023.
- [57] Krzysztof T Pozniak. I/O communication with FPGA circuits and hardware description standard for applications in HEP and FEL electronics. https://doi.org/ 10.3204/PUBDB-2018-03052.
- [58] Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron. https://www.desy.de/, [August 2012. Ac](https://doi.org/10.3204/PUBDB-2018-03052)[cessed: 10 April 2023.](https://doi.org/10.3204/PUBDB-2018-03052)
- [59] Pawel Drabik and Krzysztof T. Poz[niak. Maintaining comp](https://www.desy.de/)lex and distributed measurement systems with component internal interface framework. In Ryszard S. Romaniuk and Krzysztof S. Kulpa, editors, *Proc. SPIE*, volume 7502, page 75022C, Wilga, Poland, June 2009. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.838155.
- [60] Agnieszka Zagoździńska, Krzysztof T. Poźniak, and Paweł K. Drabik. Selected issues of the universal comm[unication environment implementation fo](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.838155)r CII standard. page 80080N, Wilga, Poland, June 2011. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.902748.
- [61] 1685-2014 IEEE Standard for IP-XACT, Standard Structure for Packaging, Integrating, and Reusing IP within Tool Flo[ws.](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.902748)
- [62] Accellera IP-XACT Working Group. IP-XACT User Guide. https: //www.accellera.org/images/downloads/standards/ip-xact/IP-XACT\_ User\_Guide\_2018-02-16.pdf. Accessed: 24 February 2023.
- [63] Tudor Timi. rgen. [https://github.com/tudortimi/rgen](https://www.accellera.org/images/downloads/standards/ip-xact/IP-XACT_User_Guide_2018-02-16.pdf). Accessed: 24 F[ebruary](https://www.accellera.org/images/downloads/standards/ip-xact/IP-XACT_User_Guide_2018-02-16.pdf) [2023.](https://www.accellera.org/images/downloads/standards/ip-xact/IP-XACT_User_Guide_2018-02-16.pdf)
- [64] Onur Balci. IPXA[CT Register Map Generator.](https://github.com/tudortimi/rgen) https://github.com/legoritma/ ipxact-register-generator. Accessed: 23 February 2023.
- [65] A. Kamppi, L. Matilainen, J. M. Maatta, E. [Salminen, T. D. Hamalainen, and](https://github.com/legoritma/ipxact-register-generator) [M. Hannikainen. Kactus2: En](https://github.com/legoritma/ipxact-register-generator)vironment for embedded product development using ip-xact and mcapi. In *Digital System Design (DSD), 2011 14th Euromicro Conference on*, pages 262–265, August 2011. https://doi.org/10.1109/DSD.2011.36.
- [66] Antti Kamppi, Lauri Matilainen, Joni-Matti Maatta, Erno Salminen, and Timo D. Hamalainen. Extending ip-xact to e[mbedded system hw/sw integration. In](https://doi.org/10.1109/DSD.2011.36) *2013 International Symposium on System on Chip (SoC)*, pages 1–8, 2013. https:// doi.org/10.1109/ISSoC.2013.6675264.
- [67] Felix Miller. Root-of-Trust-Architekturen als Open-Source-Hardware [und deren](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISSoC.2013.6675264) [Zertifizierung: am Beispiel von OpenT](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISSoC.2013.6675264)itan. *Datenschutz und Datensicherheit*, 44(7):451–455, 2020.
- [68] Opentitan. https://docs.opentitan.org/. Accessed: 22 February 2023.
- [69] Opentitan Register Tool. https://docs.opentitan.org/doc/rm/register\_ tool/. Accessed: 22 February 2023.
- [70] bitvis. Register Wizard Abandoned. [https://github.com/UVVM/UVVM/issues/](https://docs.opentitan.org/doc/rm/register_tool/) [200](https://docs.opentitan.org/doc/rm/register_tool/). Accessed: 24 February 2023.
- [71] Espen Tallaksen. Auto-generate regis[ter related code and documentation for](https://github.com/UVVM/UVVM/issues/200) [free](https://github.com/UVVM/UVVM/issues/200). https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/auto-generate-register-relatedcode-doc-free-espen-tallaksen/. Accessed: 24 February 2023.
- [72] bitvis. [Verifying corner cases in a structured manner using VHDL Verifi](https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/auto-generate-register-related-code-doc-free-espen-tallaksen/)[cation Components.](https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/auto-generate-register-related-code-doc-free-espen-tallaksen/) http://program.fpgaworld.com/2016/More\_information/ Bitvis Verifying CornerCases Handout.pdf. Accessed: 24 February 2023.
- [73] Taichi Ishitani. RgGen. [https://github.com/rggen/rggen](http://program.fpgaworld.com/2016/More_information/Bitvis__Verifying_CornerCases_Handout.pdf). Accessed: 16 Febru[ary 2023.](http://program.fpgaworld.com/2016/More_information/Bitvis__Verifying_CornerCases_Handout.pdf)
- [74] Aliaksei Chapyzhenka. [Design u Hardware.](https://github.com/rggen/rggen) https://github.com/sifive/duh. Accessed: 16 February 2023.
- [75] Accellera Systems Initiative. SystemRDL. [https://www.accellera.org/](https://github.com/sifive/duh) downloads/standards/systemrdl. Accessed: 18 February 2023.
- [76] AGNISYS. Next Generation SystemRDL Using [IDesignSpec for register imple](https://www.accellera.org/downloads/standards/systemrdl)[mentation. Accessed: 16 February](https://www.accellera.org/downloads/standards/systemrdl) 2023.
- [77] eVision Systems. IDesignSpec. https://evision-systems.com/linecard/ agnisys/idesignspec/. Accessed: 16 February 2023.
- [78] Semifore. CSRCompiler. http://s[emifore.com/csrcompiler/](https://evision-systems.com/linecard/agnisys/idesignspec/). Accessed: 16 [February 2023.](https://evision-systems.com/linecard/agnisys/idesignspec/)
- [79] Juniper. open-register-design-tool. [https://github.com/](http://semifore.com/csrcompiler/)Juniper/openregister-design-tool. Accessed: 16 February 2023.
- [80] SystemRDL open source community. Fre[e and open-source SystemRDL tools.](https://github.com/Juniper/open-register-design-tool) [https://github.com/Sy](https://github.com/Juniper/open-register-design-tool)stemRDL. Accessed: 16 February 2023.
- [81] Michael Buechler. desyrdl. https://gitlab.desy.de/fpgafw/tools/desyrdl. [Accessed: 16 February 2023.](https://github.com/SystemRDL)
- [82] Jeroen van Straten. vhdMMIO. [https://github.com/abs-tudelft/vhdmmio](https://gitlab.desy.de/fpgafw/tools/desyrdl). Accessed: 23 March 2023.
- [83] Tomasz Włostowski. Wishbone slave generator. [https://ohwr.org/proje](https://github.com/abs-tudelft/vhdmmio)ct/ wishbone-gen. Accessed: 16 February 2023.
- [84] K. Poulos, K. Adaos, and G.P. Alexiou. Automated Generation of the Register Set of a SOC and its Verification Environment. In *Proceedings of the 18th Panhellenic Conference on Informatics*, PCI '14, page 1–2, New York, NY, USA, 2014. Association for Computing Machinery. https://doi.org/10.1145/2645791.2645851.
- [85] V. K. Vytla and L. Doolittle. Newad: A register map automation tool for Verilog. https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.09657/[. Accessed: 20 January 2024.](https://doi.org/10.1145/2645791.2645851)
- [86] Oren Ben-Kiki, Clark Evans, and Ingy dot Net. YAML Specification Index. https: //yaml.org/spec/[. Accessed: 18 Febru](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.09657/)ary 2023.
- [87] AMD Xilinx. Super Logic Region. https://docs.xilinx.com/r/en-US/[ug912](https://yaml.org/spec/) [vivado-propertie](https://yaml.org/spec/)s/SLR. Accessed: 12 March 2023.
- [88] IEEE Standard Glossary of Softwar[e Engineering Terminology.](https://docs.xilinx.com/r/en-US/ug912-vivado-properties/SLR) *IEEE Std 610.12- 1990*[, pages 1–84, 1990.](https://docs.xilinx.com/r/en-US/ug912-vivado-properties/SLR) https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEESTD.1990.101064.
- [89] B. Bloom. Constructing two-writer atomic registers. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 37(12):1506–1514, 1988. [https://doi.org/10.1109/12.9729](https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEESTD.1990.101064).
- [90] Michał Kruszewski. go-fbdl. https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/go-fbdl[. Accessed: 14 April 2023.](https://doi.org/10.1109/12.9729)
- [91] Michał Kruszewski. go-vfbdb. [https://github.com/Functional-Bus-](https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/go-fbdl)[Description-Language/go-vfbd](https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/go-fbdl)b. Accessed: 14 April 2023.
- [92] Max Brunsfeld, Andrew Hlynskyi, Patrick [Thomson, Josh Vera, Phil Turnbull, Tim](https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/go-vfbdb)[othy Clem, Douglas Creager, Andr](https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/go-vfbdb)ew Helwer, Rob Rix, Hendrik van Antwerpen, Michael Davis, Ika, Tuấn-Anh Nguyễn, Stafford Brunk, Niranjan Hasabnis, bfredl, Mingkai Dong, Matt Massicotte, Jonathan Arnett, Vladimir Panteleev, Steven Kalt, Kolja Lampe, Alex Pinkus, Mark Schmitz, Matthew Krupcale, narpfel, Santos Gallegos, Vicent Martí, and Edgar. tree-sitter/tree-sitter: v0.20.8, April 2023. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7798573.
- [93] Michał Kruszewski. tree-sitter-fbdl. https://github.com/Functional-Bus-[Description-Language/tree-sitter-fbdl](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7798573). Accessed: 13 April 2023.
- [94] Masaru Tomita, editor. *Generalized LR Parsing*[. Springer US, Boston, MA, 1991.](https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/tree-sitter-fbdl) [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-40](https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/tree-sitter-fbdl)34-2.
- [95] Vard Antinyan. Hypnotized by Lines of Code. *Computer*, 54(1):42–48, 2021. https: [//doi.org/10.1109/MC.2019.2943844](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-4034-2).
- [96] Michał Kruszewski. Register-centric vs functionality-centric example. h[ttps://](https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2019.2943844) [github.com/Functional-Bus-Descrip](https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2019.2943844)tion-Language/Example. 2024-01-24.
- [97] Michał Kruszewski . How shifting focus from register to data functionality can enhance register and bus management. *Electronics*, 13(4), 2024. https://doi. org/10.3390/electronics13040719.
- [98] Danijel Radjenović, Marjan Hericko, Richard Torkar, and Aleš Živ[kovič. Software](https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13040719) [fault prediction metrics: A systematic](https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13040719) literature review. *Information and Software Technology*, 55:1397–1418, August 2013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof. 2013.02.009.
- [99] Raymond P.L. Buse and Westley R. Wei[mer. Learning a Metric for Code Read](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2013.02.009)[ability.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2013.02.009) *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 36(4):546–558, 2010. https: //doi.org/10.1109/TSE.2009.70.
- [100] Daryl Posnett, Abram Hindle, and Premkumar Devanbu. A simpler model [of soft](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSE.2009.70)[ware readability. In](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSE.2009.70) *Proceedings of the 8th Working Conference on Mining Software Repositories*, MSR '11, page 73–82, New York, NY, USA, 2011. Association for Computing Machinery. https://doi.org/10.1145/1985441.1985454.
- [101] Aisha Batool, Muhammad Bilal Bashir, Muhammad Babar, Adnan Sohail, and Naveed Ejaz. Effect or [Program Constructs on Code Readability and](https://doi.org/10.1145/1985441.1985454) Predicting Code Readability Using Statistical Modeling. *Foundations of Computing and Decision Sciences*, 46(2):127–145, June 2021. https://doi.org/10.2478/fcds-2021- 0009.
- [102] Simone Scalabrino, Mario Linares-Vásque[z, Rocco Oliveto, and Denys Poshyvanyk.](https://doi.org/10.2478/fcds-2021-0009) [A com](https://doi.org/10.2478/fcds-2021-0009)prehensive model for code readability. *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, 30(6):e1958, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1002/smr.1958.
- [103] Qing Mi, Jacky Keung, Yan Xiao, Solomon Mensah, and Yujin Gao. Improving code readability classificati[on using convolutional neural network](https://doi.org/10.1002/smr.1958)s. *Information and Software Technology*, 104:60–71, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof. 2018.07.006.
- [104] Qing Mi. Rank Learning-Based Code Rea[dability Assessment with Siamese Neural](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2018.07.006) [Networks. In](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2018.07.006) *Proceedings of the 37th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering*, ASE '22, New York, NY, USA, 2023. Association for Computing Machinery. https://doi.org/10.1145/3551349.3560440.
- [105] K. K. Aggarwal, Yogendra Pratap Singh, and Jitender Kumar Chhabra. An integrated measure of software maintainability. *[Annual Reliability and Mainta](https://doi.org/10.1145/3551349.3560440)inability Symposium. 2002 Proceedings (Cat. No.02CH37318)*, pages 235–241, 2002.
- <span id="page-131-0"></span>[106] Digilent. Cmod S7 Breadboardable Spartan-7 FPGA Module. https://digilent. com/reference/programmable-logic/cmod-s7/start. Accessed: 20 January

2024.

- <span id="page-132-0"></span>[107] Adafruit. FT232H - USB converter for UART, SPI, I2C, GPIO - Adafruit 2264. https://botland.store/uart-rs232-rs485-converters/3003-ft232husb-converter-for-uart-spi-i2c-gpio--5904422354008.html. Accessed: 20 January 2024.
- <span id="page-132-1"></span>[108] Adafruit. Blinka. [https://github.com/adafruit/Adafruit\\_Blinka](https://botland.store/uart-rs232-rs485-converters/3003-ft232h-usb-converter-for-uart-spi-i2c-gpio--5904422354008.html). Accessed: 20 January 2024.

# **List of Figures**





# **List of Tables**



**Appendices**

### **A Supervisor registerification results**

Functionality addresses are relative addresses. Absolute addresses are obtained by adding block start address.

```
1 {
 2 "Name": "Main",
 3 "Doc": "",
4 "IsArray": false,
     5 "Count": 1,
 6 "Masters": 1,
 7 "Reset": "",
 8 "Width": 32,
 9 "Sizes": { "BlockAligned": 32, "Compact": 10, "Own": 1 },
10 "AddrSpace": { "Start": 0, "End": 31 },
11 "BoolConsts": null,
12 "BoolListConsts": null,
13 "FloatConsts": null,
     14 "IntConsts": null,
15 "IntListConsts": null,
16 "StrConsts": null,
     17 "Blackboxes": null,
18 "Configs": null,
19 "Irqs": null,
20 "Masks": null,
21 "Memories": null,
     22 "Procs": null,
23 "Statics": [
24 {
25 "Name": "ID",
26 "Doc": "Bus identifier.",
27 "IsArray": false,
28 "Count": 1,
29 "Groups": null,
30 "InitValue": "x\"39a90380\"",
31 "ReadValue": "",
32 "ResetValue": "",
33 "Width": 32,
34 "Access": { "Strategy": "Single", "Addr": 0, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 31 }
\begin{matrix} 35 & 36 \\ 36 & 1 \end{matrix}\frac{36}{37} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}37 "Statuses": null,
38 "Streams": null,
39 "Subblocks": [
40 {<br>4141 "Name": "Supervisor",
42 "Doc": "",
43 "IsArray": false,
44 "Count": 1,
45 "Masters": 1,
46 "Reset": "",
        47 "Width": 32,
48 "Sizes": { "BlockAligned": 16, "Compact": 9, "Own": 9 },
49 "AddrSpace": { "Start": 16, "End": 31 },
50 "BoolConsts": null,
51 "BoolListConsts": null,
52 "FloatConsts": null,
53 "IntConsts": { "WORKER_COUNT": 24 },
54 "IntListConsts": null,
55 "StrConsts": null,
56 "Blackboxes": null,
57 "Configs": null,
58 "Irqs": null,
59 "Masks": [
60 {
61 "Name": "Workers_Mask",
62 "Doc": "",
63 "IsArray": false,
64 "Count": 1,
65 "Atomic": true,
66 "Groups": null,
67 "InitValue": "",
```

```
68 "ReadValue": "",
69 "ResetValue": "",
70 "Width": 24,
             71 "Access": { "Strategy": "Single", "Addr": 5, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 23 }
\frac{72}{73}\overline{J},
74 "Memories": null,
75 "Procs": [
\begin{array}{ccc} 76 & \phantom{00} & \phantom{0} & \phantom{077 "Name": "Reset_Counter",
 78 "Doc": "",
 79 "IsArray": false,
80 "Count": 1,<br>81 "Delay": nu
               81 "Delay": null,
82 "Params": null,
 83 "Returns": null,
 84 "CallAddr": 0,
85 "ExitAddr": null<br>86 h.
 86   },
 87 {
 88 "Name": "Program",
 89 "Doc": "",
 90 "IsArray": false,
 91 "Count": 1,
 92 "Delay": null,
93 "Params": [
 94 {
 95 "Name": "counter_value",
 96 "Doc": "",
 97 "IsArray": false,
 98 "Count": 1,
99 "Groups": null,
100 "Range": null,
101 "Width": 48,
102 "Access": {
103 "Strategy": "Continuous",
                   104 "RegCount": 2, "StartAddr": 1, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 15
\begin{array}{ccc} 105 & & & \ 106 & & & \end{array}\begin{array}{ccc} 106 & & & \\ 107 & & & \\ \end{array}\frac{107}{108}108 "Name": "worker_data",
109 "Doc": "",
110 "IsArray": true,
111 "Count": 2,<br>112 "Groups": 1
                   112 "Groups": null,
113 "Range": null,
114 "Width": 12,
115 "Access": {
116 "Strategy": "Continuous",
117 "RegCount": 2, "ItemCount": 2, "ItemWidth": 12, "StartAddr": 2, "StartBit": 16
118 }
119 }
\frac{110}{120} ],
120<br>
121 "Returns": null,<br>
122 "CallAddr": 3.
122 "CallAddr": 3,
123 "ExitAddr": null
\begin{array}{ccc} 124 & & & \frac{1}{25} \\ 125 & & & \frac{1}{25} \end{array}\frac{125}{126}126 "Name": "Unprogram",
127 "Doc": "",
128 "IsArray": false,
129 "Count": 1,<br>130 "Delay": nu
130 "Delay": null,
               131 "Params": null,
132 "Returns": null,
133 "CallAddr": 4,
134 "ExitAddr": null<br>135 }
\begin{array}{ccc} 135 & & \\ 136 & & \end{array} ],
\frac{136}{137}137 "Statics": null,
138 "Statuses": [
139 {
140 "Name": "Counter",
141 "Doc": "",
142 "IsArray": false,
143 "Count": 1,
144 "Atomic": true,
145 "Groups": null,
146 "ReadValue": "",
147 "Width": 48,
148 "Access": {
```

```
149 "Strategy": "Continuous", "RegCount": 2, "StartAddr": 6, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 15
\frac{150}{151}\},
\begin{array}{c} 152 \\ 153 \end{array}153 "Name": "Workers_Ready",
154 "Doc": "",
155 "IsArray": false,
156 "Count": 1,
157 "Atomic": true,
158 "Groups": null,
159 "ReadValue": ""<br>160 "Width": 24,
160 "Width": 24,<br>161 "Access": {
            161 "Access": { "Strategy": "Single", "Addr": 8, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 23 }
162\begin{array}{c} 163 \\ 164 \end{array}164 "Name": "programmed",
165 "Doc": "",
166 "IsArray": false,
167 "Count": 1,
168 "Atomic": true,
169 "Groups": [ "status" ],
170 "ReadValue": "",
171 "Width": 1,
172 "Access": { "Strategy": "Single", "Addr": 8, "StartBit": 24, "EndBit": 24 }
173 \qquad \qquad \}174 {
175 "Name": "programmed_in_past",
176 "Doc": "",
177 "IsArray": false,
178 "Count": 1,
179 "Atomic": true,
180 "Groups": [ "status" ],
181 "ReadValue": "",
182 "Width": 1,
            183 "Access": { "Strategy": "Single", "Addr": 8, "StartBit": 25, "EndBit": 25 }
\begin{array}{c} 184 \\ 185 \end{array} ],
\frac{185}{186}186 "Streams": null,
187 "Subblocks": null<br>188 }
188 }
189 ]
190 }
```
# **B Example design registerification results**

Functionality addresses are relative addresses. Absolute addresses are obtained by adding block start address.

```
1 {
 2 "Name": "Main",
 3 "Doc": "",
 4 "IsArray": false,
5 "Count": 1,
6 "Masters": 1,
     7 "Reset": "",
8 "Width": 32,
 9 "Sizes": { "BlockAligned": 32, "Compact": 19, "Own": 14 },
10 "AddrSpace": { "Start": 0, "End": 31 },
11 "Consts": {
12 "Bools": null, "BoolLists": null, "Floats": null, "Ints": null, "IntLists": null, "Strings": null
     13 },
14 "Configs": [ {
15 "Name": "C1",
16 "Doc": "",
17 "IsArray": false,
18 "Count": 1,
18 COMIC : 7<br>19 "Atomic": true,<br>
"Litteration", "We have the set
20 "InitValue": "
21 "Groups": null,
22 "Range": null,
23 "ReadValue": "",
24 "ResetValue": "",
25 "Width": 7,
26 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 6, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 6 }
27 }, {
28 "Name": "C2",
29 "Doc": "",
30 "IsArray": false,
31 "Count": 1,
32 "Atomic": true,
33 "InitValue": "",
34 "Groups": null,
        35 "Range": null,
36 "ReadValue": "",
37 "ResetValue": "",
38 "Width": 9,
39 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 5, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 8 }
     40 }, {
41 "Name": "C3",
42 "Doc": "",
43 "IsArray": false,
44 "Count": 1,
45 "Atomic": true,
46 "InitValue": "",
47 "Groups": null,
48 "Range": null,
49 "ReadValue": "",
50 "ResetValue": "",
51 "Width": 12,
52 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 4, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 11 }
53 }, {
54 "Name": "CA",
55 "Doc": "",
56 "IsArray": true,
57 "Count": 10,
58 "Atomic": true,
59 "InitValue": "",
60 "Groups": null,
61 "Range": null,
62 "ReadValue": "",
63 "ResetValue": "",
```

```
64 "Width": 8,
 65 "Access": {
 66 "Type": "ArrayNInRegMInEndReg", "RegCount": 3, "ItemCount": 10, "ItemWidth": 8,
67 "ItemsInReg": 4, "ItemsInEndReg": 2, "StartAddr": 1, "StartBit": 0
\begin{matrix} 68 \\ 69 \end{matrix} \qquad \qquad \begin{matrix} \end{matrix}69 }
\frac{70}{71} \frac{1}{71},
71 "Irqs": null,
72 "Masks": [ {
 73 "Name": "Mask",
 74 "Doc": "",
 75 "IsArray": false,
76 "Count": 1,
          77 "Atomic": true,
78 "Groups": null,
 79 "InitValue": "",
 80 "ReadValue": "",
81 "ResetValue": "",
 82 "Width": 16,
 83 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 7, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 15 }
 84 }
 85 ],
 86 "Memories": null,
 87 "Procs": null,
88 "Statics": [ {
 89 "Name": "Version",
 90 "Doc": "",
 91 "IsArray": false,
 92 "Count": 1,
 93 "Groups": null,
 94 "InitValue": "x\"010102\"",
 95 "ReadValue": "",
 96 "ResetValue": "",
97 "Width": 24,<br>98 "Access": {
98 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 8, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 23 }
\begin{array}{cc} 99 & & \text{ } \\ 100 & & \text{ } \end{array} , {
100 "Name": "ID",
101 "Doc": "Bus identifier.",
102 "IsArray": false,
103 "Count": 1,<br>104 "Groups": n
104 "Groups": null,
105 "InitValue": "x\"cacd0d6f\"",<br>106 "ReadValue": "",
106 "ReadValue": "",
107 "ResetValue": "",<br>108 "Width": 32,
          108 "Width": 32,
109 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 0, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 31 }
110 }
\frac{111}{111} ],
112 "Statuses": [ {
113 "Name": "S1",
114 "Doc": "",
115 "IsArray": false,
116 "Count": 1,
110 COLLECT 110<br>117 "Atomic": true,<br>118 "Groups": null.
          118 "Groups": null,
119 "ReadValue": "
120 "Width": 7,
121 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 8, "StartBit": 24, "EndBit": 30 }
122 }, {<br>123 "Na123 "Name": "S2",
124 "Doc": "",
125 "IsArray": false,
126 "Count": 1,<br>127 "Atomic": t
           127 "Atomic": true,
128 "Groups": null,
129 "ReadValue": ""<br>130 "Width": 9,
130 "Width": 9,
131 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 5, "StartBit": 9, "EndBit": 17 }
132 }, {
133 "Name": "S3",
134 "Doc": "",
135 "IsArray": false,
136 "Count": 1,
137 "Atomic": true,
138 "Groups": null,
139 "ReadValue": "",
140 "Width": 12,
141 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 4, "StartBit": 12, "EndBit": 23 }
142 }, {
143 "Name": "SA",
144 "Doc": "",
```

```
145 "IsArray": true,
146 "Count": 10,
147 "Atomic": true,
148 "Groups": null,
149 "ReadValue": ""<br>150 "Width": 8,
150 "Width": 8,<br>151 "Access": {
151 "Access": {
152 "Type": "ArrayNInRegMInEndReg", "RegCount": 3, "ItemCount": 10, "ItemWidth": 8,
153 "ItemsInReg": 4, "ItemsInEndReg": 2, "StartAddr": 9, "StartBit": 0
\begin{array}{ccc} 154 & & & \end{array}<br>155 },
155 }, {
156 "Name": "Counter",
157 "Doc": "",
158 "IsArray": false,
159 "Count": 1,
160 "Atomic": true,
161 "Groups": null,
162 "ReadValue": "",
163 "Width": 33,
164 "Access": { "Type": "SingleNRegs", "RegCount": 2, "StartAddr": 12, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 0 }
\frac{165}{166} 1.
166<br>167
      167 "Streams": null,
168 "Subblocks": [ {
169 "Name": "Subblock",
170 "Doc": "",
171 "IsArray": false,
172 "Count": 1,
173 "Masters": 1,
174 "Reset": "",
175 "Width": 32,
176 "Sizes": { "BlockAligned": 8, "Compact": 5, "Own": 5 },
177 "AddrSpace": { "Start": 24, "End": 31 },
178 "Consts": {<br>179 "Bools": 1
          179 "Bools": null, "BoolLists": null, "Floats": null, "Ints": null, "IntLists": null, "Strings": null
\begin{array}{c} 180 \\ 181 \end{array}181 "Configs": null,
182 "Irqs": null,
183 "Masks": null,
184 "Memories": null,
185 "Procs": [ {
             186 "Name": "Add",
187 "Doc": "",<br>188 "IsArray":
188 "IsArray": false,<br>189 "Count": 1,
             189 "Count": 1,
190 "Delay": null,<br>
191 "Params": [f
191 "Params": [ {
192 "Name": "A",
193 "Doc": "",
194 "IsArray": false,
195 "Count": 1,
196 "Groups": null,
197 "Range": null,
198 "Width": 20,
199 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 0, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 19 }
200 }, {
201 "Name": "B",
202 "Doc": "",
203 "IsArray": false,
204 "Count": 1,
205 "Groups": null,
206 "Range": null,
207 "Width": 10,
208 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 0, "StartBit": 20, "EndBit": 29 }
209 }, {
210 "Name": "C",
211 "Doc": "",
212 "IsArray": false,
213 "Count": 1,
                 214 "Groups": null,
215 "Range": null,
                 216 "Width": 8,
217 "Access": {
218 "Type": "SingleNRegs", "RegCount": 2, "StartAddr": 0,
219 "StartBit": 30, "EndBit": 5
\begin{array}{ccc}\n 220 & & & \textcolor{red}{\textbf{3}} \\
 221 & & & \textcolor{red}{\textbf{3}}\n \end{array}\frac{221}{222}  ]
222
223 "Returns": [ {
224 "Name": "Sum",
225 "Doc": "",
```

```
226 "IsArray": false,
227 "Count": 1,
228 "Groups": null,
229 "Width": 21,
230 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 1, "StartBit": 6, "EndBit": 26 }
\begin{array}{ccc}\n 231 & & & \cr \n 232 & & & \cr \end{array}\frac{232}{233}233 "CallAddr": 1,
         234 "ExitAddr": 1
\frac{235}{236}\frac{236}{237} \frac{1}{8}237 "Statics": null,
238 "Statuses": null,
        239 "Streams": [ {
240 "Name": "Add_Stream",
241 "Doc": "",
242 "IsArray": false,
243 "Count": 1,
244 "Delay": null,
245 "Params": [ {
246 "Name": "A",
247 "Doc": "",
248 "IsArray": false,
249 "Count": 1,
250 "Groups": null,
251 "Range": null,
252 "Width": 20,
253 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 2, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 19 }
254 }, {
255 "Name": "B",
256 "Doc": "",
257 "IsArray": false,
258 "Count": 1,
259 "Groups": null,
260 "Range": null,
261 "Width": 10,
262 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 2, "StartBit": 20, "EndBit": 29 }
263 }, {
264 "Name": "C",
265 "Doc": "",
266 "IsArray": false,
267 "Count": 1,
268 "Groups": null,
269 "Range": null,
270 "Width": 8,
271 "Access": {
272 "Type": "SingleNRegs", "RegCount": 2, "StartAddr": 2, "StartBit": 30, "EndBit": 5
\begin{array}{ccc} 273 & & & \ & 274 & & \ \end{array}\frac{274}{275}1,276 "Returns": null,
277 "StbAddr": 3
278 },
\frac{279}{280} {
280 "Name": "Sum_Stream",
281 "Doc": "",
282 "IsArray": false,
283 "Count": 1,
284 "Delay": null,
285 "Params": null,
286 "Returns": [ {
287 "Name": "Sum",
288 "Doc": "",
289 "IsArray": false,
290 "Count": 1,
291 "Groups": null,
292 "Width": 21,
293 "Access": { "Type": "SingleOneReg", "Addr": 4, "StartBit": 0, "EndBit": 20 }
\frac{294}{295} 1
\frac{295}{296}<sup>"StbAddr": 4</sub></sup>
297 }
\frac{298}{299} \frac{1}{8}299 "Subblocks": null
300 }
301 ]
302 }
```
## **C Example design register map**



## **D Python code automatically generated for the example design**

*# This file has been automatically generated by the vfbdb tool.*

```
2 # Do not edit it manually, unless you really know what you do.
3 # https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/go-vfbdb
4
5 import math
6 import time
7
8 BUS WIDTH = 32
\alpha10
11 def calc_mask(m):
12 """
13 calc_mask calculates mask based on tuple (End Bit, Start Bit).
14 The returned mask is shifted to the right.
15 """
16 return ((1 \leq (m[0] + 1)) - 1) (1 \leq m[1]) - 1) > m[1]17
18
19 class _BufferIface:
20 """
21 _BufferIface is the internal interface used for reading/writing internal buffer
22 (after reading)/(before writing) the target buffer. It is very useful
23 as it allows treating proc or stream params/returns as configs/statuses.
24 \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{24}25
26 def set_buf(self, buf):
27 self.buf = buf
28
29 def write(self, addr, data):
30 self.buf[addr] = data
31
32 def read(self, addr):
33 return self.buf[addr]
34
35
36 def check_arg_values(params, *args):
37 """
38 check_arg_values checks that all arguments are in valid range and raises
39 an exception if any argument is out of range.
40 """
41 for arg_idx, arg in enumerate(args):
42 param = params[arg_idx]
43
44 type = param['Access']['Type']
45
46 if type.startswith("Single"):
47 assert 0 \leq arg \leq 2^* * param['Width'], "{}' value overrange ({}) ".format
48 param['Name'], arg
49 )
50 elif type.startswith("Array"):
51 assert (
52 len(arg) == param['Access']['ItemCount']
53 ), "invalid number of items ({}) for {} param, expecting {} items".format(
54 len(arg), param['Name'], param['ItemCount']
55 )
56
```

```
57 for val_idx, v in enumerate(arg):
58 assert (
59 0 \leq v \leq 2 ** param ['Width']
60 \qquad \qquad ), "{}[{}] value overrange (\{\})".format(param['Name'], val_idx, v)
61 else:
62 raise Exception("invalid param access type {}".format(type))
63
64
65 def pack_params(params, *args):
66 check arg values (params, *args)
67
68 buf = \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}69 addr = None # Current argument address
70 data = 0
71
72 for arg_idx, arg in enumerate(args):
73 param = params[arg_idx]
74 a = param\left[ 'A \right]ccess<sup>\overline{ }</sup>
75
76 if addr is None:
77 addr = a['StartAddr']
78 elif a['StartAddr'] > addr:
79 buf.append(data)
80 data = 0
81 addr = a['StartAddr']
82
83 if a['Type'] == 'SingleOneReg':
84 data |= arg << a['StartBit']
85 elif a['Type'] == 'SingleNRegs':
86 for r in range(a['RegCount']):
87 if r = 0:
88 data = (arg & calc_mask((BUS_WIDTH - 1, a['StartBit']))) << a[
89 'StartBit'
90 ]
91 buf.append(data)
_{92} arg = arg >> (BUS_WIDTH - a['StartBit'])
93 else:
94 addr += 195 data = \arg k \cdot \text{calc\_mask}((\text{BUS\_WIDTH}, 0))96 arg = \arg >> BUS_WIDTH
97 if r < a['RegCount'] - 1:
98 buf.append(data)
99 data = 0100 elif a['Type'] == 'ArrayNRegs':101 start_bit = a['StartBit']
102 for i, v in enumerate(arg):
103 width = param['Width']
104 # Number of registers ith argument from vector occupies.
reg\_count = (\frac{106}{107} int(math.ceil((width - (BUS_WIDTH - start_bit)) / BUS_WIDTH)) + 1
107 )
108 for in range(reg_count):
109 reg width = width
110 if reg_width > BUS_WIDTH - start_bit:
r_{\rm eg\_width} = \text{BUS\_WIDTH - start\_bit}112 data \vert = (v \& ((1 \leq \text{reg\_width}) - 1)) \leq \text{start\_bit}113 v \gg = \text{reg\_width}114 start_bit = start_bit + reg_width
115 if start_bit >= BUS_WIDTH:
116 buf.append(data)
117 data = 0
118 start bit \frac{9}{6} BUS WIDTH
119 width - reg width
120 else:
121 raise Exception("unhandled access type \{\}".format(a['Type']))
122
123 buf.append(data)
124
```

```
125 return buf
126
127
128 def create_mock_returns(buf_iface, start_addr, returns):
129 """
130 Create_mock_returns creates mock returns that can be used with internal software buffer.
131 It is useful to be used with proc with returns and with upstram.
\frac{1}{132} \frac{1}{1111}133 buf_size = 0
134 rets = \lceil \rceil135 for ret in returns:
136 a = ret['Access']137 buf_size += a['RegCount']138 r = {}
r['Name'] = ret['Name']140
141 if a['Type'] == 'SingleOneReg':
142 r['Status'] = StatusSingleOneReg(
143 buf_iface, a['StartAddr'] - start_addr, a['StartBit'], a['EndBit']
144 )
145 elif a['Type'] == 'SingleNRegs':
146 r['Status'] = StatusSingIeNRegs(147 buf iface,
148 a['StartAddr'] - start\_addr,149 a ['RegCount'],
150 (BUS WIDTH - 1, a['StartBit']),
\begin{array}{cc} \text{151} \\ \text{152} \end{array} (a['EndBit'], 0),
152 )
153 else:
154 raise Exception("unimplemented")
155
156 rets.append(r)
157
158 return buf_size, rets
159
160
161 class EmptyProc:
162 def _init_(self, iface, call_addr, delay, exit_addr):
163 self. iface = iface
164 self.call_addr = call_addr
165 self.delay = delay
166 self.exit_addr = exit_addr
167
168 def __call__(self):
169 self.iface.write(self.call_addr, 0)
170 if self.delay is not None:
171 if self.delay != 0:
172 time.sleep(self.delay)
173 self.iface.read(self.exit_addr)
174
175
176 class ParamsProc:
177 def init (self, iface, params start addr, params, delay, exit addr):
178 self.iface = iface
179 self.params start addr = params start addr
180 self.params = params
181 self.delay = delay
182 self.exit_addr = exit_addr
183
184 def __call__(self, *args):
185 assert len(args) == len(
186 self.params
187 ), "{}() takes {} arguments but {} were given".format(
188 self.<sub>__name__</sub>, len(self.params), len(args)
189 )
190
191 buf = pack_params(self.params, *args)
```

```
193 if len(buf) == 1:
194 self.iface.write(self.params_start_addr, buf[0])
195 else:
196 self.iface.writeb(self.params_start_addr, buf)
197
198 if self.delay is not None:
199 if self.delay != 0:
200 time.sleep(self.delay)
201 self.iface.read(self.exit_addr)
202
203
204 class ReturnsProc:
205 def __init__(self, iface, returns_start_addr, returns, delay, call_addr):
206 self.iface = iface
207 self.returns_start_addr = returns_start_addr
208 self.delay = delay
209 self.call_addr = call_addr
210
211 self.buf iface = BufferIface()
212 self.buf_size, self.returns = create_mock_returns(
213 self.buf_iface, returns_start_addr, returns
214 )
215
216 def __call__(self):
217 if self.delay is not None:
218 self.iface.write(self.call addr, 0)
219 if self.delay != 0:
220 time.sleep(self.delay)
221
222 if self.buf_size == 1:
223 buf = [self.iface.read(self.returns_start_addr)]
224 else:
225 buf = self.iface.readb(self.returns_start_addr, self.buf_size)
226
227 self.buf iface.set buf(buf)
228 tup = [] # List to allow append but must be cast to tuple.
229
230 for ret in self.returns:
231 tup.append(ret['Status'].read())
232
233 return tuple(tup)
234
235
236 class ParamsAndReturnsProc:
237 def __init__(
238 self, iface, params_start_addr, params, returns_start_addr, returns, delay
239 ):
240 self.iface = iface
241
242 self.params_start_addr = params_start_addr
243 self.params = params
244
245 self.returns_start_addr = returns_start_addr
246 self.returns_buf_iface = _BufferIface()
247 self.returns_buf_size, self.returns = create mock returns(
248 self.returns_buf_iface, returns_start_addr, returns
249 )
250
251 self.delay = delay
252
253 def __call__(self, *args):
254 assert len(args) == len(
255 self.params
256 ), "{}() takes {} arguments but {} were given".format(
257 self.__name__, len(self.params), len(args)
258 )
259
260 params_buf = pack_params(self.params, *args)
```

```
261 if len(params buf) == 1:
262 self.iface.write(self.params_start_addr, params_buf[0])
263 else:
264 self.iface.writeb(self.params_start_addr, params_buf)
265
266 if self.delay is not None:
267 if self.delay != 0:
268 time.sleep(self.delay)
269
270 if self.returns_buf_size == 1:
271 returns buf = [self.iface.read(self.returns start addr)]
272 else:
273 returns_buf = self.iface.readb(
274 self.returns_start_addr, self.returns_buf_size 275275 )
276 self.returns_buf_iface.set_buf(returns_buf)
277 tup = [] # List to allow append but must be cast to tuple.
278 for ret in self.returns:
279 tup.append(ret['Status'].read())
280
281 return tuple(tup)
282
283
284 class Static:
285 def __init__(self, value):
286 self. value = value
287
288 @property
289 def value(self):
290 return self._value
291
292 @value.setter
293 def value(self, v):
294 raise Exception(f"cannot set value of static element")
295
296
297 class StatusSingleOneReg:
298 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, end_bit):
299 self.iface = iface
300
301 self.addr = addr
302 self.start_bit = start_bit
303
304 self.mask = calc_mask((end_bit, start_bit))
305 self.width = end_bit - start_bit + 1
306
307 def read(self):
308 return (self.iface.read(self.addr) >> self.start_bit) & self.mask
309
310
311 class StaticSingleOneReg(Static, StatusSingleOneReg):
312 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, end_bit, value):
313 Static.__init__(self, value)
314 StatusSingleOneReg.__init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, end_bit)
315
316
317 class ConfigSingleOneReg(StatusSingleOneReg):
318 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, end_bit):
319 super().__init__(iface, addr, start_bit, end_bit)
320
321 def write(self, data):
322 assert 0 \leq data \leq 2 \cdot \cdot \cdot self.width, "value overrange (\{\})".format(data)
323 self.iface.write(self.addr, data << self.start bit)
324
325
326 class MaskSingleOneReg(StatusSingleOneReg):
327 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, end_bit):
328 super().__init__(iface, addr, start_bit, end_bit)
```

```
330 def _bits_to_iterable(self, bits):
331 if bits == None:
332 return range(self.width)
333 elif type(bits) == int:
334 return (bits,)
335 return bits
336
337 def _assert_bits_in_range(self, bits):
338 for b in bits:
339 assert 0 <= b < self.width, "mask overrange"
340
341 def _assert_bits_to_update(self, bits):
342 if bits == None:
343 raise Exception("bits to update cannot have None value")
344 if type(bits).__name__ in ["list", "tuple", "range", "set"] and len(bits) == 0:
345 raise Exception("empty " + type(bits) + " of bits to update")
346
347 def set(self, bits=None):
348 bits = self. bits to iterable(bits)
349 self._assert_bits_in_range(bits)
350
351 mask = 0
352 for b in bits:
353 mask | = 1 \lt \lt b354
355 self.iface.write(self.addr, mask << self.start_bit)
356
357 def clear(self, bits=None):
358 bits = self._bits_to_iterable(bits)
359 self._assert_bits_in_range(bits)
360
361 mask = self.mask
362 for b in bits:
363 mask \hat{=} 1 \leq b364
365 self.iface.write(self.addr, mask \lt\lt self.start bit)
366
367 def toggle(self, bits=None):
368 bits = self._bits_to_iterable(bits)
369 self._assert_bits_in_range(bits)
370
371 xor_mask = 0
372 for b in bits:
373 xor_mask |= 1 \lt < b374 xor_mask <<= self.start_bit
375
376 mask = self.iface.read(self.addr) \hat{ } xor_mask
377 self.iface.write(self.addr, mask)
378
379 def update_set(self, bits):
380 self._assert_bits_to_update(bits)
381
382 bits = self._\_bits\_to\_iterable(bits)383 self. assert bits in range(bits)
384
385 mask = 0
386 for b in bits:
387 mask |= 1 \lt b388
389 mask = self.iface.read(self.addr) | (mask << self.start_bit)
390 self.iface.write(self.addr, mask)
391
392 def update_clear(self, bits):
393 self._assert_bits_to_update(bits)
394
395 bits = self._bits_to_iterable(bits)
396 self._assert_bits_in_range(bits)
```

```
398 mask = 2 ** BUS WIDTH - 1
399 for b in bits:
400 mask \hat{=} 1 << b
401
402 mask = self.iface.read(self.addr) & (mask << self.start bit)
403 self.iface.write(self.addr, mask)
404
405
406 class StatusSingleNRegs:
407 def __init__(self, iface, start_addr, reg_count, start_mask, end_mask):
408 self.iface = iface
409 self.addrs = list(range(start.addr, start.addr + reg_count))410 self.width = 0
411 self.masks = []
412 self.reg_shifts = []
413 self.data_shifts = []414
415 for i in range(reg_count):
416 if i = 0:
417 self.masks.append(calc_mask(start_mask))
418 self.reg_shifts.append(start_mask[1])
419 self.data shifts.append(0)
420 \texttt{self width} += \texttt{start\_mask}[0] - \texttt{start\_mask}[1] + 1421 else:
422 self.reg shifts.append(0)
423 self.data_shifts.append(self.width)
424 if i = \text{reg\_count} - 1:
425 self.masks.append(calc_mask(end_mask))
426 self.width += end_mask[0] - end_mask[1] + 1
427 else:
428 self.masks.append(calc_mask((BUS_WIDTH - 1, 0)))
429 self.width += BUS_WIDTH
430
431 def read(self):
432 data = 0
433 for i, a in enumerate(self.addrs):
434 data = (
435 (self.iface.read(a) >> self.reg shifts[i]) & self.masks[i]
436 ) << self.data_shifts[i]
437 return data
438
439
440 class ConfigSingleNRegs(StatusSingleNRegs):
441 def __init__(self, iface, start_addr, reg_count, start_mask, end_mask):
442 super().__init__(iface, start_addr, reg_count, start_mask, end_mask)
443
444 def write(self, data):
445 assert 0 \leq data \leq 2 ** self.width, "value overrange ({})".format(data)
446 for i, a in enumerate(self.addrs):
447 self.iface.write(
448 a, ((data \gtgt; self.data\_shifts[i]) & self.master[i]) \ltlt self-reg\_shifts[i]449 )
450
451
452 class StaticSingleNRegs(Static, StatusSingleNRegs):
453 def __init__(self, iface, start_addr, reg_count, start_mask, end_mask, value):
454 Static.__init__(self, value)
455 StatusSingleNRegs.__init__(
456 self, iface, start_addr, reg_count, start_mask, end_mask<br>
<sup>457</sup>
457 )
458
459
460 class StatusArrayOneReg:
461 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, width, item_count):
462 self.iface = iface
463 self.addr = addr
464 self.start_bit = start_bit
```

```
465 self.width = width
466 self.item_count = item_count
467
468 def __len__(self):
469 return self.item_count
470
471 def read(self, idx=None):
472 reg = self.iface.read(self.addr)
473 mask = (1 \leq s \leq 1) width) - 1
474
475 if type(idx) == int:
476 assert 0 <= idx < self.item_count
477 shift = self.start_bit + self.width * idx
478 return (reg >> shift) & mask
479 elif idx is None:
480 idx = tuple(range(0, self.item_count))
481
482 for i in idx:
483 assert 0 <= i < self.item_count
484
485 data = \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}486 for i in idx:
487 shift = self.start bit + self.width * i
488 data.append((reg >> shift) & mask)
489
490 return data
491
492
493 class ConfigArrayOneReg(StatusArrayOneReg):
494 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, width, item_count):
495 super().__init__(iface, addr, start_bit, width, item_count)
496
497 def write(self, data, offset=0):
498 """ offset - elements index offset, applied also when data is dictionary """
\frac{1}{499} assert 0 \leq \text{len(data)} \leq \text{self}. item_count, f"invalid data len {len(data)}"
500
501 val = 0
502 mask = 0
503
504 if type(data) == dict:
505 for i, v in data.items():
506 assert type(i) == int, f'invalid index type {type(i)}'
507 assert i >= 0, f"negative index {i}"
508 assert i + offset < self.item_count, f"index overrange {i}"
509 assert (
510 0 \langle = \mathbf{v} \times 2 \times \mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{f} \times \511 ), f"data out of range, index {i}, value {v}"
512 Shift = Self.start_bit + (i + offset) * self.width
513 val = v \ll shift514 mask | = (2 ** self width - 1) << shift
515 else:
516 assert len(data) + offset <= self.item_count
517
518 for i, v in enumerate(data):
519 assert (
520 0 \langle = \mathbf{v} \times 2 \times \mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{f} \times \521 ), f"data out of range, index {i}, value {v}"
522 shift = self.start_bit + (i + offset) * self.width
523 val | = v \ll shift524 mask = 2 ** self.width - 1 << shift
525
526 if len(data) == self.item count:
527 self.iface.write(self.addr, val)
528 else:
529 self.iface.rmw(self.addr, val, mask)
530
531
532 class StatusArrayOneInReg:
```

```
533 def __init__(self, iface, addr, mask, item_count):
534 self.iface = iface
535 self.addr = addr
536 self.mask = calc mask(mask)
537 self.shift = mask[1]
538 self.width = mask[0] - mask[1] + 1
539 self.item_count = item_count
540
541 def __len__(self):
542 return self.item_count
543
544 def read(self, idx=None):
545 if idx is None:
546 idx = tuple(range(0, self.item_count))
547 if self.item_count == 1:
548 return (self.iface.read(self.addr) >> self.shift) & self.mask
549 else:
550 buf = self.iface.readb(self.addr, self.item_count)
551 return [(data >> self.shift) & self.mask for data in buf]
552 elif type(idx) == int:
553 assert 0 \leq i dx \leq self. item count
554 return (self.iface.read(self.addr + idx) >> self.shift) & self.mask
555 else:
556 for i in idx:
557 assert 0 \le i \le self.item_count558 return [
559 (self.iface.read(self.addr + i) >> self.shift) & self.mask for i in idx
560 ]
561
562
563 class ConfigArrayOneInReg(StatusArrayOneInReg):
564 def __init__(self, iface, addr, mask, item_count):
565 super().__init__(iface, addr, mask, item_count)
566
567 def write(self, data, offset=0):
568 """ offset - elements index offset, applied also when data is dictionary """
569 assert 0 <= len(data) <= self.item_count, f"invalid data len {len(data)}"
570
571 if type(data) == dict:
572 idxs = sorted(data.keys())
573 for idx in idxs:
574 self.iface.write(self.addr + offset + idx, data[idx] << self.shift)
575 else:
576 assert len(data) + offset <= self.item_count
577
578 if len(data) == 1:
579 self.iface.write(self.addr + offset, data[0] << self.shift)
580 else:
581 buf = [1]582 for d in data:
583 buf.append(d << self.shift)
584 self.iface.writeb(self.addr + offset, buf)
585
586
587 class StatusArrayNInReg:
588 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, width, item_count, items_in_reg):
589 self.iface = iface
590 self.addr = addr
591 self.start_bit = start_bit
592 self.width = width
593 self.item_count = item_count
594 self.items_in_reg = items_in_reg
595 self.reg_count = math.ceil(item_count / self.items in reg)
596
597 def __len__(self):
598 return self.item_count
599
600 def read(self, idx=None):
```

```
601 mask = (1 \leq s \leq \text{self width}) - 1602
603 if idx is None:
604 idx = tuple(range(0, self.item_count))
605 reg_idx = tuple(range(self.reg_count))
606 elif type(idx) == int:
607 assert 0 <= idx < self.item_count
608 reg_idx = idx // self.items_in_reg
609 shift = self.start_bit + self.width * (idx % self.items_in_reg)
610 return (self.iface.read(self.addr + reg_idx) >> shift) \& mask
611 else:
612 reg_idx = set()
613 for i in idx:
614 assert 0 <= i < self.item_count
615 reg_idx.add(i // self.items_in_reg)
616
617 reg_data = {reg_i: self.iface.read(self.addr + reg_i) for reg_i in reg_idx}
618
619 data = \lceil \rceil620 for i in idx:
621 shift = self.start bit + self.width * (i % self.items in reg)
622 data.append((reg_data[i // self.items_in_reg] >> shift) & mask)
623
624 return data
625
626
627 class ConfigArrayNInReg(StatusArrayNInReg):
628 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, width, item_count, items_in_reg):
629 super().__init__(iface, addr, start_bit, width, item_count, items_in_reg)
630
631 def write(self, data, offset=0):
632 """ offset - elements index offset, applied also when data is dictionary """
633 assert 0 \leq \text{len(data)} \leq \text{self.item count, f'invald data len {len(data)}'634
635 regs = dict()
636
637 def add_to_regs(idx, val):
638 idx = idx + offset
639 assert idx <= self.item_count, f"index overrange {idx + offset}"
640 reg_idx = idx // self.items_in_reg
641 if reg_idx not in regs:
642 regs[reg_idx] = [0, 0] # [value, mask]
643 shift = self.start\_bit + (idx % self.items_in_reg) * self.width644 regs[reg_idx][0] |\bar{=} val << shift
645 regs[reg_idx][1] | = (2 ** \text{ self width} - 1) \ll shift646
647 if type(data) == dict:
648 for idx, val in data.items():
649 add_to_regs(idx, val)
650 else:
651 for idx, val in enumerate(data):
652 add_to_regs(idx, val)
653
654 reg_idxs = sorted(regs.keys())
655 for idx in reg_idxs:
656 self.iface.rmw(self.addr + idx, regs[idx][0], regs[idx][1])
657
658
659 class StatusArrayNInRegMInEndReg(StatusArrayNInReg):
660 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, width, item_count, items_in_reg):
661 super().__init__(iface, addr, start_bit, width, item_count, items_in_reg)
662
663
664 class ConfigArrayNInRegMInEndReg(ConfigArrayNInReg):
665 def __init__(self, iface, addr, start_bit, width, item_count, items_in_reg):
666 super(). init (iface, addr, start bit, width, item count, items in reg)
667
668
```

```
669 class StatusArrayOneInNRegs:
670 def __init__(
671 self, iface, addr, width, item_count, regs_per_item, reg_count, end_bit
672 ):
673 self.iface = iface
674
675 self.addr = addr
676 self.width = width
677 self.item_count = item_count
678
679 self.regs_per_item = regs_per_item
680 self.reg_count = reg_count
681 self.last_reg_mask = calc_mask((end_bit, 0))
682
683 def __len__(self):
684 return self.item_count
685
686 def _regs_to_data(self, buf):
687 assert len(buf) == self.regs_per_item
688 data = 0
689 for i, bite in enumerate(buf):
690 if i == len(buf) - 1:
691 data |= (bite & self.last_reg_mask) << (i * BUS_WIDTH)
692 else:
693 data |= bite << (i * BUS_WIDTH)
694 return data
695
696 def read(self, idx=None):
697 if idx is None:
698 buf = self.iface.readb(self.addr, self.reg_count)
699 data = []700 for i in range(self.item_count):
701 data.append(
702 self._regs_to_data(
\overline{\text{buf}} \overline{\text{buf}} \overline{\text{\.}} * self.regs_per_item : (i + 1) * self.regs_per_item]
704 )
705 )
706 return data
707 elif type(idx) == int:
708 assert 0 <= idx < self.item_count
709 buf = self.iface.readb(
510 self.addr + idx * self.regs_per_item, self.regs_per_item
711 )
<sup>712</sup> return self. regs to data(buf)
713 else:
714 data = []715 for i in idx:
716 assert 0 \le i \le self.item_count717 buf = self.iface.readb(
718 self.addr + i * self.regs_per_item, self.regs_per_item
719 )
720 data.append(self._regs_to_data(buf))
721 return data
722
723
724 class Upstream:
725 def __init__(self, iface, addr, delay, returns):
726 self.iface = iface
727 self.addr = addr
728 self.delay = delay
729 self.buf_iface = _BufferIface()
730 self.buf size, self.returns = create mock returns(self.buf iface, addr, returns)
731
732 def read(self, n):
733 """
734 Read the stream n times.
735 Read returns a tuple of tuples.
736 Non grouped returns are returned as values within tuple.
```

```
737 """
738 if self.buf_size == 1:
739 read_data = [[x] for x in self.iface.cread(self.addr, n)]
740 else:
741 read_data = self.iface.creadb(self.addr, self.buf_size, n)
742
743 data = []
744 for buf in read_data:
745 self.buf_iface.set_buf(buf)
746 tup = [] # List to allow append but must be cast to tuple.
747
748 for ret in self.returns:
749 tup.append(ret['Status'].read())
750
751 data.append(tuple(tup))
752
753 return tuple(data)
754
755
756 class Downstream:
757 def __init__(self, iface, addr, delay, params):
758 self.iface = iface
759 self.addr = addr
760 self.params = params
761 self.delay = delay
762
763 def write(self, data):
764 wbuf = [] # Write buffer
765 args_in_one_reg = False # All arguments occupy one register
766
767 for args in data:
768 assert len(args) == len(
769 self.params
770 ), f"invalid number of arguments {len(args)}, want {len(self.params)}"
771
772 buf = pack_params(self.params, *args)
773 if len(buf) == 1:
774 args_in_one_reg = True
775 wbuf.append(buf[0])
776 else:
777 wbuf.append(buf)
778
779 if self.delay is None:
780 if args_in_one_reg:
781 self.iface.cwrite(self.addr, wbuf)
782 else:
783 self.iface.cwriteb(self.addr, wbuf)
784 else:
785 for i, val in enumerate(wbuf):
786 if args_in_one_reg:
787 self.iface.write(self.addr, val)
788 else:
789 self.iface.writeb(self.addr, buf)
790
791 if i < len(wbuf) - 1:
792 time.sleep(self.delay)
793
794
795 class Main:
796 def __init__(self, iface):
797 self.iface = iface
798 self.Version = StaticSingleOneReg(iface, 8, 0, 23, 0b0000000010000000100000010)
799 self.ID = StaticSingleOneReg(
800 iface, 0, 0, 31, 0b01100101100110100001101011011111<br>801 )
801 )
802 self.S1 = StatusSingleOneReg(iface, 8, 24, 30)
803 self.S2 = StatusSingleOneReg(iface, 5, 9, 17)
804 self.S3 = StatusSingleOneReg(iface, 4, 12, 23)
```

```
805 self.SA = StatusArrayNInRegMInEndReg(iface, 9, 0, 8, 10, 4)
806 self.Counter = StatusSingleNRegs(iface, 12, 2, (31, 0), (0, 0))
807 self.C1 = ConfigSingleOneReg(iface, 6, 0, 6)
808 self.C2 = ConfigSingleOneReg(iface, 5, 0, 8)
809 self.C3 = ConfigSingleOneReg(iface, 4, 0, 11)
810 self.CA = ConfigArrayNInReg(iface, 1, 0, 8, 10, 4)811 self.Mask = MaskSingleOneReg(iface, 7, 0, 15)
812 self.Subblock = self.SubblockClass(self.iface)
813
814 class SubblockClass:
815 def __init__(self, iface):
816 self.iface = iface
817 self.Add = ParamsAndReturnsProc(
818 iface,
819 24, 24, 24820 [
821 { 'Name': 'A', 'Width': 20, 'Access': {
822 'StartAddr': 24,
823 StartBit': 0,
824 'EndBit': 19,
825 'RegCount': 1,
826 'Type': 'SingleOneReg', }, },
827 \{ 'Name': 'B', 'Width': 10, 'Access': \}828 'StartAddr': 24,
829 StartBit': 20,
830 'EndBit': 29,
831 'RegCount': 1,
832 'Type': 'SingleOneReg', }, },
833 { 'Name': 'C', 'Width': 8, 'Access': {
834 StartAddr': 24,
835 StartBit': 30, StartBit': 30,
836 'EndBit': 5,
837 'RegCount': 2,
838 'Type': 'SingleNRegs', }, },
839 ],
840 25,<br>841 [
841 [
842 { 'Name': 'Sum', 'Access': {
843 'StartAddr': 25,
844 'StartBit': 6,
845 'EndBit': 26,
846 'RegCount': 1,
847 'Type': 'SingleOneReg', }, }
\begin{array}{ccc} 848 & & \end{array}\begin{array}{c}\n 849 \text{ } & \text{None} \,, \\
 850 \text{ } & \text{)}\n \end{array}850 )
851 self.Add_Stream = Downstream(
852 iface,
853 26,
854 None,
855856 { 'Name': 'A', 'Width': 20, 'Access': {
857 StartAddr': 26,
858 'StartBit': 0,
859 'EndBit': 19,
860 'RegCount': 1,
861 'Type': 'SingleOneReg', }, },
862 \left\{863 'Name': 'B', 'Width': 10, 'Access': {
864 'StartAddr': 26,
865 'StartBit': 20,
866 'EndBit': 29,
867 'RegCount': 1,
868 'Type': 'SingleOneReg', }, },
869 { 'Name': 'C', 'Width': 8, 'Access': {
870 'StartAddr': 26,
871 StartBit': 30,
872 'EndBit': 5,
```

```
873 'RegCount': 2,
874 'Type': 'SingleNRegs', }, },
\frac{875}{876} ],
876 )
877 self.Sum_Stream = Upstream(
878 iface,
879 28,
880 None,
881 [
882 { 'Name': 'Sum', 'Access': {
883 'StartAddr': 28,
884 'StartBit': 0,
885 'EndBit': 20,
886 'RegCount': 1,
887 'Type': 'SingleOneReg', }, }
\begin{array}{c} 888 \\ 889 \end{array}   )
889 )
```
## **E VHDL Main entity description generated for the example design**

```
1 -- This file has been automatically generated by the vfbdb tool.
2 -- Do not edit it manually, unless you really know what you do.
3 -- https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/go-vfbdb
4 library ieee;
5 use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
6 use ieee.numeric_std.all;
7 library ltypes;
8 use ltypes.types.all;
9 library work;
10 use work.wb3.all;
11 package Main_pkg is
12 end package;
13 library ieee;
14 use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
15 use ieee.numeric_std.all;
16 library general_cores;
17 use general_cores.wishbone_pkg.all;
18 library ltypes;
19 use ltypes.types.all;
20 library work;
21 use work.wb3.all;
22 use work.Main_pkg.all;
2324 entity Main is
25 generic ( G_REGISTERED : boolean := true );
26 port (
27 clk_i : in std_logic;
28 rst_i : in std_logic;
29 slave_i : in t_wishbone_slave_in_array (1 - 1 downto 0);
30 slave_o : out t_wishbone_slave_out_array(1 - 1 downto 0);
31 Subblock_master_o : out t_wishbone_master_out_array(0 downto 0);
32 Subblock_master_i : in t_wishbone_master_in_array(0 downto 0);
33 Version_o : out std_logic_vector(23 downto 0) := x"010102";
34 ID_o : out std_logic_vector(31 downto 0) := x"cacd0d6f";
35 S1_i : in std_logic_vector(6 downto 0);
36 S2_i : in std_logic_vector(8 downto 0);
37 S3_i : in std_logic_vector(11 downto 0);
38 SA_i : in slv_vector(9 downto 0)(7 downto 0);
39 Counter_i : in std_logic_vector(32 downto 0);
40 C1_o : buffer std_logic_vector(6 downto 0);
41 C2_o : buffer std_logic_vector(8 downto 0);
42 C3_o : buffer std_logic_vector(11 downto 0);
43 CA_o : buffer slv_vector(9 downto 0)(7 downto 0);
44 Mask_o : buffer std_logic_vector(15 downto 0)
45 );
46 end entity;
47 architecture rtl of Main is
48 constant C_ADDRESSES : t_wishbone_address_array(1 downto 0) :=
49 (0 => "00000000000000000000000000000000", 1 => "00000000000000000000000000011000");
50 constant C_MASKS : t_wishbone_address_array(1 downto 0) :=
51 (0 => "00000000000000000000000000010000", 1 => "00000000000000000000000000011000");
52 signal master_out : t_wishbone_master_out;
53 signal master_in : t_wishbone_master_in;
54 signal Counter_atomic : std_logic_vector(32 downto 32);
55 begin
56 crossbar: entity general_cores.xwb_crossbar
```

```
57 generic map (
58 G_NUM_MASTERS => 1,
59 G NUM SLAVES => 1 + 1.
60 G_REGISTERED => G_REGISTERED,
61 G_ADDRESS => C_ADDRESSES,
62 G_MASK => C_MASKS63 ) port map (
64 clk_sys_i => clk_i,
65 rst_n_i => not rst_i,
66 slave i => slave i.
67 slave o => slave o,
68 master_i(0) => master_in,
69 master_i(1) => Subblock_master_i(0),
70 master_o(0) => master_out,
71 master_o(1) => Subblock_master_o(0)
72 );
73 register_access : process (clk_i) is
74 variable addr : natural range 0 to 14 - 1;
75 begin
76 if rising_edge(clk_i) then
77 -- Normal operation.
78 master_in.rty \leq 10';
79 master_in.ack <= '0';
80 master_in.err \le 0;
81 transfer : if
82 master_out.cyc = '1'83 and master_out.stb = '1'
84 and master_in.err = '0'
85 and master_in.rty = '0'
86 and master_in.ack = '0'87 then
88 addr := to_integer(unsigned(master_out.adr(4 - 1 downto 0)));
89 -- First assume there is some kind of error.
90 -- For example internal address is invalid or there is a try to write status.
91 master in.err \leq '1';
92 -- '0' for security reasons, '-' can lead to the information leak.
93 master in.dat \leq (others \Rightarrow '0');
94 master_in.ack <= '0';
95 -- Registers Access
96 if 0 <= addr and addr <= 0 then
97 master_in.dat(31 downto 0) <= x"cacd0d6f"; -- ID
98 master_in.ack \leq '1';
99 master_in.err <= '0';
100 end if;
101 if 1 <= addr and addr <= 2 then
102 for i in 0 to 3 loop
103 if master_out.we = '1' then
104 CA_o((addr-1)*4+i) <= master_out.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8*i + 0);
105 end if;
106 master_in.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8*i + 0) <= CA_o((addr-1)*4+i);
107 end loop;
108 master in.ack \leq '1';
109 master_in.err \leq '0';
110 end if;
111 if 3 <= addr and addr <= 3 then
112 for i in 0 to 1 loop
113 if master_out.we = '1' then
114 CA_o(8+i) <= master_out.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8*i+0);
115 end if;
116 master_in.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8*i+0) <= CA_o(8+i);
117 end loop;
118 master_in.ack <= '1':
119 master_in.err <= '0';
120 end if;
121 if 4 \leq addr and addr \leq 4 then
122 master in.dat(23 downto 12) <= S3 i;
123 if master_out.we = '1' then
124 C3_o <= master_out.dat(11 downto 0);
```

```
125 end if;
126 master_in.dat(11 downto 0) <= C3_o;
127 master_in.ack \leq '1';
128 master_in.err \langle = 10^\circ \rangle129 end if;
130 if 5 <= addr and addr <= 5 then
131 master in.dat(17 downto 9) \leq S2 i;
132 if master_out.we = '1' then
133 C2_o <= master_out.dat(8 downto 0);
134 end if;
135 master_in.dat(8 downto 0) <= C2_o;
136 master_in.ack \leq '1';
137 master_in.err \leq '0';
138 end if;
139 if 6 <= addr and addr <= 6 then
140 if master_out.we = '1' then
141 C1_o <= master_out.dat(6 downto 0);
142 end if;
143 master in.dat(6 downto 0) <= C1 o;
144 master_in.ack \leq '1';
145 master_in.err \langle = |0|;
146 end if;
147 if 7 <= addr and addr <= 7 then
148 if master_out.we = '1' then
149 Mask_o <= master_out.dat(15 downto 0);
150 end if;
151 master_in.dat(15 downto 0) <= Mask_o;
152 master_in.ack \leq '1';
153 master_in.err \langle = 0 \rangle154 end if;
155 if 8 <= addr and addr <= 8 then
156 master_in.dat(23 downto 0) <= x"010102"; -- Version
157 master_in.dat(30 downto 24) <= S1_i;
158 master_in.ack \leq '1';
159 master_in.err <= '0';
160 end if;
161 if 9 <= addr and addr <= 10 then
162 for i in 0 to 3 loop
163 master_in.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8*i + 0) <= SA_i((addr-9)*4+i);
164 end loop;
165 master_in.ack \leq '1';
166 master_in.err \langle = 0 \rangle;
167 end if;
168 if 11 <= addr and addr <= 11 then
169 for i in 0 to 1 loop
170 master_in.dat(8*(i+1) + 0-1 downto 8*i+0) <= SA_i(8+i);
171 end loop;
172 master_in.ack \leq 1';
173 master_in.err \leq '0';
174 end if;
175 if 12 <= addr and addr <= 12 then
176 Counter_atomic(32 downto 32) <= Counter_i(32 downto 32);
177 master_in.dat(31 downto 0) <= Counter_i(31 downto 0);
178 master_in.ack \leq '1';
179 master in.err \langle = 0 \rangle;
180 end if;
181 if 13 <= addr and addr <= 13 then
182 master_in.dat(0 downto 0) <= Counter_atomic(32 downto 32);
\texttt{master\_in}.\texttt{ack} \leq \texttt{ '1'};184 master_in.err \langle = 0 \rangle185 end if;
186 end if transfer;
187 if rst_i = '1' then
188 master in \leq C DUMMY WB MASTER IN;
189 end if;
190 end if;
191 end process register_access;
192 end architecture;
```
## **F VHDL Subblock entity description generated for the example design**

```
1 -- This file has been automatically generated by the vfbdb tool.
2 -- Do not edit it manually, unless you really know what you do.
3 -- https://github.com/Functional-Bus-Description-Language/go-vfbdb
4 library ieee;
5 use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
6 use ieee.numeric_std.all;
7 library ltypes;
8 use ltypes.types.all;
9 library work;
10 use work.wb3.all;
11
12 package Subblock_pkg is
13 type Add_out_t is record
14 A : std_logic_vector(19 downto 0);
15 B : std_logic_vector(9 downto 0);
16 C : std_logic_vector(7 downto 0);
17 call : std_logic;
18 exitt : std_logic;
19 end record;
20 type Add_in_t is record
21 Sum : std_logic_vector(20 downto 0);
22 end record;
23 type Add_Stream_t is record
24 A : std_logic_vector(19 downto 0);
25 B : std_logic_vector(9 downto 0);
26 C : std_logic_vector(7 downto 0);
27 end record;
28 type Sum_Stream_t is record
29 Sum : std_logic_vector(20 downto 0);
30 end record;
31 end package;
32
33 library ieee;
34 use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
35 use ieee.numeric_std.all;
36 library general_cores;
37 use general_cores.wishbone_pkg.all;
38 library ltypes;
39 use ltypes.types.all;
40 library work;
41 use work.wb3.all;
42 use work.Subblock_pkg.all;
43
44 entity Subblock is
45 generic ( G_REGISTERED : boolean := true );
46 port (
47 clk_i : in std_logic;
48 rst_i : in std_logic;
49 slave_i : in t_wishbone_slave_in_array (1 - 1 downto 0);
50 slave_o : out t_wishbone_slave_out_array(1 - 1 downto 0);
51 Add_o : out Add_out_t;
52 Add_i : in Add_in_t;
53 Add_Stream_o : out Add_Stream_t;
54 Add_Stream_stb_o : out std_logic;
55 Sum_Stream_i : in Sum_Stream_t;
56 Sum_Stream_stb_o : out std_logic
```

```
57 );
58 end entity;
59 architecture rtl of Subblock is
60 constant C_ADDRESSES : t_wishbone_address_array(0 downto 0) :=
61 (0 => "00000000000000000000000000000000");
62 constant C_MASKS : t_wishbone_address_array(0 downto 0) :=
63 (0 \Rightarrow "00000000000000000000000000000000";
64 signal master out : t wishbone master out;
65 signal master_in : t_wishbone_master_in;
66 begin
67 crossbar: entity general_cores.xwb_crossbar
68 generic map (
69 G_NUM_MASTERS => 1,
70 G_NUM_SLAVES => 0 + 171 G_REGISTERED => G_REGISTERED,
72 G_ADDRESS => C_ADDRESSES,
73 G_MASK => C_MASKS
74 ) port map (
75 clk_sys_i => clk_i,
76 rst_n_i => not rst_i,
77 slave i => slave i,
78 slave_o => slave_o,
79 master_i(0) => master_in,
80 master_0(0) \Rightarrow master_81 );
82
83 register_access : process (clk_i) is
84 variable addr : natural range 0 to 5 - 1;
85 begin
86 if rising_edge(clk_i) then
87
88 -- Normal operation.
89 master_in.rty <= '0';
90 master_in.ack <= '0';
91 master_in.err \leq '0';
9293 -- Procs Calls Clear
94 Add_o.call <= '0';
95 -- Procs Exits Clear
96 Add_o.exitt <= '0';
97 -- Stream Strobes Clear
98 Add_Stream_stb_o <= '0';
99 Sum_Stream_stb_o <= '0';
100
101 transfer : if
102 master_out.cyc = 11'103 and master_out.stb = '1'
104 and master_in.err = '0'
105 and master_in.rty = '0'
106 and master_in.ack = '0'
107 then
108 addr := to_integer(unsigned(master_out.adr(3 - 1 downto 0)));
109 -- First assume there is some kind of error.
110 -- For example internal address is invalid or there is a try to write status.
111 master in.err \langle = '1';112 -- '0' for security reasons, '-' can lead to the information leak.
113 master_in.dat <= (others => '0');
114 master_in.ack \leq '0';
115 -- Registers Access
116 if 0 <= addr and addr <= 0 then
117 if master_out.we = '1' then
118 Add o.\overline{A} \leq master out.dat(19 downto 0);
119 end if;
120 master in.dat(19 downto 0) \leq Add o.A;
121 if master_out.we = '1' then
122 Add o.B <= master out.dat(29 downto 20);
123 end if;
124 master in.dat(29 downto 20) <= Add o.B;
```

```
125 if master_out.we = '1' then
126 Add_o.C(1 downto 0) <= master_out.dat(31 downto 30);
127 end if;
128 master_in.dat(31 downto 30) <= Add_o.C(1 downto 0);
129 master in.ack \leq '1';
130 master_in.err \leq '0'
131 end if;
132 if 1 <= addr and addr <= 1 then
133 if master_out.we = '1' then
134 Add_o.C(7 downto 2) <= master_out.dat(5 downto 0);
135 end if;
136 master_in.dat(5 downto 0) <= Add_o.C(7 downto 2);
137 master_in.dat(26 downto 6) <= Ad\overline{d}_i. Sum;
138 master_in.ack <= '1';
139 master_in.err <= '0';
140 end if;
141 if 2 <= addr and addr <= 2 then
142 if master_out.we = '1' then
143 Add_Stream_o.A <= master_out.dat(19 downto 0);
144 end if;
145 if master_out.we = '1' then
146 Add_Stream_o.B <= master_out.dat(29 downto 20);
147 end if;
148 if master_out.we = '1' then
149 Add_Stream_o.C(1 downto 0) <= master_out.dat(31 downto 30);
150 end if;
151 master_in.ack \leq '1';
152 master_in.err \leq '0';
153 end if;
154 if 3 <= addr and addr <= 3 then
155 if master_out.we = '1' then
156 Add_Stream_o.C(7 downto 2) <= master_out.dat(5 downto 0);
157 end if;
158 master_in.ack \leq '1';
159 master_in.err <= '0';
160 end if;
161 if 4 <= addr and addr <= 4 then
162 master_in.dat(20 downto 0) <= Sum_Stream_i.Sum;
163 master_in.ack \leq '1';
164 master_in.err <= '0';
165 end if;
166 Add_call : if addr = 1 then
167 if master_out.we = '1' then
168 Add o.call \leq '1';
169 end if;
170 end if;
171 Add_exit : if addr = 1 then
172 if master_out.we = '0' then
173 Add\_o.exitt \leq '1';174 end if;
175 end if;
176 Add_Stream_stb : if addr = 3 then
177 if master_out.we = '1' then
178 Add\text{Stream\_stb\_o} \leq '1';179 end if;
180 end if;
181 Sum_Stream_stb : if addr = 4 then
182 if master_out.we = '0' then
183 Sum_Stream_stb_o <= '1';
184 end if;
185 end if;
186 end if transfer;
187 if rst_i = '1' then
188 master in \leq C DUMMY WB MASTER IN;
189 end if;
190 end if;
191 end process register_access;
192 end architecture;
```
# **G Statement from the Fluence company**

Warsaw, 09.06.2023



Fluence sp. z o.o. ul. Kolejowa 5/7 01-217 Warszawa

NIP: 527-277-61-54 REGON: 365029156 KRS: 0000629831

## **Statement**

The FBDL compiler has been used during the development of the delay generator module for femtosecond laser implemented as a part of the "Development of optical engine for rapid laser fabrication of transparent materials" (Eurostars-2) project carried out by the Fluence SP. Z O.O.

The functionality-centric approach resulted in shorter implementation time and increased system maintainability compared to the previous custom register-centric approach.

Project Manager

Człokek/Zarżądy

dr Piotr Skibiński

## **H FBDL Specification**

## **Functional Bus Description Language**

Revision 1.0

26 January 2024

### *Abstract*

This document is the official specification of the Functional Bus Description Language. Its primary purpose is to define the syntax and semantics of the language. Functional Bus Description Language is a domain-specific language for bus and register management. Its main characteristic is the paradigm shift from the register-centric approach to the functionality-centric approach. In the register-centric approach, the user defines registers and then manually lays out the data into the registers. In the functionality-centric approach, the user defines the functionality of the data, and the registers, module hierarchy, and access codes are later automatically inferred. By defining the functionality of the data placed in the registers, it is possible to generate more code, increase code robustness, improve system design readability, and shorten the implementation process.

**keywords:** bus interface, code maintenance, computer languages, control interface, design automation, design verification, documentation generation, electronic design automation, EDA, electronic systems, Functional Bus Description Language, FBDL, hardware design, hardware description language, HDL, hierarchical register description, memory, programming, register addressing, register synthesis, software generation, system management

### **Table of Contents**





## **Participants**

Michal Kruszewski, *Chair, Technical Editor, mkru@protonmail.com*

## **Glossary**

Not all terms defined in the glossary list are used in the specification. Some of them are formally defined because they are helpful when discussing, for example, compiler implementation.

#### **call register**

The call register term is used to refer to the proc register with the associated call pulse signal. When the call register is written, the call pulse is generated.

#### **data**

The data term is used to refer to the content of the registers. Unless it is used in the context of internal data types of the language.

#### **downstream**

The downstream is a stream from the requester to the provider.

#### **exit register**

The exit register term is used to refer to the proc register with the associated exit pulse signal. When the exit register is read, the exit pulse is generated.

#### **functionality**

The functionality is the functionality of given data. It can be seen as a type of the data. In case of functionalities encapsulating other functionalities, such as bus, block, proc or stream, the functionality is used to denote a broader context of encapsulated data.

#### **gap**

The gap term is used to refer to unused bits within register.

#### **gateware**

The gateware term is used to refer to the overall configuration of the logic placed in the FPGA to make it behave according to the desired description. The term is not formally defined anywhere, however it is used to unburden the firmware term. IEEE Std 610.12-1990 also mentions that the firmware term is too overloaded and confusing.

#### **generator**

The generator term is used to refer to the part of a compiler directly responsible for the target code generation based on registerification results.

#### **information**

The information term is used to refer to the metadata on the functionality data. The metadata describes where the data is located, for example bit masks and register addresses, and how to access the data.

#### **means**

The means term is used to refer to the automatically generated method or data that shall be used by the requester to request particular functionality. A means in particular programming language is usually a function, method or procedure that shall be called or class, dictionary, map or structure containing information on how to access particular functionality.

### **provider**

The provider is the system component containing the generated registers and providing described functionalities.

#### **pure call register**

The term pure call register is used to refer to the call register containing no proc returns.

#### **pure exit register**

The term pure exit register is used to refer to the exit register containing no proc params.

#### **registerification**

The registerification is the process of placing data of functionalities into the registers. The process includes assigning data bit masks, register addresses as well as block addresses and masks. The term is new in the field and is coined in the specification.

#### **requester**

The requester is the system component accessing the generated registers and requesting described functionalities.

#### **strobe register**

The strobe register term is used to refer to the stream register with the associated strobe pulse signal. When the strobe register is written (downstream), or read (upstream) the strobe pulse is generated.

#### **target**

The target term is used to refer to the transpilation target. For example, a target can be a requester Python code allowing to access functionalities of the provider in an asynchronous fashion. A VHDL code providing description of the functionality registers and exposing AXI compliant interface is a valid provider target. A JSON file describing registerification results is for example a valid documentation target. The target depends on several factors, but the most important ones are programming/description language, synchronous or asynchronous access interface, bus type, dynamic or static address map reloading. Each target has its recipient. It is either provider, requester or documentation.

#### **upstream**

The upstream is a stream from the provider to the requester.

## **1. Overview**

## **1.1. Scope**

This document specifies the syntax and semantics of the Functional Bus Description Language (FBDL).

## **1.2. Purpose**

This document is intended for the implementers of tools supporting the language and for users of the language. The focus is on defining the valid language constructs, their meanings and implications for the hardware and software that is specified or configured, how compliant tools are required to behave, and how to use the language.

## **1.3. Motivation**

Describing and managing registers can be a tedious and error-prone task. The information about registers is utilized by software, hardware, and verification engineers. Typically a specification of the registers is designed by the hardware designer or system architect. During the design and implementation phases, it changes multiple times due to different reasons such as bugs, requirement changes, technical limitations, or user feedback. A simple change in a single register may imply adjustments in both hardware and software. These adjustments cost money and time.

Several formal and informal tools exist to address issues related to register management. However, they all share the same concept of describing registers at a very low level. That is, the user has to implicitly define the layout of the registers. For example, in the case of a register containing multiple statuses, its the user responsibility to specify the bit position for every status.

The FBDL is different in this term. The user specifies the functionalities that must be provided by the data stored in the registers. The register layout is automatically generated based on the functional requirements. Such an approach increases the amount of automatically generated hardware description and software code and decreases the amount of code requiring manual implementation compared to the register-centric approach. Not only the register masks, addresses, and single read and write functions can be generated, but complete custom functions with optimized access methods. This, in turn, leads to shorter design iterations and fewer bugs.

## **1.4. Word usage**

The terms "must", "must not", "required", "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "recommended", "may", and "optional" in this document are to be interpreted as described in the IETF Best Practices Document 14, RFC 2119.1.

## **1.5. Syntactic description**

The formal syntax of the FBDL is described by means of context-free syntax using a simple variant of the Backus-Naur Form (BNF). In particular:

a) Lowercase words in constant-width font, some containing embedded underscores, are used to denote syntactic categories, for example:

```
single_import_statement
```
Whenever the name of a syntactic category is used, apart from the syntax rules themselves, underscores are replaced with spaces thus, "single import statement" would appear in the narrative description when referring to the syntactic category.

b) Boldface words are used to denote keywords, for example:

#### **mask**

Keywords shall be used only in those places indicated by the syntax.

- c) A production consists of a left-hand side, the symbol "::=" (which is read as can be replaced by), and a righthand side. The left-hand side of a production is always a syntactic category, the right-hand side is a replacement rule. The meaning of a production is a textual-replacement rule. Any occurrence of the left-hand side may be replaced by an instance of the right-hand side.
- d) A vertical bar ( | ) separates alternative items on the right-hand side of a production unless it occurs immediately after an opening brace, in which case it stands for itself, for example:

```
decimal_digit ::= zero_digit | non_zero_decimal_digit
choices ::= choice { | choice }
```
In the first instance, an occurrence of decimal digit can be replaced by either zero digit or non zero decimal digit. In the second case, "choices" can be replaced by a list of "choice", separated by vertical bars, see item f) for the meaning of braces.

- e) Square brackets [ ] enclose optional items on the right-hand side of a production. Note, however, sometimes square brackets in the right-hand side of the production are part of the syntax. In such cases bold font is used.
- f) Braces { } enclose a repeated item or items on the right-hand side of a production. The items may appear zero or more times.
- g) The term *declared identifier* is used for any occurrence of an identifier that already denotes some declared item (declared by a user or by specification, for example built-in function name).

## **2. References**

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document (i.e., they must be understood and used, so each referenced document is cited in the text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments or corrigenda) applies.

- IETF Best Practices Document 14, RFC 2119,
- IETF UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646, RFC 3629,
- IEEE Std 754<sup>TM</sup>-2019, IEEE Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic.

FBDL Specification 8 Rev. 1.0

## **3. Concepts**

The core concept behind the FBDL is based on the fact that if there is a system part with the registers that can be accessed, then there is at least one more system part accessing these registers. The part accessing the registers is called the *requester*. The part containing the registers is called the *provider*, as it provides functions via particular functionalities.

The code generated from the FBDL description can be conceptually divided into two parts, the requester part and the provider part. The requester code usually refers to the generated software or firmware implemented in typical programming languages such as: Ada, C, C++, Go, Java, Python, Rust etc. The provider code usually refers to the generated gateware or hardware implemented in hardware description languages or frameworks such as: VHDL, SystemVerilog, SystemC, Bluespec, PipelineC, MyHDL, Chisel etc. However, implementing the provider for example as a firmware, using the C language and a microcontroller, is practically doable and valid.

The description of functionalities shall be placed in files with .fbd extension. By default, the bus named Main is the entry point for the description used for the code generation. A compiler is free to support a parameter for changing the name of the main bus.

```
description ::=
    import_statement |
    constant definition |
    type definition |
    instantiation
```
## **3.1. Properties**

Each data in the FBDL description has associated functionality and each functionality has associated properties. Properties allow the configuration of functionalities. Each property must have a concrete type. The default value of each property is specified in the round brackets () in the functionality subsections. If the default value is bus width, then the default value equals the actual value of the bus width property. If the default value is uninitialized, then it shall be represented as the uninitialized meta value at the provider side. If the target language for the provider code does not have a concept of uninitialized value, then values such as 0, Null, None, nil etc. shall be used.

Each property either defines or declares functionality feature or behavior. Definitive properties specify the desired behavior of the automatically generated code. They specify elements directly managed by the FBDL. Examples of definitive properties include atomic or width properties. Declarative properties describe the behavior of external elements that automatically generated code only interacts with. Declarative properties are required to generate valid logic, and it is the user's responsibility to make sure their values match the behavior of external components. Examples of declarative properties include access or in-trigger properties.

```
property_assignment ::= property_identifier = expression
```

```
property_assignments ::=
    property_assignment
    { ; property_assignment }
    newline
```
semicolon and property assignments ::= **;** property assignments

```
property_identifier ::=
     access | add-enable | atomic | byte-write-enable | clear | delay |
     enable-init-value | enable-reset-value | groups | init-value |
     in-trigger | masters | out-trigger | range | read-latency |
     read-value | reset | reset-value | size | width
```
## **3.2. Instantiation**

A functionality can be instantiated in a single line or in multiple lines.

```
instantiation ::= single_line_instantiation | multi_line_instantiation
single_line_instantiation ::=
    identifier
    [ array_marker ]
    declared_identifier | qualified_identifier
    [ argument_list ]
    newline | semicolon_and_property_assignments
multi_line_instantiation ::=
    identifier
    [ array marker ]
    declared_identifier | qualified_identifier
    [ argument_list ]
    functionality_body
array_marker ::= [ expression ]
functionality body ::=
    newline
    indent
    {
        constant_definition |
        type definition |
        property_assignments |
        instantiation
    }
    dedent
```
Following code shows examples of single line instantiations:

```
C config
C config; width = 8
M [8]mask; atomic = false; width = 128; init-value = 0
err error_t(48); atomic = false
```
### **3.3. Addressing**

The FBDL specification does not impose byte or word addressing. There is also no property allowing to switch between these two addressing modes. The addressing mode handling is completely left to the particular compiler implementation. If the compiler has a monolithic structure (no distinction between the compiler frontend and backend), then it is probably the best decision to use the addressing mode used by the target bus (for example, byte addressing for AXI or word addressing for Wishbone). Another option is providing a compiler flag or parameter to specify the addressing mode during the compiler call. However, in the case of a compiler frontend implementation, it is recommended to use word addressing with a word width equal to the bus width. As it is not known whether the compiler backend will use the word or byte addressing, using the word addressing in the compiler frontend is usually a more straightforward approach, as the byte addresses are word addresses multiplied by the number of bytes in the single word.

## **3.4. Positive logic**

The FBDL uses only positive logic. An active level in positive logic is a high level (binary 1), and an active edge is a rising edge (transition from low level to high level, from binary 0 to binary 1). It does not mean that FBDL cannot be used with external components using negative logic. To connect external negative logic components to the generated FBDL positive logic components, one shall negate the signals at the interface connection level. Supporting both positive and negative logic would unnecessarily complex the language and would create a second way for solving the same problem making the set of possible solutions non-orthogonal.

## **3.5. Domain-specific language**

The FBDL is a domain-specific language with its own syntax. Some of the register-centric tools are built on top of standard file formats or markup languages such as JSON, TOML, XML or YAML. Such an approach allows for fast prototyping and has a lower entry threshold. However, it becomes a burden when more conceptually advanced features, for example parametrization, have to be supported. The description quickly begins to gain in volume, and the overall feeling is it is needlessly verbose. What is more, having its own adjusted language syntax allows for more informative compiler error messages.

## **4. Lexical elements**

FBDL has following types of lexical tokens:

- comment,
- identifier.
- indent,
- keyword,
- literal.
- newline.

### **4.1. Comments**

There is only a single type of comment, a *single-line comment*. A single-line comment starts with the '#' character and extends up to the end of the line. A single-line comment can appear on any line of an FBDL file and may contain any character, including glyphs and special characters. The presence or absence of comments has no influence on whether a description is legal or illegal. Their sole purpose is to enlighten the human reader.

#### **4.1.1. Documentation comments**

Documentation comments are comments that appear immediately before constant definitions, type definitions, and functionality instantiations with no intervening newlines. The following code shows examples of documentation comments:

```
# Number of receivers
const RECEIVERS_COUNT = 7
Main bus
    # Data receivers
    Receivers [RECEIVERS_COUNT]block
        # 0 disable receiver, 1 enable receiver
        Enable config; width = 1
        # Number of frames in the buffer
        Frame_Count status
        # Read_Frame reads single data frame
        Read_Frame proc
            data [4]return; width = 8
```
## **4.2. Identifiers**

Identifiers are used as names. An identifier shall start with a letter.

uppercase\_letter ::= **A** | **B** | **C** | **D** | **E** | **F** | **G** | **H** | **I** | **J** | **K** | **L** | **M** | **N** | **O** | **P** | **R** | **S** | **T** | **U** | **V** | **W** | **X** | **Y** | **Z** lowercase\_letter ::= **a** | **b** | **c** | **d** | **e** | **f** | **g** | **h** | **i** | **j** | **k** | **l** | **m** | **n** | **o** | **p** | **r** | **s** | **t** | **u** | **v** | **w** | **x** | **y** | **z** letter ::= uppercase letter | lowercase letter letter\_or\_digit ::= letter | decimal\_digit identifier ::= letter { underscore | letter\_or\_digit }
FBDL Specification 13 Rev. 1.0

Following code contains some valid and invalid identifiers.

```
const C_20 = 20 # Valid
const _C20 = 20 # Invalid
Main bus
    cfg1 config # Valid
    1cfg config # Invalid
```
### **4.2.1. Declared identifier**

Declared identifier is used for any occurrence of an identifier that already denotes some declared item.

```
declared identifier ::= letter { underscore | letter or digit }
```
### **4.2.2. Qualified identifier**

The qualified identifier is used to reference a symbol from foreign package.

```
qualified_identifier ::= declared_identifier.declared_identifier
```
The first declared identifier denotes the package, and the second one denotes the symbol from this package.

### **4.3. Indent**

The indentation has semantics meaning in the FBDL. There is only a single indent character, the horizontal tab (U+0009). It is hard to express the indent and dedent using BNF. Ident is the increase of the indentation level, and dedent is the decrease of the indentation level. In the following code the indent happens in the lines number 2, 5 and 7, and the dedent happens in the line number 4. What is more, double dedent happens at the EOF. The number of indents always equals the number of dedents in the syntactically and semantically correct file.

```
1: type cfg_t config
2: atomic = false
3: width = 64
4: Main bus
5: C cfg_t
6: Blk block
7: C cfg_t
8: S status
```
Not only the indent alignment is important, but also its level. In the following code the first type definition is correct, as the indent level for the definition body is increased by one. The second type definition is incorrect, even though the indent within the definition body is aligned, as the indent level is increased by two.

```
# Valid indent
type cfg1_t config
    atomic = false
    width = 8# Invalid indent, indent increased by two
type cfg2_t config
        atomic = false
        width = 8
```
### **4.4. Keywords**

FBDL has following keywords: **atomic, block, bus, clear, config, const, doc, false, import, init-value, irq, mask, memory, param, proc, range, reset, read-value, reset-value, return, static, stream, true, type, in-trigger, out-**

### **trigger**.

Keywords can be used as identifiers with one exception. Keywords denoting built-in types (functionalities) cannot be used as identifiers for custom types.

## **4.5. Literals**

### **4.5.1. Bool literals**

```
bool_literal ::= false | true
4.5.2. Number literals
underscore ::= _
zero_digit ::= 0
non_zero_decimal_digit ::= 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
decimal_digit ::= zero_digit | non_zero_decimal_digit
binary_base ::= 0B | 0b
binary_digit ::= 0 | 1
octal_base ::= 0O | 0o
octal_digit ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7
hex_base ::= 0X \mid 0xhex_digit ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
    A | a | B | b | C | c | D | d | E | e | F | f
```
### **4.5.3. Integer literals**

```
integer_literal ::=
    binary_literal |
    octal_literal |
    decimal_literal |
    hex_literal
```

```
binary_literal ::= binary_base binary_digit {[underscore] binary_digit}
octal_literal ::= octal_base octal_digit {[underscore] octal_digit}
decimal_literal ::= non_zero_decimal_digit {[underscore] decimal_digit}
hex_literal ::= hex_base hex_digit {[underscore] hex_digit}
```
### **4.5.4. Real literals**

The real literals shall be represented as described by IEEE Std 754, an IEEE standard for double-precision floatingpoint numbers.

FBDL Specification 15 Rev. 1.0

Real numbers can be specified in either decimal notation (for example, 17.83) or in scientific notation (for example, 13e8, which indicates 13 multiplied by 10 to the eighth power). Real numbers expressed with a decimal point shall have at least one digit on each side of the decimal point.

### **4.5.5. String literals**

A string literal is a sequence of zero or more UTF-8 characters enclosed by double quotes ("").

```
string_literal ::= "{UTF-8 character}"
```
### **4.5.6. Bit string literals**

A bit string literal is a sequence of zero or more digit or meta value characters enclosed by double quotes ("") and preceded by a base specifier. The meta value characters are supported because of hardware description languages, that also have a concept of metalogical values.

meta\_character ::= **-** | **U** | **W** | **X** | **Z**

The meta characters have following meaning:

- $\bullet$   $\cdot$   $\cdot$   $\cdot$  don't care.
- 'U' uninitialized,
- 'W' weak unknown,
- $X'$  unkown,
- 'Z' high-impedance state.

binary or meta ::= binary digit | meta character

octal\_or\_meta ::= octal\_digit | meta\_character

```
hex_or_meta ::= hex_digit | meta_character
```
There are three types of bit string literals: binary bit string literal, octal bit string literal and hex bit stirng literal.

```
bit_string_literal ::=
   binary_bit_string_literal |
    octal_bit_string_literal |
   hex_bit_string_literal
binary bit string base = B | b
binary_bit_string_literal = binary_bit_string_base "{binary_or_meta}"
octal_bit_string_base = O | o
octal_bit_string_literal = octal_bit_string_base "{octal_or_meta}"
hex_bit_string_base = X | x
hex_bit_string_literal = hex_bit_string_base "{hex_or_meta}"
```
If meta value is present in a bit string literal, then it is expanded to the proper width depending on the bit string base. For example, following equations are true:

o"XW" = b"XXXWWW"  $x"U-" = b"UUUU---"$ 

## **4.5.7. Time literals**

A time literal is a sequence of integer literal and a time unit.

time\_unit ::= **ns** | **us** | **ms** | **s**

time\_literal ::= integer\_literal time\_unit

Time literals are used to create values of time data type, required for example by the delay property.

FBDL Specification 17 Rev. 1.0

# **5. Data types**

There are 6 data types in FBDL:

- bit string,
- bool,
- integer,
- real.
- string,
- time.

Types are implicit and are not declared. The type of the value evaluated from an expression must be checked before any assignment or comparison. If there is a type mismatch that can be resolved with implicit rules, then it shall be resolved. In case of a type mismatch that cannot be resolved, an error must be reported by the compiler.

Conversion from bool to integer in expressions is implicit. Conversion from integer to real in expressions is implicit. Conversion from real to integer can be implicit if there is no fractional part. If fractional part is present, then conversion from real to integer must be explicit and must be done by calling any function returning integer type, for example ceil(), floor().

The below picture presents a graph of possible implicit conversions between different data types.



## **5.1. Bit string**

The value of the bit string type is used for all **\*-value** properties. It might be created explicitly using the bit string literal or it might be converted implicitly from the value of integer type. The only way to create a bit string value containing meta values is to explicitly use the bit string literal.

The below table presents unary negation operation results applied to possible bit string data type values.



Z Z

Below tables present binary operation results applied to possible bit string data type values.



Bit string binary bitwise or (|) resolution



Bit string binary bitwise xor (ˆ) resolution



### **5.2. Bool**

The value of the bool type can be created explicitly using true or false literals. The value of the bool type shall be implicitly converted to the value of the integer type in places where the value of the integer type is required. The boolean false value shall be converted to the integer value 0. The boolean true value shall be converted to the integer value 1. In the following example, the value of I1 evaluates to 1, and the value of I2 evaluates to 2.



The bool - integer conversion is asymmetric. Implicit conversion of a value of the integer type to a value of the bool type is forbidden. This is becuase values of the bool type are often used to count the number of elements or to arbitrarily enable/disable an element generation. However, a value of the integer type appearing in a place where a value of the bool type is required is usually a sign of a mistake. To convert a value of the integer type to a value of the bool type the built-in **bool**() function must be called.

FBDL Specification 19 Rev. 1.0

# **5.3. Integer**

The integer data type is always signed integer and must be at least 64 bits wide.

## **5.4. Real**

The real data type is 64 bits IEEE 754 double precision floating-point type.

## **5.5. String**

The string data type can only be created explicitly using a string literal. The string data type is only used for setting values of some properties, for example groups.

# **5.6. Time**

The time data type is only used for assigning value to the properties expressed in time. The value of time type can be created explicitly using the time literal. Values of time type can be added regardless of their time units. Values of the time type can also be multiplied by values of the integer type. All of the below property assignments are valid.

**delay** = 1 **s** + 1 **ms** + 1 **us** + 1 **ns delay** = 5 \* 60 **s** *# Sleep for 5 minutes.* **delay** = 10 **ms** \* 4 + 7 \* 8 **us**

# **6. Expressions**

An expression is a formula that defines the computation of a value by applying operators and functions to operands.

```
expression ::=
    bool_literal |
    integer_literal |
    real_literal |
    string literal |
    bit_string_literal |
    time literal |
    declared_identifier |
    qualified_identifier |
    unary_operation |
    binary operation |
    function_call |
    subscript |
    parenthesized_expression |
    expression_list
```
The function call is used to call one of built-in functions.

```
function_call ::=
    declared_identifier( [ expression { , expression } ] )
```
The subscript is used to refer to a particular element from the expression list.

```
subscript ::= declared_identifier[ expression ]
```
The parenthesized expression may be used to explicitly set order of operations.

```
parenthesized_expression ::= ( expression )
```
The expression list may be used to create a list of expressions.

expression\_list ::= **[** [ expression { **,** expression } ] **]**

## **6.1. Operators**

### **6.1.1. Unary Operators**

unary operation  $::=$  unary operator expression

unary\_operator ::= unary\_arithmetic\_operator | unary\_bitwise\_operator

unary\_arithmetic\_operator ::= **-**

unary\_bitwise\_operator ::= **!**



FBDL Specification 21 Rev. 1.0



### **6.1.2. Binary Operators**

```
binary operation ::= expression binary operator expression
```

```
binary_operator ::=
    binary_arithmetic_operator |
    binary_comparison_operator |
    binary_logical_operator |
    binary_bitwise_operator
binary_arithmetic_operator ::= + | - | * | / | % | **
binary_comparison_operator ::= == | != | < | <= | > | >=
binary_logical_operator ::= && | ||
```

```
binary_bitwise_operator ::= << | >>
```
FBDL binary arithmetic operators

<b>Token</b>	<b>Operation</b>	<b>Left Operand Type</b>	<b>Right Operand Type</b>	<b>Result Type</b>
		Integer	Integer	Integer
		Integer	Real	Real
$+$	Addition	Real	Integer	Real
		Real	Real	Real
		Time	Time	Time
		Integer	Integer	Integer
	Subtraction	Integer	Real	Real
		Real	Integer	Real
		Real	Real	Real
		Integer	Integer	Integer
		Integer	Real	Real
$\star$	Multiplication	Real	Integer	Real
		Real	Real	Real
		Integer	Time	Time
		Time	Integer	Time
		Integer	Integer	Real
	<b>Division</b>	Integer	Real	Real
		Integer	Real	Real
		Real	Real	Real
⊱	Remainder	Integer	Integer	Integer
		Integer	Integer	Real
$***$	Exponentiation	Integer	Real	Real
		Real	Integer	Real

### FBDL binary comparison operators





#### FBDL binary logical operators



### FBDL binary bitwise operators



The bool data type is not valid operand type for the most of the binary operations. However, as there is the rule for implicit conversion from the bool data type to the integer data type, all operations accepting the integer operands work also for the bool operands.

## **6.2. Functions**

The FBDL does not allow defining custom functions for value computations. However, FBDL has following built-in functions:

```
abs(x integer|real) integer|real
```
The abs function returns the absolute value of x.

```
bool(x integer) bool
```
The bool function returns a value of the bool type converted from a value  $x$  of the integer type. If  $x$  equals 0, then the false is returned. In all other cases the true is returned.

```
ceil(x float) integer
```
The ceil function returns the least integer value greater than or equal to x.

**floor**(x float) integer The floor function returns the greatest integer value less than or equal to.

**log2**(x float) integer|float The  $log2$  returns the binary logarithm of x.

**log10**(x float) integer|float The  $log10$  returns the decimal logarithm of x.

**log**(x, b float) integer|float The  $log$  function returns the logarithm of  $x$  to the base b.

**u2**(x, w integer) integer

The u2 function returns two's complement representation of x as an integer assuming width w. For example u2(-1, 8) returns 255.

# **7. Functionalities**

Functionalities are the core part of the FBDL. They define the capabilities of the provider. Each functionality is distinct and unambiguously defines the provider behavior and the interface that must be generated for the requester. There are following 12 functionalities:

1) blackbox,

- 2) block,
- 3) bus,
- 4) config,
- 5) irq,
- 6) mask,
- 7) memory,
- 8) param,
- 9) proc,
- 10) return,
- 11) static,
- 12) status,
- 13) stream.

### **7.1. Blackbox**

The blackbox functionality is used to incorporate blocks implemented manually or generated by external tools. For example, a user may want to explicitly manage some particular registers' layouts. In such a case, a register-centric tool might be used, and the generated block can be incorporated into the wrapping functionality-centric description using the blackbox functionality.

The blackbox functionality has following properties:

```
size integer (obligatory)
```
The size property defines size of the blackbox in the number of words with width equal to the width property value of the block in which blackbox is defined.

The code generated for the requester should not provide any means for accessing the blackbox. The code generated for the provider must provide a means to connect the blackbox to the remaining part of the bus generated by an FBDL compiler.

## **7.2. Block**

The block functionality is used to logically group or encapsulate functionalities. The block is usually used to separate functionalities related to particular peripherals such as UART, I2C transceivers, timers, ADCs, DACs etc. The block might also be used to limit the access for particular provider to only a subset of functionalities.

The block functionality has following properties:

```
masters integer (1)
```
The masters property defines the number of block masters.

```
reset string (None)
```
The reset property defines the block reset type. By default the block has no reset. Valid values of the reset property are *"Sync"* for synchronous reset and *"Async"* for asynchronous reset.

The following example presents how to limit the scope of access for particular requester.

```
Main bus
    C config
    Blk block
        masters = 2
         S status
```
The logical connection of the system components may look as follows:



The requester number 1 can acces both config C and status S. However, the requester number 2 can access only the status S.

## **7.3. Bus**

The bus functionality represents the bus structure. Every valid description must have at least one bus instantiated, as the bus is the entry point for the description used for the code generation.

The bus functionality has following properties:

```
masters integer (1)
```
The masters property defines the number of bus masters.

```
reset string (None)
```
The reset property defines the bus reset type. By default the bus has no reset. Valid values of the reset property are *"Sync"* for synchronous reset and *"Async"* for asynchronous reset.

```
width integer (32)
```
The width property defines the bus data width.

The bus address width is not explicitly set, as it implies from the address space size needed to pack all functionalities included in the Main bus description.

## **7.4. Config**

The configurationality represents configuration data. The configuration data is data that is automatically read by the provider from its registers. As the config is automatically read by the provider, there is no need for an

additional signal associated with the config, indicating the config write by the requester. By default, a config can be written and read by the requester.

The config functionality has following properties:

```
atomic bool (true)
```
The atomic property defines whether an access to the config must be atomic. If atomic is true, then the provider must guarantee that any change of the config value, triggered by the requester write, is seen as an atomic change by the other modules of the provider. This is especially important when the config spans more than single register, as in case of single register write the change is always atomic.

```
groups string | [string] (None)
```
The groups property defines the groups the config belongs to. In case of a single group, the value can be a string. In case of multiple groups the value shall be a list of strings. Groups are thoroughly described in the grouping section.

**init-value** bit string | integer (uninitialized) The init-value property defines the initial value of the config.

**range** integer | [integer] (None)

The range property defines the range of valid values. If the range value is of integer type then, the valid range is from 0 to the value, including the value. If the range value is an integer list, then it must have even number of elements. Odd elements specify lower bounds of the subranges and even elements specify upper bounds of the subranges. For instance, range = [1, 3, 7, 8] means that the valid values are: 1, 2, 3, 7 and 8. Range bound values shall not be negative. This is because the FBDL makes no assumptions on the negative values encoding. To accomplish negative range checks functions such as u2 must be explicitly called. For example, following assignment limits the possible range from -16 to -8:  $r$  ange = [u2(-8, 8), u2(-16, 8)]. The range property shall not be explicitly set if the width property is already set. If the range property is not set, then the actual range implies from the width property. The code generated for the provider is not required to check or report if the value provided for the config write is within the valid range. The recommended way is to implement compiler parameter allowing enabling/disabling range check generation.

**read-value** bit string | integer (None)

The read-value property defines the value returned by the provider on the config read. If the read-value is not set, then the provider must return the actual value of the config.

```
reset-value bit string | integer (None)
```
The reset-value property defines the value of the config after the reset. If the reset-value is set, but a bus or block containing the config is not resettable (reset = None), then the compiler shall report an error.

**width** integer (bus width)

The width property defines the bit width of the config. The width property shall not be explicitly set if the range property is already set.

The code generated for the requester must provide means for writing and reading the config.

## **7.5. Irq**

The irq functionality represents an interrupt handling. The irq functionality allows for automatic connection of the following interrupt producers (in-trigger) and consumers (out-trigger):

1) edge producer and edge sensitive consumer,



#### FBDL Specification 27 Rev. 1.0

2) edge producer and level sensitive consumer,



3) level producer and edge sensitive consumer,



4) level producer and level sensitive consumer.



The  $irq$  functionality has following properties:

#### **add-enable** bool (**false**)

The add-enable property defines whether an interrupt has associated enable bit in the interrupt enable register. The enable can be used to mask the interrupt.

#### **clear** string (*"Explicit"*)

The clear property defines how particular interrupt flag is cleared. The clear property is valid only in case of level-triggered interrupt consumer. If clear property is set for edge-triggered interrupt consumer a compiler shall shall report an error. Valid values are *"Explicit"* and *"On Read"*. The *"Explicit"* clear requires compiler to generate a means that must be explicitly used to clear the interrupt flag. The *"On Read"* clear requires the provider to clear the interrupt flag on each interrupt flag read.

#### **enable-init-value** bit string | integer (uninitializd)

The enable-init-value property defines the initial value of the enable bit in the interrupt enable register. The value must not exceed one bit. If add-enable is false and enable-init-value is set, then a compiler must report an error.

#### **enable-reset-value** bit string | integer (uninitializd)

The enable-reset-value property defines the value of the enable bit in the interrupt enable register after the reset. The value must not exceed one bit. If add-enable is false and enable-reset-value is set, then a compiler must report an error. If the enable-reset-value is set, but a bus or block containing the  $irq$  is not resettable (reset = None), then the compiler shall report an error.

```
groups string | [string] (None)
```
The groups property defines the group for irq. Each irq must belong at most to one group. Interrupt groups are described in irq grouping subsection.

```
in-trigger string ("Level")
```
The in-trigger property declares the interrupt producer type of trigger. Valid values are *"Edge"* and *"Level"*. It is up to the user to make sure declared trigger is coherent with the actual producer behavior. A mismatch may lead to incorrect behavior.

```
out-trigger string ("Level")
```
The out-trigger property declares the interrupt consumer type of trigger. Valid values are *"Edge"* and

*"Level"*. It is up to the user to make sure declared trigger is coherent with the actual consumer requirement. A mismatch may lead to incorrect behavior.

### **7.6. Mask**

The mask functionality represents a bit mask. The mask is data that is automatically read by the provider from its registers. By default, a mask can be written and read by the requester. The mask is very similar to the config. The difference is that the config is value-oriented, whereas the mask is bit-oriented. From the provider's perspective the mask and the config are the same. From the requester's perspective the code generated for interacting with the mask and the config is different.

The mask functionality has following properties:

```
atomic bool (true)
```
The atomic property defines whether an access to the mask must be atomic. If atomic is true, then the provider must guarantee that any change of the mask value, triggered by the requester write, is seen as an atomic change by the other modules of the provider. This is especially important when the mask spans more than single register, as in case of single register write the change is always atomic.

```
init-value bit string | integer (uninitialized)
  The init-value property defines the initial value of the mask.
```

```
read-value bit string | integer (None)
```
The read-value property defines the value returned by the provider on the mask read. If the read-value is not set, then the provider must return the actual value of the mask.

```
reset-value bit string | integer (None)
```
The reset-value property defines the value of the mask after the reset. If the reset-value is set, but a bus or block containing the mask is not resettable (reset = None), then the compiler shall report an error.

```
width integer (bus width)
```
The width property defines the bit width of the mask.

The code generated for the requester must provide means for setting, clearing and updating particular bits of the mask. The updating includes setting, clearing and toggling. The set differs from the update set. The set sets particular bits and simultaneously clears all remaining bits. The update set sets particular bits and keeps the value of the remaining bits. The clear differs from the update clear in an analogous way. The toggle always works on provided bits leaving the remaining bits untouched.

### **7.7. Memory**

The memory functionality is used to directly connect and map an external memory to the generated bus address space. A memory can also be connected to the bus using the proc or stream functionality. However, using the memory functionality usually leads to greater throughput, but increases the size of the generated address space.

The memory functionality has following properties:

```
access string ("Read Write")
```
The access property declares the valid access permissions to the memory for the requester. Valid values of the access property are: *"Read Write"*, *"Read Only"*, *"Write Only"*.

```
byte-write-enable bool (false)
```
The byte-write-enable property declares byte-enable writes, that update the memory on contents on a byte-to-byte basis. If the byte-write-enable property is explicitly set by a user, and a memory access is *"Read Only"*, then a compiler shall report an error.

### **read-latency** integer (obligatory if access supports read)

The read-latency property declares the read latency in the number of clock cycles. It is required, if a memory supports read access, to correcly implement read logic.

```
size integer (obligatory)
```
The size property declares the memory size. The size is in the number of memory words with width equal to the memory width property value.

**width** integer (bus width)

The width property declares the memory data width.

The code generated for the requester must provide means for single read/write and block read/write transactions. Whether access means for vectored (scatter-gather) transactions are automatically generated is up to the compiler. If memory is read-only or write-only, then an unsupported write or read access code is recommended not to be generated.

### **7.8. Param**

The param functionality is an inner functionality of the proc and stream functionalities. It represents a data fed to a procedure or streamed by a downstream.

The param functionality has following properties:

```
groups string | [string] (None)
```
The groups property defines the groups the param belongs to. In case of a single group, the value can be a string. In case of multiple groups the value shall be a list of strings. Groups are thoroughly described in the grouping section.

```
range integer | [integer] (None)
```
The range property defines the range of valid values. The range property on param behaves exactly the same as the range property on config.

```
width integer (bus width)
```
The width property defines the bit width of the param.

Following example presents the definition of a downstream with three parameters.

```
Sum_Reduce stream
    type param_t param; width = 16
    a param_t
    b param_t
    c param_t
```
## **7.9. Proc**

The proc functionality represents a procedure called by the requester and carried out by the provider. The proc functionality might contain param and return functionalities. Params are procedure parameters and returns represent data returned from the procedure.

The proc has associated signals at the provider side, the call signal and the exit signal. The call signal must be driven active for one clock cycle after all registers storing the parameters have been written. The exit signal must be driven active for one clock cycle after all registers storing the returns have been read. An empty proc (proc without params and returns) by default has only the call signal. However, if an empty proc has the delay property set, then it has both the call signal and the exit signal. A proc having only parameters has by default only the call signal. However, if a proc having only parameters has the delay property set, then it also has the exit signal. A proc having only returns has by default only the exit signal. However, if a proc having only returns has the delay property set, then it also has the call signal. The existence or absence of call and exit signals is summarized in the below table.

FIGURE CALL SIGNALS OCCULTENCE								
<b>Delay Set</b> $\parallel$				<b>Empty</b>   Only Params   Only Returns   Params & Returns				
No	call	call	exit	call & exit				

Proc call and exit signals occurrence

Yes  $\parallel$  call & exit  $\parallel$  call & exit  $\parallel$  call & exit call & exit

The proc functionality has following properties:

```
delay time (None)
```
The delay property defines the time delay between parameters write end and returns read start.

The code generated for the requester must provide a mean for calling the procedure.

## **7.10. Return**

The return functionality is an inner functionality of the proc and stream functionalities. It represents data returned by a procedure or streamed by an upstream.

The return functionality has following properties:

```
groups string | [string] (None)
```
The groups property defines the groups the return belongs to. In case of a single group, the value can be a string. In case of multiple groups the value shall be a list of strings. Groups are thoroughly described in the grouping section.

```
width integer (bus width)
```
The width property defines the bit width of the return.

The following example presents the definition of a procedure returning 4 element byte array, and a single bit flag indicating whether the data is valid.

```
Read_Data proc
    data [4]return; width = 8
    valid return; width = 1
```
# **7.11. Static**

The static functionality represents data, placed at the provider side, that shall never change.

The static functionality has following properties:

```
groups string | [string] (None)
```
The groups property defines the groups the static belongs to. In case of a single group, the value can be a string. In case of multiple groups the value shall be a list of strings. Groups are thoroughly described in the grouping section.

**init-value** bit string | integer (obligatory) The init-value property defines the initial value of the static.

```
read-value bit string | integer (None)
```
The read-value property defines the value that must be returned by the provider on the static read after the first read. If the read-value property is set, then the actual value of the static can be read only once.

```
reset-value bit string | integer (None)
```
The reset-value property defines the value of the static after the reset. If the reset-value is set, but a bus or block containing the static is not resettable (reset = None), then the compiler shall report an error. If both read-value and reset-value properties are set, then the static can be read one more time after the reset.

**width** integer (bus width)

The width property defines the bit width of the static.

The static functionality may be used for example for versioning, bus id, bus generation timestamp or for storing secrets, that shall be read only once. Example:

```
Secret static
    width = <math>C8</math>init-value = C113
     read-value = 0xFF
```
### **7.12. Status**

The status represents data that is produced by the provider and is only read by the requester.

The status functionality has following properties:

```
atomic bool (true)
```
The atomic property defines whether an access to the status must be atomic. If atomic is true, then the provider must guarantee that any change of the status value is seen as an atomic change by the requester. This is especially important when the status spans more than single register, as in case of single register read the change is always atomic.

```
groups string | [string] (None)
```
The groups property defines the groups the status belongs to. In case of a single group, the value can be a string. In case of multiple groups the value shall be a list of strings. Groups are thoroughly described in the grouping section.

```
read-value bit string | integer (None)
```
The read-value property defines the value that must be returned by the provider on the status read after the first read. If the read-value property is set, then the actual value of the status can be read only once.

```
width integer (bus width)
```
The width property defines the bit width of the status.

The code generated for the requester must provide a mean for reading the status.

## **7.13. Stream**

The stream functionality represents a stream of data to a provider (downstream), or a stream of data from a provider (upstream). An empty stream (stream without any param or return) is always a downstream. It is useful for triggering cyclic action with constant time interval. A downstream must not have any return. An upstream shall not have any param, and must have at least one return.

The stream functionality is very similar to the proc functionality, but they are not the same. There are two main differences. The first one is that the stream must not contain both param and return. The second one is that the code for the stream, generated for the requester, shall take into account the fact that access to the stream is multiple and access to the proc is single. For example, lets consider the following bus description:

```
Main bus
    P proc
        p param
    S stream
        p param
```
The code generated for the requester, implemented in the C language, might include following function prototypes:

```
int Main_P(const uint32_t p);
int Main_S(const uint32_t * p, size_t count);
```
The stream has associated strobe signal at the provider side. The strobe signal must be driven active for one clock cycle after all registers storing the parameters of a downstream have been written. It also must be driven active for one clock cycle after all registers storing the returns of an upstream have been read.

The stream functionality has following properties.

#### **delay** time (None)

The delay property defines the time delay between writing/reading consecutive datasets for a downstream/upstream.

FBDL Specification 33 Rev. 1.0

# **8. Parametrization**

The FBDL provides the following three ways for description parametrization:

- constants,
- type definitions,
- types extending.

### **8.1. Constant**

The constant represents a constant value. The value might be used in expression evaluations. The following code presents a bus description with three functionalities, all having the same array dimensions and width.

```
Main width
    const ELEMENT_COUNT = 4
    const WIDTH = 8
    C [ELEMENT_COUNT]config; width = WIDTH
    M [ELEMENT_COUNT]mask; width = WIDTH
    S [ELEMENT_COUNT]status; width = WIDTH
```
Constants must be included in the generated code, both for the provider and for the requester. This allows for having a single source of the constant value.

A constant can be defined in a single line in the single-line constant definition or as a part of the multi-constant definition.

```
single_constant_definition ::= const identifier = expression newline
```
Examples of single constant definition:

```
const WIDTH = 16
    const FOO = 8 * BAR
    const LIST = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
multi_constant_definition ::=
    const newline
    indent
    identifier = expression newline
    { identifier = expression newline }
    dedent
```
Examples of multi-constant definition:

```
const
    WIDTH = 16FOO = 8 * BARLIST = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]const
    ONE = 1TWO = ONE + 1THREE = TWO + 1
```
### **8.2. Type definition**

The type definition allows for defining custom functionalities. Any custom functionality resolves to one of the builtin functionalities. However, by defining custom functionality types it is possible to preset property values or to create easily parametrizable functionalities. The former leads to shorter descriptions and helps to avoid duplication.

```
type_definition ::=
    single_line_type_definition |
    multi_line_type_definition
single_line_type_definition ::=
    type
    identifier
    [ parameter_list ]
    [ array_marker ]
    declared_identifier | qualified identifier
    [ argument_list ]
    semicolon_and_property_assignments | newline
multi_line_type_definition ::=
    type
    identifier
    [ parameter_list ]
    [ array marker ]
    declared_identifier | qualified identifier
    [ argument_list ]
    functionality_body
parameter_list ::= ( parameters )
parameters ::= parameter { , parameter }
parameter ::= identifier [ = expression ]
```
Parameters in the parameter list might have default values, but parameters with the default values must prepend parameters without default values in the parameter list.

```
argument_list ::= ( arguments )
arguments ::= argument { , argument }
argument ::= [ declared_identifier = ] expression
```
Arguments in the argument list may be prepended with the parameter name. However, arguments with parameter names must prepend arguments without parameter names in the argument list.

The below snippet presents examples of type definitions.

```
# Single line type definition
type cfg_t(w = 10) config; width = w; groups = "configs"
# Multi line type definition
type blk_t(with_status = true, mask_count) block
    S [with_status]status
    M [mask_count]mask
Main bus
    type irq_t irq; groups = "irq"
    I1 irq_t
    I2 irq_t
    C1 cfg_t
```

```
C2 cfg_t(6)C3 cfg_t(width = 8)Blk1 blk t(7)Blk2 blk_t(with_status = false, mask_count = 11)
```
## **8.3. Type extending**

The type extending allows extending any custom defined type, either by instantiation or by defining a new type. This is mainly, but not only, useful when there are similar blocks with only slightly different set of functionalities.

Example:

```
type blk_common_t block
    C1 config
    M1 mask
    S1 status
Main bus
    Blk_C blk_common_t
        C2 config
    Blk_M blk_common_t
        M2 mask
    Blk_S blk_common_t
        S2 status
```
This description is equivalent to the following description:

```
type blk_common_t block
    C1 config
    M1 mask
    S1 status
type blk_C_t blk_common_t
    C2 config
type blk_M_t blk_common_t
    M2 mask
type blk_S_t blk_common_t
    S2 status
Main bus
    Blk_C blk_C_t
    Blk_M blk_M_t
    Blk_S blk_S_t
```
The type nesting has no depth limit. However, no property already set in one of the ancestor types can be overwritten. Also no symbol identifier defined in one of the ancestor types can be redefined.

# **9. Scope and visibility**

### **9.1. Import and package system**

The FBDL has a concept of packages and allows importing packages into the file scope using the import statements. A package consists of files with .fbd extension placed in the same directory. A package must have at least one file and shall not be placed in more than a single directory. A package is uniquely identified by its path. The name of a package is equivalent to the last part of its path. That is, it is the same as the name of the directory containing package files. However, if the package directory name starts with the "fbd-" prefix, then the prefix is not included in the package name. For example, two packages with following paths foo/bar/uart and baz/zaz/fbd-uart have exactly the same name uart.

A package can be imported in a single line using the single-line import statement or as a part of the multi-import statement.

```
single_import_statement ::= import [ identifier ] string_literal
```
Examples of single import statement:

```
import "uart"
    import spi "custom_spi"
multi_import_statement ::=
    import newline
    indent
    [ identifier ] string literal
    { [ identifier ] string_literal }
    dedent
```
Example of multi import statement:

**import** *"uart"* spi *"custom\_spi"*

The string literal is the path of the package. The path might not be complete, but shall be unambiguous. For example, if two paths are visible by the import statement (*"foo/bar/uart"* and *"baz/zaz/uart"*), and both ends with *"uart*", then *"uart"* path is ambiguous, but *"bar/uart"* and *"zaz/uart"* are not.

The optional identifier is an identifier that shall denote the imported package within the importing file. If the identifier is omitted, then the implicit identifier for the package is the last part of its path.

### **9.1.1. Package discovery**

Each FBDL compiler is required to carry out the package auto-discovery procedure. The procedure must obey following rules.

- 1) If the compiler working directory contains a directory named "fbd", then each of the "fbd" subdirectories is considered a package directory if it contains at least one file with the ".fbd" extension. The name of the package is the same as the name of the subdirectory, unless it has "fbd-" prefix. In such a case, the prefix shall be removed from the package name. If the name of the subdirectory matches exactly the "fbd-" pattern, then a compiler must report an error on an invalid package name.
- 2) The compiler must recursively check all subdirectories of its working path (except the "fbd" directory in the working directory that is described in rule number 1). Each subdirectory with a name starting with the "fbd-" prefix is considered a package directory if it contains at least one file with the ".fbd" extension. If the name of the subdirectory matches exactly the "fbd-" pattern, then a compiler must report an error on an invalid package name.

3) The compiler must recursively check all subdirectories of the paths defined in the FBDPATH environment variable. The variable may contain multiple paths separated by the ':' (colon) character. Each subdirectory with a name starting with the "fbd-" prefix is considered a package directory if it contains at least one file with the ".fbd" extension. If the name of the subdirectory matches exactly the "fbd-" pattern, then a compiler must report an error on an invalid package name.

Compilers are also free to have their own parameters allowing to provide extra paths to look for packages. The below snippet presents a tree of example working directory.

```
|-- externals
    ' -- bar| |-- fbd-bar
         | | '-- bar.fbd
         ' -- yw| '-- bar.vhd
|-- fbd
    | |-- fbd-pkg1
        ' -- a.fbd| |-- not-a-pkg
        ' -- c.txt' -- plus 2| '-- b.fbd
 |-- gw
    | |-- modules
    \vert \vert -- a.vhd
        ' -- b. vhd| '-- top.vhd
y = - sw
    '-- foo.py
```
In this case each FBDL compilant compiler must automatically discover following three packages:

- bar path "./externals/bar/fbd-bar",
- pkg1 path "./fbd/fbd-pkg1",
- pkg2 path "./fbd/pkg2".

## **9.2. Scope rules**

The following elements define a new scope in the FBDL:

- package,
- type definition,
- functionality instantiation.

The following example presents all scopes.

```
const WIDTH = 16
const WIDTHx2 = WIDTH * 2
Main bus
    width = WIDTH
    const C20 = 20
    Blk block
        const C30 = 30
        type cfg_t(WIDTH = WIDTH) config
            atomic = false
```

```
width = WIDTH
Cfg16 cfg_t
Cfg20 cfg_t(C20)Cfg30 cfg_t(C30)
```
The WIDTH constant has package scope, and it is visible at the package level, in the Main bus instantiation and in the Blk block instantiation. It would also be visible in the cfg\_t type definition. However, the cfg\_t type has the parameter with the same name WIDTH. As a result, only the WIDTH parameter is visible within the type definition. The WIDTH parameter has a default value that equals 16. This is because at this point the name WIDTH denotes the package level WIDTH constant. Type parameters are visible inside the type definition, but not in the type parameter list. The Cfg16 is thus a non-atomic config of width 16, the Cfg20 is a non-atomic config of width 20 and the Cfg30 is a non-atomic config of width 30.

FBDL Specification 39 Rev. 1.0

## **10. Grouping**

Grouping is a feature of the FBDL used to inform a compiler that particular functionalities might be accessed together, and their register location must meet additional constraints. This is achieved using the groups property. The following functionalities can be grouped: config, irq, mask, static, status. A functionality may belong to multiple groups (except  $i \tau q$ ), and groups must be registerified in the order they appear in the group lists. The following snippet presents three grouped configs.

```
Main bus
    type cfg_t; width = 8; groups = ["group"]
    A cfg_t
    B cfg_t
    C cfg_t
```
Any FBDL compliant compiler must place all three configs (A, B, C) in the same register.

### **10.1. Single register groups**

The single register groups are groups of elements that fit a single register. The overall width of all functionalities is not greater than the single register width. In such a case, all functionalities must be placed in the same register. The specification does not impose any specific order of the functionalities within the register, and it is left to the compiler implementation. The following listing presents an example bus description with three single register groups.

```
Main bus
    C0 config; width = 16; groups = ["read_write_group"]
    M0 mask; width = 15; groups = ["read_write_group"]
    C1 config; width = 16; groups = ["mixed_group"]
    S11 static; width = 8; groups = ["mixed_group"]
    S12 status; width = 8; groups = ["mixed_group"]
    S21 status; width = 4; groups = ["read_only_group"]
    S22 status; width = 7; groups = ["read_only_group"]
```
All functionalities of the *"read\_write\_group"* can be both read and written. The code generated by a compiler for the requester must provide means for reading/writing the whole group as well as for reading/writing particular functionalities of the group.

The "mixed\_group" contains functionality that can be read and written (C1), as well as functionalities that can only be read (S11, S12). The code generated by a compiler for the requester must provide a means for reading all readable functionalities and writing all writable functionalities. It is valid even if the group has single readable or single writable functionality. The compiler must also generate means for reading/writing particular functionalities of the group. In the case of *"mixed\_group"* this will result in two means doing exactly the same (writing the C1 config). However, it is up to the user to decide which of the means should be used. If it makes sense, it is perfectly valid to use both of them in different contexts.

All functionalities of the *"read\_only\_group"* are read-only. In this case, the compiler must generate a mean only for reading the group. It must also generate means for reading particular functionalities.

### **10.2. Multi register groups**

The multi register groups are groups with functionalities that overall width is greater than the width of a single register. The specification does not impose any order of functionalities or registers in such cases, and it is left to the compiler implementation. However, the compiler must not split functionalities narrower or equal to the register width into multiple registers. This implies that any functionality with a width not greater than the register width is always read or written using single read or write access. The following snippet presents a bus description with one multi register group.



The compiler must generate code for the requester allowing to write all writable functionalities of the group as well as the code allowing reading all readable functionalities of the groups. It must also generate means for reading or writing particular functionalities.

There are multiple ways to place functionalities from the above example into registers. The following snippet presents one possible way.

```
Nth register Nth + 1 register
----------------------------- ----------------------
|| C | M | SC | 2 bits gap || || SS | 22 bits gap ||
            -1-\frac{1}{2} and -1-\frac{1}{2} and -1-\frac{1}{2} and -1-\frac{1}{2} and -1-\frac{1}{2} and -1-\frac{1}{2}
```
However, the above arrangement might not be optimal if there is a need to read both SC and SS at the same time as it would require reading two registers not a single one. The below listing presents how to group elements within the group using subgroups.

```
Main bus
    C config; width = 10; groups = ["csubgroup", "group"]
    M mask; width = 10; groups = ["csubgroup", "group"]
    SC static; width = 10; groups = ["ssubgroup", "group"]
    SS status; width = 10; groups = ["ssubgroup", "group"]
```
The set of possible functionalities placements within the registers is now limited as the groups are registerified in the order they appear. The below snippet shows a possible arrangement.

```
Nth register Nth + 1 register
------------------------- ---------------------------
|| C | M | 12 bits gap || || SC | SS | 12 bits gap ||
------------------------- ---------------------------
```
This time reading both SC and SS requires reading only one register, while reading the whole *"group"* still requires reading two registers.

### **10.3. Array groups**

The array groups are groups with all functionalities being arrays. The groups do not necessarily have the same number of elements.

The code generated by a compiler, for an array group, for the requester must provide a means for writing an arbitrary number of elements for all writable functionalities starting from an arbitrary index. It must also provide a mean for reading an arbitrary number of elements for all readable functionalities starting from an arbitrary index.

The specification does not define what happens on access to the elements with an index greater than the length of some arrays. This is because some of the target languages support special data types indicating that the value is absent (for example, None - Python, Option - Rust), while others use for this purpose completely valid values (0 - C, Go).

### **10.3.1. Single register array groups**

The single register array groups are array groups with overall single elements width not greater than the width of a single register. The below listing presents an example bus description with a single register array group.

```
Main bus
    type cfg_t config; width = 8; groups = "group"
```
FBDL Specification **41** Rev. 1.0

A [1]cfg\_t B [2]cfg\_t  $C$  [3]cfq t D [3]status; **width** = 8; **groups** = "*group*"

In the case of a single register array group all elements with corresponding indices must be placed in the same register. Elements with consecutive indexes must be placed in consecutive registers. The below snippet presents a possible arrangement of elements for the example bus.

Nth register ------------------------------- || D[0] | C[0] | B[0] | A[0] || ------------------------------- Nth + 1 register ------------------------------------- || D[1] | C[1] | B[1] | 8 bits gap || ------------------------------------- Nth + 2 register ------------------------------- || D[2] | C[2] | 16 bits gap || -------------------------------

### **10.3.2. Multi register array groups**

The single register array groups are array groups with overall single elements width greater than the width of a single register. The below listing presents an example bus description with a multi register array group.

```
Main bus
type cfg_t config; groups = "group"
    A [1]cfq t; width = 16
    B [2]cfg_t; width = 12
    C [2]cfq t; width = 12
```
In the case of multi register array group all elements with corresponding indices must be placed in consecutive registers. Also all elements with consecutive indexes must be placed in consecutive registers. Such a requirement guarantees that block access can always be used. The below snippet presents possible arrangement of elements for the example bus.



### **10.4. Mixed groups**

The mixed groups are groups with both single functionalities and array functionalities. The below listing presents an example bus description with a mixed group.

Main **bus** C **config**; **width** = 10; **groups** = *"group"* M **mask**; **width** = 7; **groups** = *"group"* S **status**; **width** = 8; **groups** = *"group"*

```
CA [3]config; width = 10; groups = "group"
SA [3]config; width = 12; groups = "group"
```
In case of mixed groups array functionalities shall be registerified as the first ones assuming a pure array group. Single functionalities shall be later placed in the gaps created during array registerification. If there are no gaps, or gaps are not wide enough, then all reamining single functionalities shall be registerified as single register group or multi register group. If the gaps are wide enough to place single functionalities there, but for some reason it is not desired, then subgroup can be defined to group single functionalities of the mixed group as the first ones. The below snippet presents a possible arrangement of elements for the example bus.

```
Nth register Nth + 1 register
  ----------------------- ------------------------------------
|| CA[0] | SA[0] | C || || CA[1] | SA[1] | M | 3 bits gap ||
----------------------- ------------------------------------
        Nth + 2 register
------------------------------------
|| CA[2] | SA[2] | S | 2 bits gap ||
------------------------------------
```
### **10.5. Virtual groups**

Virtual groups are groups that name starts with the underscore ('\_'), for example "*\_group*". Virtual groups are used to group functionalities without generating the group interface for the requester code.

## **10.6. Registerification order**

Groups must be registerified in the order they appear in the groups lists. A compiler must issue an error if the order of any groups is not the same in all groups lists. If the order is not unequivocal, then the compiler is free to choose the order. However, as the registerification results have to be deterministic and reproducible for a particular compiler, the order criterion has to be fixed in case of ambiguous order of groups. The most natural criteria are probably:

- Alphabetical order. Groups with ambiguous order are sorted alphabetically before registerification.
- Occurrence order. Groups with ambiguous order are registerified in parsing order. For example, if the order of groups *"b"* and *"a"* is ambiguous, and group *"b"* first occurrence is in line number 80, and group *"a"* first occurrence is in line number 120, then group *"b"* is registerified as the first one.

The order of groups might be used to prioritize the groups, so that access to some groups is more efficient than to the other groups. The below listing serves as an example of groups order used for optimizing access to a particular group.

```
Main bus
    C1 config; width = 20; groups = ["a"]
    C2 config; width = 12; groups = ["a", "b"]
    C3 config; width = 20; groups = ["b"]
```
As group *"a"* has higher priority than group *"b"* (its index is lower in the groups list for functionality C2), access to the group *"a"* will be more efficient, as functionalities C1 and C2 will be placed in the same register. A possible arrangement is presented in the below snippet.

Nth register Nth + 1 register ------------- ---------------------- || C1 | C2 || || C3 | 12 bits gap || ------------- ----------------------

If the order of the groups in the groups list for functionality C2 was reverse, then the access to the group *"b"* would be more efficient. A possible arrangement of functionalities in such a case could look as follows.

```
Nth register Nth + 1 register
------------- ----------------------
|| C2 | C3 || || C1 | 12 bits gap ||
                   ------------- ----------------------
```
The below listing presents a description of groups with ambiguous order.

```
Main bus
    C1 config; width = 10; groups = ["a", "b", "c"]
    C2 config; width = 10; groups = ["a", "d", "c"]
    C3 config; width = 10; groups = ["a", "b"]
    C4 config; width = 10; groups = ["a", "d"]
```
The order of groups *"b"* and *"d"* is not unequivocal. However, whether group *"b"* is registerified before the group *"d"* is not even important in this case, as the optimal structure is determined by three facts:

- both groups *"b"* and *"d"* are subgroups of group *"a"*,
- the intersection of groups  $"b"$  and  $"d"$  is an empty group,
- both groups *"b"* and *"d"* have higher priority than group *"c"*.

Possible arrangement of the functionalities is presented in the below snippet.



### **10.7. Irq groups**

The irq groups are used for interrupt grouping. Grouped irqs have a common interrupt consumer signal. Each irq must belong at most to one group and each irq group must have at least two irqs. Irqs belonging to the same group might have different values of the producer trigger  $(in-trigger)$ , but all of them must have the same value for the consumer trigger (out-trigger). In the case of level-triggered interrupt consumer the information on the interrupt source can be read from the interrupt group flag register.

The below snippet shows an example of an irq group for level-sensitive interrupt consumer.

```
Main bus
    type irq_t irq; add-enable = true; groups = "IRQ"
    IRQ0 irq_t
    IRQ1 irq_t; clear = "On Read"
    IRQ2 irq_t; in-trigger = "Edge"
    IRQ3 irq_t; in-trigger = "Edge"; clear = "On Read"
```
The picture below presents a possible logical block diagram of the irq group with level trigger for the interrupt consumer and enable register. The "Clear On Read" signal is driven on every Flag Register read. The "Explicit Clear" signal must be driven when the requester calls a means for clearing given interrupt flags. Probably the easiest form of the "Explicit Clear" implementation is clear on Flag Register write, where the clear bit-mask is the value of the data bus. The Flag Register is to some extent a virtual register, as it has an address, but it does not have any storage elements. The flag is stored in the interrupt producer in case of a level-triggered producer or in the Edge Detector in case of an edge-triggered producer.



### **10.8. Param and return groups**

Param and return groups are used to group proc or stream parameters or returns. Such a kind of grouping may be necessary for performance optimizations, as the requester may store parameters or returns in a single list or in multiple distinct lists. Param and return groups help to avoid data reshuffling before or after the access. Param and return groups are independent. The below snippet presents a valid description with a single proc with one param and one return group.

```
Main bus
    P proc
        p1 param; groups = "grp"
        p2 param; groups = "grp"
        r1 return; groups = "grp"
        r2 return; groups = "grp"
```
Param and return groups may contain subgroups. Single param or return can belong to groups which sum is empty or is equal to one of the groups. The below snippet presents examples of two invalid and two valid parameters grouping.

```
Main bus
    # Param p2 belongs to group "b" and "c".
    # However, neither "b" is subgroup of "c"
    # nor "c" is subgroup of "b".
    Invalid1 proc
        p1 param; groups = ["a", "b"]
        p2 param; groups = ["a", "b", "c"]
        p3 param; groups = ["a", "c"]
```

```
Invalid2 proc
   p1 param; groups = "a"
   p2 param; groups = ["a", "b"]
   p3 param; groups = "b"
Valid1 proc
    p1 param; groups = "a"
    p2 param; groups = "a"
    p3 param; groups = "b"
   p4 param; groups = "b"
Valid2 proc
   p1 param; groups = ["a", "b", "c"]
    p2 param; groups = ["a", "b", "c"]
    p3 param; groups = ["a", "b"]
    p4 param; groups = "a"
```